

We've constructed Brownian motion  $(B_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  for any  $T > 0$ ; in other words, we have the Wiener measures:

$$W_T^x \in \text{Prob}(C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d))$$

It is easy to put these together and let  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

↳ Start with pre-BM, Markov process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  ( $X_t - X_s \stackrel{d}{=} N(0, t-s)$ , indep. from  $\mathcal{F}_s^X$ )

↳ Using Kolmogorov's Continuity Criterion, find a version  $(\tilde{B}_t^T)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  that is continuous, for each  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ .

↳  $\tilde{B}^{T+1}|_{[0, T]}$ ,  $\tilde{B}^T$  are both versions of  $X|_{[0, T]}$ , so are versions of each other. Both continuous,  $\therefore$  indistinguishable [HW].

↳  $\therefore$  For  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , define  $B_t := \tilde{B}_t^{[t]}$ .  $\downarrow$  well-defined continuous.

Let  $W^x = \text{Law}(B_t) \in \text{Prob}(C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^d))$

$\rightarrow$  Paths are  $C^\alpha([0, T]) \quad \forall T < \infty, \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$   
 $\rightarrow$  Paths are not locally  $C^\alpha$  at any point if  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$  }  $\approx P=1$ .

# Covariance

Let  $s < t$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[B_s B_t] = \mathbb{E}[B_s (B_s + B_t - B_s)] = \mathbb{E}[B_s^2] + \mathbb{E}[B_s (B_t - B_s)]$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_s$        $\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\mathbb{E}[B_s] \mathbb{E}[B_t - B_s]}$

So, in general,  $\mathbb{E}[B_s B_t] = s \wedge t$

$$\text{Cov}(B_s, B_t) \text{ b/c } \mathbb{E}[B_s] = 0 \quad \forall s \geq 0.$$

Note: if  $\{X_t\}_{t \in T}$  is any collection of random variables on a given probability space, the function  $\chi: T \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\chi(s, t) = \text{Cov}[X_s, X_t]$  has a positivity property.

Def: A function  $\chi: T \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is **positive <sup>semi</sup> definite**

iff for any finite subset  $\Lambda = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\} \subseteq T$ , the matrix

$M_{ij} = \chi(t_i, t_j)$  is positive semidefinite.

I.e.  $M = M^T$  &  $\zeta \cdot M \zeta \geq 0 \quad \forall \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n$        $\checkmark \forall \zeta: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

$\rightarrow \chi(s, t) = \chi(t, s)$  &  $\sum_{s, t \in \Lambda} \chi(s, t) \zeta(s) \zeta(t) \geq 0$

Lemma: If  $(X_t)_{t \in T} : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are random variables, then

$\chi(s, t) = \chi(t, s) = \text{Cov}[X_s, X_t]$  is positive-definite.

Pf. Fix  $\Lambda \subseteq T$  finite, and note that for any  $\xi : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s, t \in \Lambda} \chi(s, t) \xi(s) \xi(t) &= \sum_{s \in \Lambda} \sum_{t \in \Lambda} \xi(s) \xi(t) \text{Cov}(X_s, X_t) \\ &= \text{Cov} \left( \sum_{s \in \Lambda} \xi(s) X_s, \sum_{t \in \Lambda} \xi(t) X_t \right) \\ &= \text{Var} \left[ \sum_{t \in \Lambda} \xi(t) X_t \right] \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Cov is bilinear

So, we now know that the function  $\chi(s, t) = s \wedge t$  is positive definite.

Ex.  $\chi(s, t) = s \wedge t - st$ ,  $0 \leq s, t \leq 1$ .

Let  $(B_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$  be a B.M.  $B_0 = 0$

Define  $X_t = B_t - tB_1$ .  $\leftarrow$  Brownian bridge.  
 $X_0 = 0, X_1 = B_1 - B_1 = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X_s, X_t) &= \mathbb{E}[(B_s - sB_1)(B_t - tB_1)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[B_s B_t] - t \mathbb{E}[B_s B_1] - s \mathbb{E}[B_t B_1] + st \mathbb{E}[B_1^2] \\ &= s \wedge t - t(s \wedge 1) - s(t \wedge 1) + st \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$$

# Gaussian Processes

Recall [Lee 26.1] a random vector  $\mathbb{X} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is called (jointly) Gaussian if the characteristic function has the form

$$\varphi_{\mathbb{X}}(\zeta) = \mathbb{E}[e^{i\zeta \cdot \mathbb{X}}] = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\zeta \cdot C \zeta + \mu \cdot \zeta}$$

$C = AA^T$   
pos. semidef.

$\mu = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{X}]$

for some  $A \in M_{d \times d}$ .

Equivalently, by the Cramér - Wold device,

$\mathbb{X}$  is Gaussian iff  $\zeta \cdot \mathbb{X}$  is a normal random variable  $\forall \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Eg.  $\zeta = \vec{e}_k \Rightarrow X_k$  are normally distributed,  $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ .

It is not sufficient just to check that the components of  $\mathbb{X}$  are normally distributed.

Eg.  $X \stackrel{d}{=} N(0, 1)$ ,  $R \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{1}{2}\delta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{-1}$ ,  $X, R$  independent.

$$Y = RX \stackrel{d}{=} N(0, 1), \quad X \stackrel{d}{=} -X$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[f(Y)] &= \mathbb{E}[f(RX)] = \mathbb{E}[f(\cancel{R}X) | R=1] P(R=1) + \mathbb{E}[f(\cancel{R}X) | R=-1] P(R=-1) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[f(X)] \quad \because \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathbb{E}[f(X)] \quad \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

But  $(X, Y)$  is not jointly Gaussian. [HW]

Note: if  $T: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is an invertible linear transformation, and if  $Y = T(X)$  is a Gaussian random vector, then so is  $X$ .

$$\xi \cdot X = \xi \cdot T^{-1}(Y) = (T^{-1})^T \xi \cdot Y \text{ is normally distributed.}$$

In particular: permuting the entries preserves joint Gaussianness.

Def: A stochastic process  $(X_t)_{t \in T}: (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a **Gaussian Process** if, for any finite collection of times  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in T$ ,  $(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n})$  is a (jointly) Gaussian random vector.

Prop: Brownian motion is a Gaussian process.

Pf. Let  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$ . (suff.  $\leftarrow$ )

$$\text{Let } T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, x_2 - x_1, x_3 - x_2, \dots, x_n - x_{n-1})$$

$$\text{inverse: } T^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = (y_1, y_1 + y_2, y_1 + y_2 + y_3, \dots, y_1 + \dots + y_n)$$

$$T(B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n}) = (B_{t_1} - B_0, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}})$$

$$\stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{N}\left(\underline{0}, \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & & & \\ & t_2 - t_1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & t_n - t_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad \parallel \parallel$$

Theorem: Let  $c: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be any function, and let  $\chi: T \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be pos. definite.

Then there exists a Gaussian process  $(X_t)_{t \in T} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t] = c(t) \text{ and } \text{Cov}(X_s, X_t) = \chi(s, t) \quad \forall s, t \in T.$$

Moreover, any two Gaussian processes with mean  $c$  and covariance  $\chi$  have the same finite-dimensional distributions.

Pf. Existence is an exercise in Kolmogorov's Extension theorem; [Driver, Prop 31.6].

For f.d. uniqueness: if  $\mathbb{X}$  is a Gaussian vector,

$$\varphi_{\mathbb{X}}(\mathbf{z}) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{C} \mathbf{z}} \text{ for a positive semi-definite matrix } \mathbf{C}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j \partial z_k} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{i \mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbb{X}} \right] \Big|_{\mathbf{z}=0} &= \mathbb{E} \left[ (i \dot{X}_j) (i \dot{X}_k) e^{i \mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbb{X}} \right] \Big|_{\mathbf{z}=0} \\ &= -\mathbb{E}[\dot{X}_j \dot{X}_k] = -\text{Cov}(X_j, X_k) \end{aligned}$$

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{C} \mathbf{z}} = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b} C_{ab} z_a z_b} \quad \therefore \varphi_{\mathbb{X}} \text{ is determined by}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j \partial z_k} \left( \right) \Big|_{\mathbf{z}=0} = -C_{jk}. \quad \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{X}] \text{ and } \text{Cov } \mathbb{X}. \quad \text{//}$$

Cor: If  $(X_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$  is a continuous Gaussian process with  
$$\mathbb{E}[X_t] = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}[X_s X_t] = s \wedge t \quad \forall s, t \geq 0$$
then  $X$  is a Brownian motion.

Pf. By the uniqueness result of the last theorem,  $X$  and  $B$  have the same finite-dimensional distributions. //