Progressive measurability allows evaluating a process X at a random time T (and preserving measurability). What can we say about 5(XT)? Defi Let T: (52,(Ft)+20, P) -> [0,00] be Fa-measurable. Define $F_{\tau} = \{A \in F_{\infty} : \{\tau \in t\} \cap A \in F_{t} \ \forall t \in [0,\infty]\}$ These are both sub-5-fields of Fos; the proof is essentially identical to [Lec45.2]. (Might be tempted to denote F_{τ}^{t} the set { $A \in F_{\infty}$: { $\tau \in t$ } $\cap A \in F_{t}^{t}$ $\forall t \in [0,\infty]$ }. In fact, we can: if τ is an optional time, they're equal. [HW]) Lemma: If T is a stopping time, T is Fr-measurable. Pf. For ste [0,00), {T < s} of t < t}

Prop. Let $(\Sigma, |T_t|_{t \ge 0}, P)$ be a filtered probability space. Let τ be a stopping time, and let $(X_t)_{t \ge 0}$ be a progressively measurable process. Then X_{τ} is $(T_{\tau})_{\{\tau < \infty\}}$ -measurable. Pf. For $\tau \in [0,\infty)$, define two maps: $\{\tau < \tau\} \xrightarrow{\Psi \tau} [0,\tau] \times \Sigma \xrightarrow{eq \tau} S$ $\Psi(w) = (e^{\tau}(t,w))$ If $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau}$ and $a \in [0,\tau]$,

If $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$ and $a \in C_0, T$, $\Psi_T^{-1}(C_0, a_1 \times A) =$ $\therefore \Psi_T^{-1}(S_0, a_1 \times A) = 0$ $\therefore \Psi_T^{-1}(S_0, a_1 \times A) = 0$

Thus $X_{\overline{c}}'(V) \in \mathcal{F}_{\overline{c}}$. And $X_{\overline{c}}'(V) \in \mathcal{F}_{\overline{c}}$ by def.

```
Prop: (Basic properties of Stopping times)
1. If 5, T are stopping times, so is 5+T (If they are only optional times, but 6>0, t>0 as, then 5+T is a stopping time).
 2. If 5, 7 are stopping times, so are 5xt and 5v7.
 3. If {tr} are optional times, then so are suprt, infit, lingup to, liminfton.
    La If they are stopping times, so is supn In
Prop: (Basic properties of stopped 5-fields)
 Let 5,2 be stopping times.
 1. (Ft) { t= t} = (Ft) { t= t}
 2-If 65T then Foff.
 3. (Fo) (GET) S FENT (SO (GET), {GCT} are in Font)
 4. For fr = forc
 Moreover all these hold for optional times of Tif
 we replace F > It everywhere.
(Proofs very similar to [lec45]; see [Driver, §34.2]
```