

We've seen that if $\mu_n, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$,

$$1. \int g d\mu_n \rightarrow \int g d\mu \quad \forall g \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \mu_n \rightarrow_w \mu$$

$$\text{iff } 2. \int f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int f d\mu \quad \forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

E.g. $\mu_n = \frac{1}{2}\delta_0 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_n \in \text{Prob}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$

Does μ_n have a limit in some sense?

$$\hookrightarrow \text{If } f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}), \quad f(x) = 0 \quad \forall |x| \geq M \quad \int f d\mu_n = \int f \frac{1}{2} d\delta_0 = \frac{1}{2} f(0) \quad n \geq M$$
$$\mu_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\delta_0$$

Note: $\mu_n \not\rightarrow_w \frac{1}{2}\delta_0 \quad 1 = \int 1 d\mu_n \not\rightarrow \int 1 d(\frac{1}{2}\delta_0) = \frac{1}{2}$

In fact, $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ possesses no weakly convergent subsequence.

What's going on?

Def: Let μ_n, μ be Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^d .

Say μ_n converges vaguely to μ , $\mu_n \rightarrow_v \mu$,

if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f d\mu \quad \forall f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

It is possible to lose mass, but not gain it, under vague convergence. Indeed, if

$$1 < \mu(\mathbb{R}^d) = \lim_{R \uparrow \infty} \mu(B_R) \quad \exists R < \infty \text{ s.t. } \mu(B_R) > 1.$$

Let $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \geq f \geq \mathbb{1}_{B_R}$.

$$\int f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int f d\mu \geq \int \mathbb{1}_{B_R} d\mu = \mu(B_R) > 1.$$

$\therefore \forall$ large n , $\int f d\mu_n > 1$

$$\mu_n(\mathbb{R}^d) = \int \overset{1}{\wedge} d\mu_n$$

Def: Let S be a topological space.

A family $\Lambda \subseteq \text{Prob}(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ is called **tight**

if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists K_\varepsilon \subseteq S$ compact st. $\mu(K_\varepsilon) \geq 1 - \varepsilon \quad \forall \mu \in \Lambda$.

i.e. $\inf_{\mu \in \Lambda} \mu(K_\varepsilon) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$.

Eg. We showed that if $\mu_n \rightarrow_w \mu$ on \mathbb{R}^d , $\lim_{R \uparrow \infty} \inf_n \mu_n(\bar{B}_R) = 1$
So we can take $K_\varepsilon = \bar{B}_R$ for some sufficiently large R .

I.e. weakly convergent sequences of probability measures on \mathbb{R}^d are tight.

Eg. $\mu_n = \frac{1}{2}\delta_0 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_n$. $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is not tight.

If K is compact, $\mu_n(K) \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall$ large n .

Theorem: If $\mu_n \in \text{Prob}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $\mu_n \rightarrow_v \mu$ for some Borel measure, then $\mu(\mathbb{R}^d) = 1$ iff $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is tight (in which case $\mu_n \rightarrow_w \mu$).

Pf. (\Rightarrow) $\mu(\mathbb{R}^d) = 1 \Rightarrow \{\mu_n\}$ is tight (ok $\mu_n \rightarrow \nu$) ✓

(\Leftarrow) Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, let K_ε be s.t. $\mu_n(K_\varepsilon) \geq 1 - \varepsilon \forall n$.
Let $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\mathbb{1}_{K_\varepsilon} \leq f \leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\mathbb{R}^d) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 1 \, d\mu \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, d\mu_n \\ &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{1}_{K_\varepsilon} \, d\mu_n \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(K_\varepsilon) \geq 1 - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

✓

Prop: Let $\mu_n \in \text{Prob}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$, and let μ be a finite Borel measure on \mathbb{R} .

Let $F_n(t) = \mu_n(-\infty, t]$, $F(t) = \mu(-\infty, t]$.

Then $\mu_n \rightarrow_v \mu$ iff

$$F_n(b) - F_n(a) \rightarrow F(b) - F(a) \quad \forall a, b \in \text{Cont}(F).$$

Pf. [HW].

Eq. $\mu_n = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_n + \delta_{-n})$

$$\int f d\mu_n = \frac{1}{2}(f(n) + f(-n)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \forall f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$$

$\int f d\delta \leftarrow$ zero measure.

$\mu_n \rightarrow_v 0$. $F_0(t) \equiv 0$.

$$F_n(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < -n \\ \frac{1}{2} & -n \leq t < n \\ 1 & t \geq n \end{cases} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$$