Upcrossings are a measure of oscillation. Is Given a sequence $X = (X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $U_N(a,b) = \# times X crosses (a,b) = upwards in <math>(X_e, -, X_N)$ $\bullet \text{ If } X_{n} \cap \mathcal{U}_{n}^{\times} (ab) \qquad \bullet \text{ If } X_{n} \cup \mathcal{U}_{n}^{\times}$ Suppose limsup Xn & liminf Xn. I liminf Xn < limsup Xn Doob's Upcrossing Inequality: if X is a submertingale, $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{U}_{N}^{X}(a,b)] \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \left(\mathbb{E}[(X_{N}-a)_{+}] - \mathbb{E}[(X_{N}-a)_{+}] \right) \quad \forall N, a < b$ Theorem: If X is an L'-beunded submartingale, then lim Xn =: Xoo exists in R as, and Xoo EL. Note: suffices just to assume sup E[Xn] < 00

Pf. For any a < b, $\mathbb{E}[U_N^{\times}(a,b)] \leq b-a(\mathbb{E}[(X_N-a)+1-\mathbb{E}[(X_0-a)+1])$

So, if $\Omega_{qb} = \{w: U_{\infty}^{\chi(\omega)}(a,b) < \infty\}$ then $P(\Omega_{qb}) = 1$. $\Omega_{qb} = \{w: U_{\infty}^{\chi(\omega)}(a,b) < \infty\}$ then $P(\Omega_{qb}) = 1$. $\Omega_{qb} = \{w: U_{\infty}^{\chi(\omega)}(a,b) < \infty\}$ but on $\Omega_{qb} = \{w: U_{\infty}^{\chi(\omega)}(a,b) < \infty\}$ and $\Omega_{qb} = \{w: U_{\infty}^{\chi(\omega)}(a,b) < \infty\}$ but on $\Omega_{qb} = \{w: U_{\infty}^{\chi(\omega)}(a,b) < \infty\}$ and $\Omega_{qb} = \{w: U_{\infty}^{\chi(\omega)}(a,b) < \infty\}$ but on $\Omega_{qb} = \{w: U_{\infty}^{\chi(\omega)}(a,b)$

Note: lim X = X a a.s. and X a = L

| Note: lim X = X a a.s. and X a = L

| Note: lim X = X a a.s. and X a = L

Earlier, we saw that regular martingales $X_n = E(X | F_n)$ are UI. It turns out that, in the L^1 -bounded category, the converse is true. Theorem: Let $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an L^1 -bounded martingale; let $X_{co}:=\lim_{n\to\infty}X_n$. Then $\{X_n\}_{\in\mathbb{N}}$ is UI iff $X_n=\mathbb{E}[X_{co}|\mathcal{F}_n]$ $\forall n$. Pf (martingale case) (=>) By the Vitali Convergence theorem, Xn>X00 in L1 Fix n; for m>n, Xn= H[XmlIn] (=) If Xn=E[Xas/In] where Xas EL, then (Xn)non is a regular martingale. : From [Lec 48.1] we know {Xn}non is UT. (For the submartingale case, see [Driver, Cor 23.59].)

Cor Let 1<p<0. Suppose (Xn) nen is an LP-bounded martingale. Then lim Xn = 2 Xes exists q.s., Xes ELP, and 11 Xn-Xes 1/1P +0. In particular, (Xm) nen is a regular martingale: Xn = E[Xes] In] a.s. Pf. Let Yn= 1XnP. Since (Xn)neN is a martingale, Yn is a submartingale jy y = lim y exists q.s. and is in L. Also: IIXnIII i. X co = lim Vn exsts 9.5.

Now, to show IIXn-Xaller 70, by Vitali, suffices
to show {IXnIP}neN is UI.

Claim: {Yn3n6N = { |Xn1P}neN is UI.
Suffices to show {Yn3neN has a uniform dominating function gelt [Lec 48.1]

Yn = |Xn1P \le |

Thus, (Yn) nen is UI, and i by Vitali, Xn > X00 in LP.

Finally, {Xn}n=1 is LP-bounded for some p>1, so it is UI; also, it is L'-bounded :- By the last Theorem, Xn= E[XolFn] as-// As a final note: recall the Optibnal Sampling Theorem, which in general requires bounded stopping times.

Theorem: (Optibnal Sampling Theorem, II)

Let (Xn) neN be a regular martingale, and let Xas = lim Xn
Then for any two stopping times o, T:

 $X_{\tau} = E[X_{\infty}|\mathcal{F}_{\tau}], E[|X_{\tau}|] \leq E[|X_{\infty}|] \leq \infty, and$ $E[X_{\tau}|\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}] = X_{\tau} \wedge \sigma.$

Pf. Since (Xn) is regular, it's UI; is by the last Theorem,

Xn = E[Xeo]Jn]. It follows that Xz = E[Xeo]Fz] [Lec 45.3]

i. IXzl

Finally, the general tower property [Lec 45.3], as Xes ELT,

Ex [X \under] =