

Theorem: (Strong Markov Property) Let S be a separable metric space. Let $(\Omega, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space, and let $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Markov transition semigroup of operators on $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$.

Assume \exists multiplicative system $M \subseteq C_b(S)$ s.t. $\sigma(M) = \mathcal{B}(S)$ and $Q_t M \subseteq M \forall t$.

Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a time homogeneous Markov process with transition operators Q_t , and paths in $\Gamma = C[0, \infty)$ or $\Gamma = RC[0, \infty)$.

Then for any $F \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma, \mathcal{C}(\Gamma))$, and any optional time $\tau: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$,

$$\mathbb{E}[F(X_{\tau+\cdot}) | \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^+] = \mathbb{E}^x[F(X_{\cdot})] \Big|_{x = X_{\tau}} \text{ a.s. on } \{\tau < \infty\}.$$


For the proof, we will make use of the already-proved special case, when $\tau(\Omega)$ is countable, and approximate τ by such countable range stopping times:

$$\tau_n = \infty \mathbb{1}_{\tau = \infty} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{2^n} \mathbb{1}_{\frac{k-1}{2^n} \leq \tau < \frac{k}{2^n}}$$

Pf. We showed that $\tau_n \downarrow \tau$, $\mathcal{F}_\tau^+ \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\tau_n}$, and $\{\tau_n = \infty\} = \{\tau = \infty\} \forall n$.

B/c $\tau_n(\Omega)$ is countable, we've proved that, $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau^+ \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\tau_n}$, $F \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma, \mathcal{E}(\Gamma))$,

$$\mathbb{E}[F(X_{\tau_n+\cdot}) \mid \tau < \infty \mid A]$$

Now, want to take $n \rightarrow \infty$ 

Start with functions $F: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the form $F(\omega) = f_1(\omega(t_1)) \cdots f_k(\omega(t_k))$

for some $t_k > t_{k-1} > \cdots > t_2 > t_1 \geq 0$
and $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{M}$.

Using the way a Markov process's f.d. distributions are determined by its transition operators [Lec 37.1]:

$$\mathbb{E}^x[F(X_\cdot)] =$$

Since $f_j \in \mathcal{M}$ and $Q_t \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$,

That is: $x \mapsto \mathbb{E}^x[F(X_\cdot)]$ is continuous.

Also, by assumption $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{RC}(\mathbb{L}_0, \infty)$, so since $\tau_n \downarrow \tau$,

$$X_{\tau_n} \rightarrow X_\tau \text{ a.s.}$$

$$\text{Also, } F(X_{\tau_n+\cdot}) = \prod_{i=1}^k f_i(X_{\tau_n+t_i})$$

And since $\|F\|_\infty \leq \|f_1\|_\infty \cdots \|f_k\|_\infty < \infty$ uniformly in n , it follows by the DCT that

$$\mathbb{E}[F(X_{\tau_n+\cdot}) \mathbb{1}_{\tau < \infty} \mathbb{1}_A] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[F(X_{\tau+\cdot}) \mathbb{1}_{\tau < \infty} \mathbb{1}_A] \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

This proves the Strong Markov property for F of this special form.

The remainder of the proof is an application of Dynkin's mult. systems theorem.

• Let $\tilde{M} = \{F \in C_b(\Gamma) : F(\omega) = \prod_{i=1}^k f_i(\omega(t_i)) \text{ for some } f_i \in M \text{ and } 0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k < \infty\}$

It is straightforward to check that \tilde{M} is a mult. system.

• Let $\tilde{H} = \{F \in B(\Gamma) : \star \text{ holds}\}$

It is straightforward to check that $1 \in \tilde{H}$, and \tilde{H} is a linear subspace closed under bounded convergence (by DCT).

We've shown that $\tilde{M} \subseteq \hat{H}$. It follows by Dynkin that $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma, \sigma(\tilde{M})) \subseteq \hat{H}$.

Thus, to complete the proof, we just need to show that $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma) \subseteq \sigma(\tilde{M})$,

$$\mathcal{E}(\Gamma) = \sigma(\pi_t : t \geq 0)$$

So it suffices to show that $\pi_t : \Gamma \rightarrow S$ is $\sigma(\tilde{M})$ -measurable for each $t \geq 0$.

Claim: $\forall t \geq 0$ and $\forall f \in \mathcal{B}(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$, $f \circ \pi_t : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\sigma(\tilde{M})$ -measurable.

↳ With this in hand, take $f = \mathbb{1}_B$ for $B \in \mathcal{B}(S)$

To prove the claim: Dynkin again!

$$H = \{f \in \mathcal{B}(S, \mathcal{B}(S)) : f \circ \pi_t \text{ is } \sigma(\tilde{M})\text{-measurable}\}$$

$M =$ same $M \subseteq C_b(S)$ from statement of theorem

↳ In particular, $\sigma(M) = \mathcal{B}(S)$.

• Check easily that $\mathbb{1} \in H$, linear subspace, closed under
bded convergence.

Thus, we have shown that: $\forall F \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma, \mathcal{E}(\Gamma))$ & $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau^+$,

$$\mathbb{E}[F(X_{\tau+\cdot}) \mathbb{1}_{\tau < \infty} \cdot \mathbb{1}_A] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}^x[F(X_\cdot)]|_{x=X_\tau} \mathbb{1}_{\tau < \infty} \cdot \mathbb{1}_A]$$

$$\therefore Z_1 := \mathbb{E}[F(X_{\tau+\cdot}) \mathbb{1}_{\tau < \infty} | \mathcal{F}_\tau^+]$$

$$\& Z_2 := \mathbb{E}^x[F(X_\cdot)]|_{x=X_\tau} \mathbb{1}_{\tau < \infty}$$

are two rv's in $\mathcal{B}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau^+)$

satisfying $\mathbb{E}[Z_1 \mathbb{1}_A] = \mathbb{E}[Z_2 \mathbb{1}_A] \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau^+$.