

# Motivation: Dynamical Systems

There are two broad (and interwoven) kinds of dynamical systems: **discrete** and **continuous**.

**Discrete dynamics**: State space  $S$ ,  $x_0 \in S$   
Function  $f: S \rightarrow S$

Study the behaviour of the sequence

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$$

**Continuous dynamics**: State space  $S$  ( $= \mathbb{R}^d$  or a manifold),  $x_0 \in S$   
Function ("vector field")  $f: S \rightarrow S$  (or maybe  $T(S)$ )

Study the behaviour of the curve

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = f(x(t)), \quad x(0) = x_0.$$

In both settings, the dynamics is **deterministic**: if you know  $f$  and  $x_0$  with perfect precision, you know the full dynamics (in principle).

But what if there's noise / measurement error?

# A Model for Noisy (Discrete) Dynamics

State space  $S$ . We want to iterate  $x_{n+1} = f_n(x_n)$  as before, but this time with the function changing randomly as well.  $f_n: S \rightarrow S$  random function.

That's too vague / broad to do any consistent analysis.  
Here's a more precise model:

Probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , measurable space  $(R, \mathcal{H})$   
iid. sequence  $\{\xi_n\}: (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \rightarrow (R, \mathcal{H})$   
State (measurable) space  $(S, \mathcal{B})$

measurable Function:  $f: S \times R \rightarrow S$

Dynamical System:

$$X_{n+1} = f(X_n, \xi_{n+1}) \quad \text{with } X_0: (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (S, \mathcal{B}) \text{ measurable}$$

That is: our random function has the form  $f_n = f(\cdot, \xi_{n+1})$  indep. from  $\{\xi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$

$$X_n = f(X_{n-1}, \xi_n) = f(f(X_{n-2}, \xi_{n-1}), \xi_n)$$

$$= F_n(X_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$$

$$F_n: S \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow S \quad F_n(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

$$= f(f(\dots f(f(x, y_1), y_2) \dots), y_{n-1}), y_n)$$

measurable  $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{\otimes n} / \mathcal{B}$ .

$$\text{Set } \mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n), \quad n \geq 0 \quad \mathcal{F}_0 = \sigma(X_0)$$

$$= \sigma(X_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$$

$\therefore \xi_{n+1}$  is independent from  $\mathcal{F}_n$  (given  $\xi$ )

Thus, if  $g \in \mathcal{B}(S, \mathcal{B})$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X_{n+1}) | \mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbb{E}[g(f(X_n, \xi_{n+1})) | \mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbb{E}[g \circ f(x, \xi_{n+1}) | x = X_n]$$

Set  $Q_n(x, \cdot) = \text{Law}(f(x, \xi_n))$ ,  $L_n$  its Markov generator.

$$= \int g(y) Q_{n+1}(x, dy) | x = X_n = (L_{n+1} g)(X_n)$$

Thus  $\mathbb{E}[g(X_{n+1}) | \mathcal{F}_n]$   $\mathbb{B}(\Omega, \sigma(X_n))$   
 $= \mathbb{E}[g(X_{n+1}) | X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n] = (L_{n+1}g)(X_n)$

Take  $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma(X_n)}$  of both sides.

$$\mathbb{E}_{\sigma(X_n)}(\mathbb{E}[g(X_{n+1}) | \mathcal{F}_n]) = \mathbb{E}[g(X_{n+1}) | X_n] \text{ a.s. } \forall g \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B}).$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\sigma(X_n)}[(L_{n+1}g)(X_n) | \sigma(X_n)] = L_{n+1}g(X_n) = \mathbb{E}[g(X_{n+1}) | \mathcal{F}_n] \text{ a.s.}$$

We've arrived at the **Markov Property**:

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X_{n+1}) | X_0, \dots, X_n] = \mathbb{E}[g(X_{n+1}) | X_n] \text{ a.s. } \forall g \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})$$

Iterating the above argument yields the nominally stronger

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X_n) | \mathcal{F}_k] = \mathbb{E}[g(X_n) | X_k] \text{ a.s. } \forall k < n.$$

→  $\mathbb{E}[g(X_n) | \mathcal{F}_k] = (L_n L_{n-1} \dots L_{k+1} g)(X_k).$