

We've seen [Lec 44.2] that any irreducible, finite state Markov chain $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is positive recurrent:
and $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ has a unique invariant distribution

$$\mu_i := \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}^i[\tau_i]}$$

These weights have a precise meaning.

Theorem: (Ergodic theorem) Let $V_j(N)$ be the number of times $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ visits j in the first N steps.

$$V_j(N) = \sum_{n=0}^N \mathbb{1}_{X_n=j}$$

Then the **proportion** of time spent in state j converges to μ_j , \mathbb{P}^i -a.s.

$$\mathbb{P}^i \left(\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V_j(N)}{N} = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}^i[\tau_i]} \right) = 1, \quad \forall i, j.$$

$$\frac{V_j(N)}{N} \rightarrow \mu_j \quad P^i\text{-a.s.}, \quad \therefore \mathbb{E}^i \left[\frac{V_j(N)}{N} \right] \rightarrow \mu_j$$

It's not hard to see that if $q^n(i,j) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} v_j \quad \forall i$, then v is invariant. The Ergodic theorem is a kind of converse; but it does not imply. Indeed, that kind of pointwise convergence is just not true in general.

Eg. $q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Unique invariant distribution $\mu =$
and the chain is positive recurrent with

$$\mathbb{E}^i[\tau_i] =$$

But, for all i , $(q^n(i,j))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ alternates between 0,1. The chain is **periodic**.

To prove the Ergodic theorem, we use the strong Markov property.

Def. Let $\sigma_j^{(n)}$ be the n^{th} excursion time to state j :

$$\sigma_j^{(1)} := \inf \{n \geq 1 : X_n = j\}$$

$$\sigma_j^{(n)} := \inf \{n \geq 1 :$$

} The time it takes to return to j after the previous visit.

Lemma: Relative to \mathbb{P}^i for any i , $\{\sigma_j^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are independent, and $\{\sigma_j^{(n)}\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ are iid with

$$\text{Law}_{\mathbb{P}^i}(\sigma_j^{(n)}) = \text{Law}_{\mathbb{P}^j}(\tau_j) \quad \forall i, \forall n \geq 2.$$

Pf. Let $\tau_j^{(n)} = \inf \{n > \tau_j^{(n-1)} : X_n = j\}$, where $\tau_j^{(0)} = 0$.

I.e. $\tau_j^{(n)}$ is the n^{th} passage time to j . **Stopping time.**

Note that

$$\sigma_j^{(n+1)}(X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots) =$$

$$\sigma_j^{(n+1)}(X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots) = \sigma_j^{(1)}(X_{\tau_j^{(n)}}, X_{\tau_j^{(n)}+1}, X_{\tau_j^{(n)}+2}, \dots)$$

Since $X_{\tau_j^{(n)}} = j$, and since $\tau_j^{(n)}$ is a stopping time, by the Strong Markov property:

- $\sigma_j^{(n+1)}$ is independent from $(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{\tau_j^{(n)}})$

↳ But $\sigma_j^{(1)}, \dots, \sigma_j^{(n)}$ are functions of

- As $X_{\tau_j^{(n)}} = j$, $(X_{\tau_j^{(n)}}, X_{\tau_j^{(n)}+1}, \dots) \stackrel{d}{=} (X_0, X_1, \dots)$ under \mathbb{P}^j .

Pf. of Ergodic theorem: By SLLN:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i, \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} [\sigma_j^{(1)} + \sigma_j^{(2)} + \dots + \sigma_j^{(N)}] \\ = \mathbb{E}^i[\sigma_j^{(2)}] = \mathbb{E}^j[\tau_j] \quad \mathbb{P}^i \text{-a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

But $\sigma_j^{(1)} + \sigma_j^{(2)} + \dots + \sigma_j^{(N)}$

$V_j(N) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{X_n=j} = \# \text{ visits to } j \text{ during first } N \text{ steps.}$

$$\therefore \sum_j V_j(N) \leq N \leq \sum_j V_j(N) + 1$$