

A Markov process (taking values in a regular Borel space (S, \mathcal{B})) comes with transition operators $Q_{s,t}$ on $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathcal{B})$, satisfying the Chapman-Kolmogorov equations:

$$Q_{r,t} = Q_{r,s} Q_{s,t} \quad r \leq s \leq t$$

$$Q_{t,t} = \mathbb{I}.$$

This is analogous to saying: a sequence of iid random variables comes with a sequence of joint laws $\mu_n \in \text{Prob}(S^n, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes n})$ satisfying

$$\mu_n \otimes \mu_m = \mu_{n+m}$$

In [Lectures 16.1, 16.2] we considered the reverse question:

given "consistent" measures μ_n

can we actually find a sequence of iid random variables where the joint law of the first n is μ_n ?

The answer was yes, and we constructed the iid variables on the probability space $S^{\mathbb{N}}$ via Kolmogorov's Extension Theorem.

Constructing Markov Processes

Let (S, \mathcal{B}) be a standard Borel space

Suppose $\nu \in \text{Prob}(S, \mathcal{B})$, and $\{Q_{s,t}\}_{s \leq t \in T}$ are Markov transition operators (i.e., probability kernels over $(S, \mathcal{B})^2$ satisfying the Chapman-Kolmogorov eq's).

We're going to construct a Markov process $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ s.t. $\text{Law}_{\mathbb{P}}(X_0) = \nu$ and the transition operators of X are the given $\{Q_{s,t}\}$.

We'll do this by taking $\Omega = S^T = \{\omega: T \rightarrow S\}$

We construct X_t as the **coordinate process**

$$X_t(\omega) = \omega(t).$$

Thus, we need to define a σ -field on S^T and a probability measure $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^\nu$ on that σ -field s.t. the coordinate process has the right initial distribution and transition operators.

Let (S, \mathcal{B}) be a measurable space, and T any set.

$S^T = \{\omega: T \rightarrow S\}$ is the product.

For $t \in T$, the projection $\pi_t: S^T \rightarrow S$ is the map $\pi_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$.

Define the **product σ -field** $\mathcal{B}^{\otimes T} := \sigma(\pi_t: t \in T)$

We want to construct a measure $\mathbb{P}: \mathcal{B}^{\otimes T} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ from information about its

finite-dimensional marginals:

For $\Lambda \subseteq T$ finite, let $\mu_\Lambda \in \text{Prob}(S^\Lambda, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes \Lambda})$.

want $\mathbb{P} \in \text{Prob}(S^T, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes T})$ s.t. $\pi_\Lambda^* \mathbb{P} = \mu_\Lambda$

What if $\Lambda, \Lambda' \subseteq T$ overlap?

There must be some consistency.

Need: $\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda \Rightarrow \pi_{\Lambda'}^* \mu_\Lambda = \mu_{\Lambda'}$
 \uparrow
 $(\pi_{\Lambda'}|_{S^\Lambda})^* \mu_\Lambda$

$$\pi_t: S^T \rightarrow (S, \mathcal{B})$$

$$\sigma(\pi_t) = \{\pi_t^{-1}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

$$= \{\omega \in S^T : \omega(t) \in B\}$$

"cylinder sets"

Theorem: (Kolmogorov's (Extended) Extension Theorem)

Let (S, \mathcal{B}) be a standard Borel space, T any set.

For each finite subset $\Lambda \subseteq T$, let $\mu_\Lambda \in \text{Prob}(S^\Lambda, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes \Lambda})$, and suppose

$$\forall \Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda, \quad \pi_{\Lambda'}^* \mu_\Lambda = \mu_{\Lambda'}$$

Then $\exists! P \in \text{Prob}(S^T, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes T})$ s.t. $\pi_\Lambda^* P = \mu_\Lambda \quad \forall \Lambda \subseteq T$ finite.

Pf. Define an algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}^{\otimes T}$ by

union of algebras $\rightarrow \mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{\Lambda \subseteq T \text{ finite}} \sigma(\pi_\Lambda) \quad \{ \pi_\Lambda^{-1}(B) = B \in \mathcal{B}^{\otimes \Lambda} \} \quad \sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B}^{\otimes T}$

Define $P: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $P(A) = \mu_\Lambda(B)$.

$A = \pi_\Lambda^{-1}(B) \quad \begin{matrix} \Lambda \subseteq T \\ B \in \mathcal{B}^{\otimes \Lambda} \end{matrix}$

This is well-defined: $\pi_{\Lambda'}^{-1}(B) = \pi_{\Lambda'}^{-1}(B')$ ($|\Lambda'| \leq |\Lambda|$)

$$\Lambda = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$$

$$\pi_\Lambda^{-1}(B) = \{ \omega : (\omega(t_1), \dots, \omega(t_n)) \in B \}$$

$$\Lambda' = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$$

$$\pi_{\Lambda'}^{-1}(B') = \{ \omega : (\omega(s_1), \dots, \omega(s_m)) \in B' \}$$

$$\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda, \quad s_i = t_i \quad i \leq m, \quad B = B' \times S^{n-m}$$

$$\pi_{\Lambda'}^{-1}(B) = \pi_{\Lambda'}^{-1}(B') \Rightarrow \Lambda' \in \Lambda, B = B' \times S^{\Lambda \setminus \Lambda'} \therefore \mu_{\Lambda'}(B') = \pi_{\Lambda'}^* \mu_{\Lambda}(B')$$

Thus $\mathbb{P}(\pi_{\Lambda'}^{-1}(B)) := \mu_{\Lambda}(B)$ defines \mathbb{P} (well!) $= \mu_{\Lambda}((\pi_{\Lambda'}|_{\Lambda})^{-1}(B'))$
 $= \mu_{\Lambda}(B' \times S^{\Lambda \setminus \Lambda'}) = \mu_{\Lambda}(B)$
 on $A = \bigcup_{\Lambda \subseteq T \text{ finite}} \sigma(\pi_{\Lambda})$. Also $\mathbb{P}(S^T) = \mu_{\Lambda}(S^{\Lambda}) = 1$.

It's also a finitely additive measure: if $A_1, \dots, A_n \in A$ disjoint

$$A_j = \pi_{\Lambda_j}^{-1}(B_j) = \pi_{\Lambda}^{-1}(B'_j) \quad \Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cup \dots \cup \Lambda_n, \quad B'_j = B_j \times S^{\Lambda \setminus \Lambda_j}$$

\uparrow
disjoint

$$\therefore \mathbb{P}(A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_n) = \mu_{\Lambda}(B'_1 \cup \dots \cup B'_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_{\Lambda}(B'_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_j)$$

We've constructed a finitely-additive \mathbb{P} on A , and it (by design) has the "right" finite-dimensional marginals.

Now, all we have to do is show \mathbb{P} is countably additive on A .

Let $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$, $A_n \downarrow \emptyset$.

$\hookrightarrow A_n = \pi_{\Lambda_n}^{-1}(B_n)$ for some finite $\Lambda_n \subseteq T$, $B_n \in \mathcal{B}^{\otimes \Lambda_n}$

Set $L := \bigcup_n \Lambda_n \leftarrow$ countable.

Use the [Lecture 16.2] version of the Kolmogorov Extension Theorem!

The same consistency conditions implies

$\exists!$ $P_L \in \text{Prob}(S^L, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes L})$ s.t. $\pi_{\Lambda}^* P_L = \mu_{\Lambda} \quad \forall \Lambda \subseteq L$ finite.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus, } P(A_n) &= \mu_{\Lambda_n}(B_n) = \pi_{\Lambda_n}^* P_L(B_n) = P_L(\tilde{B}_n) \rightarrow 0. \\ &= P_L((\pi_{\Lambda_n}|_L)^{-1}(B_n)) \quad // \end{aligned}$$

$$(\pi_{\Lambda_n}|_L)^{-1}(B_n) = B_n \times S^{L \setminus \Lambda_n} = \tilde{B}_n$$

$$A_n = \pi_{\Lambda_n}^{-1}((\pi_{\Lambda_n}|_L)^{-1}(\tilde{B}_n))$$

$$\tilde{B}_n = (\pi_{\Lambda_n}|_L)^{-1}(\pi_{\Lambda_n}(A_n)) \downarrow \emptyset \text{ b/c } A_n \downarrow \emptyset.$$

Theorem: Let $\nu \in \text{Prob}(S, \mathcal{B})$ and let $\{Q_{s,t}\}_{s \leq t \in T}$ be Markov transition operators on $(S, \mathcal{B})^2$. Then there exists a unique probability measure

$$\mathbb{P}^\nu \in \text{Prob}(S^T, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes T})$$

st. $X_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$ is a Markov process on $(S^T, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes T}, \mathbb{P}^\nu)$ with transition operators $\{Q_{s,t}\}_{s \leq t \in T}$ and $X_0 \stackrel{d}{=} \nu$.

Pf. Idea = match the required f.d. distributions:

$$\text{Law}_{\mathbb{P}^\nu}(X_{t_0}, X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n})(dx_0 \dots dx_{n+1}) = \nu(dx_0) \prod_{i=1}^n q_{t_{i-1}, t_i}(x_{i-1}, dx_i)$$

So define, for $\Lambda = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n\}$

$$\mu_\Lambda(dx_0 \dots dx_n) =$$

we want to construct \mathbb{P}^ν s.t. $\pi_\Lambda^* \mathbb{P}^\nu = \mu_\Lambda$.

If so, $\mathbb{P}^\nu \{(X_{t_0}, \dots, X_{t_n}) \in B \in \mathcal{B}^{\otimes \Lambda}\}$

$$= \mu_\Lambda \left\{ \omega \in S^\Lambda : (\omega(t_0), \dots, \omega(t_n)) \in B \right\}$$

(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)

By Kolmogorov, we just need to show consistency of these μ_λ .
 To avoid a notational nightmare, let's just consider the example

$$\lambda = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2\} \supseteq \lambda' = \{0 = t_0 < t_2\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \pi_{\lambda'}^* \mu_\lambda (B_0 \times B_2) &= \mu_\lambda (B_0 \times S \times B_2) = \int_{B_0} \nu(d\alpha_0) \int_S q_{t_0, t_1}(\alpha_0, d\alpha_1) \int_{B_2} q_{t_1, t_2}(\alpha_1, d\alpha_2) \\ &= \int_{B_0 \times B_2} \nu(d\alpha_0) \int_S q_{t_0, t_1}(\alpha_0, d\alpha_1) \underbrace{q_{t_1, t_2}(\alpha_1, d\alpha_2)}_{= q_{t_0, t_2}(\alpha_0, d\alpha_2)} \\ &\downarrow \\ &= \int_{B_0} \nu(d\alpha_0) \int_{B_2} q_{t_0, t_2}(\alpha_0, d\alpha_2) \\ &= \mu_{\lambda'} (B_0 \times B_2) \end{aligned}$$

Following this calculation, we see that the Chapman-Kolmogorov equations imply consistency, giving us our measure P^ν by Kolmogorov's Extension.

So, we have a process X_t with the right f.d. distributions,
and \therefore the right transition operators.

It remains to show X_t has the Markov property (wrt $(\mathcal{F}_t^X)_{t \in T}$)

Fix $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1} = s < t = t_n$. Let $h \in \mathcal{B}(S^n, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes n})$, $g \in \mathcal{B}(S, \mathcal{B})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \mathbb{E}^\nu [h(X_{t_0, \dots}, X_{t_{n-1}}) g(X_{t_n})] &= \int_{S^{n+1}} h(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) g(x_n) \nu(dx_0) \prod_{i=1}^n q_{t_{i-1}, t_i}(x_{i-1}, dx_i) \\ &\int \cdot d\mathbb{P}^\nu = \int_{S^n} h(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) (\mathbb{Q}_{t_{n-1}, t_n} g)(x_{n-1}) \nu(dx_0) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} q_{t_{i-1}, t_i}(x_{i-1}, dx_i) \\ &= \mathbb{E}^\nu [h(X_{t_0, \dots}, X_{t_{n-1}}) (\mathbb{Q}_s + g)(X_s)] \end{aligned}$$

That is: if $Y = h(X_{t_0, \dots}, X_{t_{n-1}})$ for any $t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1} = s$,

then
$$\mathbb{E}^\nu [g(X_t) Y] = \mathbb{E}^\nu [(\mathbb{Q}_s + g)(X_s) Y].$$

By Dynkin, this \therefore holds $\forall Y \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_s^X)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \mathbb{E}[g(X_t) | \mathcal{F}_s^X] &= (\mathbb{Q}_s + g)(X_s) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \sigma(X_s) [(\mathbb{Q}_s + g)(X_s)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[g(X_t) | X_s]. \quad \text{///} \end{aligned}$$