# Math 285A: Lecture #9

prepared by Herman Wong and Andrew Liu

May 2, 2007

Today's lecture:

- Finish sketch of ergodic theorem proof.
- Reversible Markov chains.
- Introduction to hidden Markov models.

## 1 Sketch of Proof of Ergodic Theorem

Recap of proof so far: Suppose the Markov chain X is reversible, positive recurrent, with X(0) = i. Fix a state k.

$$W_n^k = \sum_{l=0}^n T_l^k \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
  
= time of  $(n+1)^{th}$  visit to state  $k$   
 $W_{-1}^k = 0$ 

where  $T_l^k$  is the interoccurrence time between  $l^{th}$  and  $(l+1)^{th}$  visit to state k. Let

$$V_k(n) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_l=k\}}$$
  
= amount of time spent in k up to time  $(n-1)$   
= # of visits to k up to time  $(n-1)$ 

then we have that

$$W_{V_k(n)-1}^k < n \leq W_{V_k(n)}^k$$

and dividing by  $V_k(n)$  we get

$$\frac{W_{V_k(n)-1}^k}{V_k(n)} < \frac{n}{V_k(n)} \le \frac{W_{V_k(n)}^k}{V_k(n)}$$
(1)

Note that  $P_i$ -a.s.,  $V_k(n) \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ , and

$$\frac{W_n^k}{n} \to m_k = \mathbf{E}\left[T_1^k\right] \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \text{ by the strong law of large numbers.}$$

Now eqn.(1) can rewritten as

$$\frac{V_k(n) - 1}{V_k(n) - 1} \frac{W_{V_k(n)-1}^k}{V_k(n)} < \frac{n}{V_k(n)} \le \frac{W_{V_k(n)}^k}{V_k(n)}$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{V_k(n) - 1}{V_k(n)} \frac{W_{V_k(n)-1}^k}{V_k(n) - 1} < \frac{n}{V_k(n)} \le \frac{W_{V_k(n)}^k}{V_k(n)}$$

Combining the above we conclude that the left side and the right side of the above inequality tend to  $1/m_k$ ,  $P_i$ -a.s. as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence,

$$\frac{n}{V_k(n)} \to m_k \qquad P_i \text{ almost surely as } n \to \infty$$

 $\Rightarrow \frac{V_k(n)}{n} \to \frac{1}{m_k} = \pi_k \qquad P_i - a.s. \text{ as } n \to \infty, \text{where } \pi \text{ is the stationary distribution.}$ Now,

$$\frac{V_k(n)}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_l=k\}}$$

is the fraction of time that X spends in state k in the interval [0, n-1], and so  $1/m_k$  is the "long run fraction of time X spends in k."

By the bounded convergence theorem we have that

$$\mathbf{E}_{i} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_{l}=k\}} \right] \to \frac{1}{m_{k}} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$
  
i.e.,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{E}_{i} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{\{X_{l}=k\}} \right] \to \frac{1}{m_{k}} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$   
$$\iff \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} P_{i} \left( X_{l} = k \right) \to \frac{1}{m_{k}} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$
  
$$\iff \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left( \mathbf{P}^{l} \right)_{ik} \to \frac{1}{m_{k}} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \qquad (2)$$

i.e., the Cesaro averages of the sequence  $\{(\mathbf{P}^l)_{ik}\}_{l=0}^{\infty}$  converge to  $1/m_k$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Note: eqn.(2) pertains whether the Markov chain is aperiodic or not. Also by a coupling argument one can show that if the Markov chain is aperiodic then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{P}_{ik}^n$  exists and does not depend on *i*. Since the above Cesaro averages converge to  $1/m_k$  then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{P}_{ik}^n = 1/m_k$ .

### 2 Reducible Markov Chains

For a reducible Markov chain the transition matrix  ${\bf P}$  can be arranged in partitioned diagonal form

	=	S				Q
Р		: 0	••. •••	· 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \mathbf{P}_k \end{array}$	
		0	$\mathbf{P}_2$	0	:	Θ
		$\mathbf{P}_1$	0		0	-

where the block of diagonal  $\mathbf{P}_i$  matrices,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , is the transition matrix from recurrent to recurrent states (note that there could be infinitely many matrices in the block, i.e., k could be  $\infty$ ),  $\mathbf{S}$  is the transition matrix from transient to recurrent states,  $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$  is the zero matrix since recurrent states cannot enter transient states, and  $\mathbf{Q}$  is the transition matrix of transient to transient states. Then

	$\mathbf{S}_n$				$\mathbf{Q}^n$	
• –	0		0.	$\mathbf{P}_k^n$		
$\mathbf{P}^n$ =	:	·	·	0	0	
	0	$\mathbf{P}_2^n$	0	÷		
	$\mathbf{P}_1^n$	0	•••	0		

Note:  $\mathbf{S}_n \neq \mathbf{S}^n$ .

### 3 Reversible Markov Chains

If there is a probability distribution  $\pi$  such that

 $\pi_i P_{ij} = \pi_j P_{ji}$  for all i, j, (called "detailed balance")

then the Markov chain is time reversible with transition matrix **P**. Here an intuitive picture is to think of the transition probability,  $\pi_i P_{ij}$ , as a "mass flow" from *i* to *j* 

$$i \xrightarrow{\circ} \pi_i P_{ij} \xrightarrow{\circ} j$$

to be in balance with the "mass flow" from j to i

 $\stackrel{\odot}{i} \stackrel{\pi_j P_{ji}}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{\odot}{j}$ 

as  $n \to \infty$  (i.e., mass conservation in stationarity).

The following properties hold if detailed balance is satisfied by a probability distribution  $\pi.$ 

(i)  $\pi$  is a stationary distribution, i.e.,  $\pi' = \pi' \mathbf{P}$ .

Proof: Fix i, then by detailed balance above we have that

$$\pi_i P_{ij} = \pi_j P_{ji} \quad \forall j$$

then summing over all j we get

$$\sum_{j} \pi_{i} P_{ij} = \sum_{j} \pi_{j} P_{ji} \Longrightarrow \pi_{i} \sum_{j} P_{ij} = \sum_{j} \pi_{j} P_{ji} \Longrightarrow \pi_{i} = \sum_{j} \pi_{j} P_{ji}$$

since  $\sum_{j} P_{ij} = 1$ . Noticing the above is just matrix-vector multiplication, hence  $\pi' = \pi' \mathbf{P}$ .

(ii) If the Markov chain is initialized with the stationary distribution  $\pi$  then the Markov chain is time reversible, i.e., for any fixed time N, let

$$\tilde{X}_n = X_{N-n}, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

then  $\tilde{X}$  is Markov $(\pi, \mathbf{P})$ .

Proof: First prove  $\tilde{X}$  is Markov. Fix n < N, and let  $i_0, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n, i_{n+1} \in \mathcal{S}$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the state space of the process. Then

$$P\left(\tilde{X}_{n+1} = i_{n+1} \mid \tilde{X}_n = i_n, \tilde{X}_{n-1} = i_{n-1}, \dots, \tilde{X}_0 = i_0\right)$$

$$= \frac{P\left(X_{N-n-1} = i_{n+1}, X_{N-n} = i_n, X_{N-n+1} = i_{n-1}, \dots, X_N = i_0\right)}{P\left(X_{N-n} = i_n, X_{N-n+1} = i_{n-1}, \dots, X_N = i_0\right)}$$

$$= \frac{\pi_{i_{n+1}} P_{i_{n+1}, i_n} P_{i_{n-1}, i_{n-2}} \cdots P_{i_{1}, i_0}}{\pi_{i_n} P_{i_{n+1}, i_n}}$$

$$= \frac{P\left(X_{N-n-1} = i_{n+1}, X_{N-n} = i_n\right)}{P\left(X_{N-n} = i_n\right)}$$

$$= P\left(\tilde{X}_{n+1} = i_{n+1} \mid \tilde{X}_n = i_n\right)$$

$$= \tilde{P}_{i_n, i_{n+1}}$$

 $\implies \tilde{X}_n$  is Markov.

Now prove  $\tilde{X}$  is Markov $(\pi, \mathbf{P})$ . From above we have

$$\tilde{P}_{i_n,i_{n+1}} = \frac{\pi_{i_{n+1}}}{\pi_{i_n}} P_{i_{n+1},i_n} = \frac{\pi_{i_n}}{\pi_{i_n}} P_{i_n,i_{n+1}}$$

where the last equality is from using detailed balance. Therefore,

$$\implies \tilde{P} = P.$$

Also,  $\hat{X}$  has initial distribution given by distribution of  $X_N$ , i.e.,  $\pi$ .

**Theorem:** If a Markov chain is irreducible, positive recurrent, and its transition graph is a tree, then the Markov chain is reversible.

**Proof:** see Frank Kelly's book on Reversibility and Stochastic Networks.

**Example:** Let X be the reflecting random walk, with reflecting state at i = 0, on the state space of non-negative integers, with transition probabilities

$$P_{i,i-1} = q,$$
 for  $i \ge 1$   
 $P_{i,i+1} = p,$  for  $i \ge 1$   
 $P_{0,0} = q,$   $P_{0,1} = p$  for  $i = 0,$ 

and 0 , <math>p + q = 1. X has only one communicating class, and positive recurrent since p < q, and we can check to see that there is a probability distribution  $\pi$  satisfying detailed balance:

$$\pi_i P_{i,i+1} = \pi_{i+1} P_{i+1,i} \qquad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
$$\implies \pi_{i+1} = \frac{p}{q} \pi_i \qquad \Longrightarrow \pi_i = \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^i \pi_0$$

and with the constraint  $\sum_{i} \pi_{i} = \sum_{i} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i} \pi_{0} = 1 \implies \pi_{0} = 1 - p/q.$ 

#### 4 Hidden Markov Models

Hidden Markov models have an observed output process Y, where  $Y_n = f(X_n, \xi_n)$  is a function of a discrete time Markov chain X, and some additional random process  $\xi$ . It is best explained first through an example.

#### Example: Unfair Casino

Suppose a gambler is gambling against the house by a simple betting process involving rolling a die. Here the observable outputs are the outcomes of the die throws  $Y_n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ . But suppose the gambler does not know whether the die being used is fair or unfair, that is suppose a fair die has an *output* probability distribution with probability  $p_i = 1/6$ , for i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and an unfair die has *output* distribution: p = (1/6, 1/6, 1/6, 1/4, 1/12). Let the process that registers whether the house is using a fair or unfair die be X, i.e.

$$X_n = 1$$
 if fair die,  $X_n = 2$  if unfair die

and suppose the transition matrix for X is

$$\mathbf{P} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 5/6 & 1/6\\ 2/3 & 1/3 \end{array} \right]$$

In particular, this situation corresponds to where there is a probability of 5/6 that the house keeps using a fair die in the next round, and probability 1/6 of switching to an unfair die. Using a fair or unfair die is registered by the hidden process, X, and the additional random process,  $\xi = (\xi_n)$ , has distribution at time n given by  $p_i = 1/6$  for all i if the fair die is in use, and given by p = (1/6, 1/6, 1/6, 1/6, 1/4, 1/12) if the unfair die is in use at time n. The observable output, Y, records the outcome of the roll of the die at each time step.