

Martingales

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in T}, \mathbb{P})$ filtered probability space. S a Banach space; usually \mathbb{R}^d .

(Equipped w $\mathcal{B}(S)$.)

Def: An adapted process $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ in $L^1(\Omega, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in T}, \mathbb{P}; S)$ is a

martingale if $\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = X_s \quad \forall s < t$

" " " if " " " " "

" " " if " " " " "

Thinking in terms of earnings while betting on a gambling game,

In the special case $T = \mathbb{N}$ (which we'll focus on for now), by induction the (sub/super) martingale property reduces to

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = X_n$$

We also have the equivalent forms

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} - X_n | \mathcal{F}_n] = 0, \text{ or } \mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] =$$

If $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ is a martingale, $\mathbb{E}[X_t] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s]] = \mathbb{E}[X_s] \quad \forall s \leq t$

We can shrink the filtration down to (anything containing) $(\mathcal{F}_n^X)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Lemma: Let $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ be a martingale wrt $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in T}$.

Let $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \in T}$ be a filtration with $\mathcal{F}_t \supseteq \mathcal{G}_t \supseteq \mathcal{F}_t^X$

Then $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ is a martingale wrt $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \in T}$.

Pf. By definition X_t is \mathcal{F}_t^X -measurable.

$\therefore (X_t)_{t \in T}$ is $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \in T}$ -adapted.

For $s \leq t$, $\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{G}_s]$

Examples.

1. Let $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ be an adapted process with independent increments.

If $\mathbb{E}[X_t - X_s] = 0 \quad \forall s \leq t$, then $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ is a martingale.

b/c $\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] =$

• (pre-) Brownian motion.

$$X_t - X_s \stackrel{d}{=} N(0, t-s), \text{ mean } 0$$

\therefore martingale.

• Poisson process $N_t - N_s \stackrel{d}{=} \text{Poiss}(\lambda(t-s)) \therefore \mathbb{E}(N_t - N_s) \geq 0$ submartingale

\hookrightarrow **Compensated Poisson process**

$$X_t = N_t - \lambda t$$

martingale.

• $\{\xi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ independent L^1 rv's, $X_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \xi_k$.

\hookrightarrow if $\mathbb{E}[\xi_k] = 0 \quad \forall k$, martingale. (Eg SRW)

\hookrightarrow if $\mathbb{E}[\xi_k] \geq 0 \quad \forall k$, submartingale. (RW $p > \frac{1}{2}$)

\hookrightarrow if $\mathbb{E}[\xi_k] \leq 0 \quad \forall k$, supermartingale. (RW $p < \frac{1}{2}$)

2. A gambler's earnings employing a betting strategy in a casino. [Lec 47.1]

3. Let $X \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. For the given filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in T}$, define

$$X_t := \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

We know $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_t}$ is an L^1 contraction, so $\|X_t\|_{L^1} \leq \|X\|_{L^1} < \infty$.

By definition, $\mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_t]$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable; $\therefore (X_t)_{t \in T}$ is adapted.

For $s \leq t$, $\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s]$

A martingale of this form is called a **regular martingale**.

↳ Not all martingales are regular.

Eg. SRW. If $X_n = \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_n]$ for $X \in L^1$, then

$\infty > \sup_n \mathbb{E}[|X_n|]$, \therefore if $b_n \uparrow \infty$, $\mathbb{E}[|X_n/b_n|] \rightarrow 0$.

4. Product martingales. $\{Z_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ independent, L^1 . Set $X_n = Z_1 \cdots Z_n$

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n]$$

Thus $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a martingale iff

If we take $Z_n \geq 0$ a.s. then $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is also ≥ 0 a.s., and
is a sub/super martingale
iff

In the case $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a martingale, $\mathbb{E}[|X_n|] = 1 \forall n$,
so the process is L^1 -bounded (unlike SRW).

But does that mean it is regular?

I.e. $\exists X \in L^1$ s.t. $X_n = \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_n]$?