

**Theorem:** (Strong Markov Property) Let  $S$  be a separable metric space. Let  $(\Omega, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$  be a filtered probability space, and let  $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Markov transition semigroup of operators on  $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ .

Assume  $\exists$  multiplicative system  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq C_b(S)$  s.t.  $\sigma(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{B}(S)$  and  $Q_t M \in \mathcal{M} \forall t$ .

Let  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a time homogeneous Markov process with transition operators  $Q_t$ , and paths in  $\Gamma = C[0, \infty)$  or  $\Gamma = RC[0, \infty)$ .

Then for any  $F \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma, \mathcal{C}(\Gamma))$ , and any optional time  $\tau: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[F(X_{\tau+\cdot}) | \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^+] = \mathbb{E}^x[F(X_{\cdot})] \Big|_{x = X_{\tau}} \text{ a.s. on } \{\tau < \infty\}.$$

For the proof, we will make use of the already-proved special case, when  $\tau(\Omega)$  is countable, and approximate  $\tau$  by such countable range stopping times:

$$\tau_n = \infty \mathbb{1}_{\tau = \infty} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{2^n} \mathbb{1}_{\frac{k-1}{2^n} \leq \tau < \frac{k}{2^n}}$$

Pf. We showed that  $\tau_n \downarrow \tau$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_\tau^+ \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\tau_n}$ , and  $\{\tau_n = \infty\} = \{\tau = \infty\} \forall n$ .

B/c  $\tau_n(\Omega)$  is countable, we've proved that,  $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau^+ \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\tau_n}$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma, \mathcal{E}(\Gamma))$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[F(X_{\tau_n+\cdot}) \mid \tau < \infty \mid A] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}^\nu[F(X_\cdot)] \mid x = X_{\tau_n} \mid \tau < \infty \mid A].$$

Now, want to take  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Need to restrict to special  $F$ .

Start with functions  $F: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the form  $F(\omega) = f_1(\omega(t_1)) \cdots f_k(\omega(t_k))$

for some  $t_k > t_{k-1} > \cdots > t_2 > t_1 \geq 0$   
and  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Using the way a Markov process's f.d. distributions are determined by its transition operators [Lec 37.1]:  $Mf(g) = fg$ .

$$\mathbb{E}^\nu[F(X_\cdot)] = (Q_{t_1} M_{f_1} Q_{t_2-t_1} M_{f_2} \cdots M_{f_{k-1}} Q_{t_k-t_{k-1}} f_k)(\nu)$$

Since  $f_j \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $Q_t \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\Rightarrow G \mathcal{M} \subseteq C_b(S)$

That is:  $x \mapsto \mathbb{E}^\nu[F(X_\cdot)]$  is continuous.

Also, by assumption  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{RC}(\mathcal{L}_0, \infty)$ , so since  $\tau_n \downarrow \tau$ ,

$$X_{\tau_n} \rightarrow X_\tau \text{ a.s.} \quad \therefore \mathbb{E}^\nu[F(X_\cdot)] \mid x = X_{\tau_n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^\nu[F(X_\cdot)] \mid x = X_\tau \text{ a.s.}$$

$$\text{Also, } F(X_{\tau_n+}) = \prod_{i=1}^k f_i(X_{\tau_n+t_i}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=1}^k f_i(X_{\tau+t_i}) = F(X_{\tau+})$$

And since  $\|F\|_\infty \leq \|f_1\|_\infty \cdots \|f_k\|_\infty < \infty$  uniformly in  $n$ , it follows by the DCT that

$$\mathbb{E}[F(X_{\tau_n+}) \mathbb{1}_{\tau < \infty} \mathbb{1}_A] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[F(X_{\tau+}) \mathbb{1}_{\tau < \infty} \mathbb{1}_A] \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\mathbb{E}[F(X_{\tau_n+}) \mathbb{1}_{\tau < \infty} \mathbb{1}_A] \xrightarrow{\parallel} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}^n[F(X_{\cdot})] \mathbb{1}_{\tau < \infty} \mathbb{1}_A] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}^\tau[F(X_{\cdot})] \mathbb{1}_{\tau < \infty} \mathbb{1}_A] \quad \text{by } (\star)$$

This proves the Strong Markov property for  $F$  of this special form.

The remainder of the proof is an application of Dynkin's mult. systems theorem.

• Let  $\tilde{M} = \{F \in C_b(\Gamma) : F(\omega) = \prod_{i=1}^k f_i(\omega(t_i)) \text{ for some } f_i \in M \text{ and } 0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k < \infty\}$

It is straightforward to check that  $\tilde{M}$  is a mult. system.

• Let  $\tilde{H} = \{F \in B(\Gamma) : \star \text{ holds}\}$

It is straightforward to check that  $1 \in \tilde{H}$ , and  $\tilde{H}$  is a linear subspace closed under bounded convergence (by DCT).

We've shown that  $\tilde{M} \subseteq \hat{H}$ . It follows by Dynkin that  $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma, \sigma(\tilde{M})) \subseteq \hat{H}$ .

Thus, to complete the proof, we just need to show that  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma) \subseteq \sigma(\tilde{M})$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}(\Gamma) = \sigma(\pi_t : t \geq 0)$$

So it suffices to show that  $\pi_t : \Gamma \rightarrow S$  is  $\sigma(\tilde{M})$ -measurable for each  $t \geq 0$ .

Claim:  $\forall t \geq 0$  and  $\forall f \in \mathcal{B}(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ ,  $f \circ \pi_t : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\sigma(\tilde{M})$ -measurable.

$\hookrightarrow$  With this in hand, take  $f = \mathbb{1}_B$  for  $B \in \mathcal{B}(S)$   $\therefore \mathbb{1}_B \circ \pi_t \uparrow$

To prove the claim: Dynkin again!

$$H = \{f \in \mathcal{B}(S, \mathcal{B}(S)) : f \circ \pi_t \text{ is } \sigma(\tilde{M})\text{-measurable}\}$$

$M =$  same  $M \subseteq C_b(S)$  from statement of theorem

$\hookrightarrow$  In particular,  $\sigma(M) = \mathcal{B}(S)$ .

• Check easily that  $\mathbb{1} \in H$ , linear subspace, closed under  
bded convergence.

For  $f \in M$ ,  $f \circ \pi_t \in \tilde{M} \therefore M \subseteq H$ .

$\therefore$  by Dynkin,  $\mathcal{B}(S, \sigma(M)) \subseteq H$   
 $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \pi_t^{-1}(B) &= \{\mathbb{1}_B \circ \pi_t = 1\} \\ &\therefore \in \sigma(\tilde{M}). \\ \therefore \pi_t \text{ is } \sigma(\tilde{M})\text{-mes.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have shown that:  $\forall F \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma, \mathcal{E}(\Gamma))$  &  $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau^+$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[F(X_{\tau+}) \mathbb{1}_{\tau < \infty} \cdot \mathbb{1}_A] = \mathbb{E}[\underbrace{\mathbb{E}^x[F(X_\cdot)]|_{x=X_\tau}}_{\substack{\text{fn of } X_\tau, \therefore \mathcal{F}_\tau^+ \text{-meas.} \\ \text{b/c } \tau \text{ is an} \\ \text{optional time.}}} \mathbb{1}_{\tau < \infty} \cdot \mathbb{1}_A]$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\underbrace{\mathbb{E}[F(X_{\tau+}) \mathbb{1}_{\tau < \infty} | \mathcal{F}_\tau^+]}_{\mathcal{F}_\tau^+ \text{-meas.}} \mathbb{1}_A]$$

$$\therefore Z_1 := \mathbb{E}[F(X_{\tau+}) \mathbb{1}_{\tau < \infty} | \mathcal{F}_\tau^+]$$

$$\& Z_2 := \mathbb{E}^x[F(X_\cdot)]|_{x=X_\tau} \mathbb{1}_{\tau < \infty}$$

are two rv's in  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau^+)$

satisfying  $\mathbb{E}[Z_1 \mathbb{1}_A] = \mathbb{E}[Z_2 \mathbb{1}_A] \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau^+$ .

$$\Rightarrow Z_1 = Z_2 \text{ a.s.}$$