

# Hyperplane arrangements and classical moduli spaces

Steven Sam

University of California, Berkeley

September 20, 2014

See also: “Algebraic Combinatorics, II”, 3:00pm – 3:20pm  
“Directions in Commutative Algebra: Past, Present, Future II”,  
4:30pm – 5:15pm

Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{H_1, \dots, H_m\}$  be a collection of hyperplanes in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ .

Attached to each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  is a linear form  $\ell_H$  and attached to each

**flat**  $F = H_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{i_r}$  is a product

$$M_F = \prod_{F \subseteq H \in \mathcal{H}} \ell_H.$$

A choice of flats  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_N\}$  gives a rational map

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathbf{P}^{n-1} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{N-1}$$

$$[x_1 : \dots : x_n] \mapsto [M_{F_1}(x) : \dots : M_{F_N}(x)].$$

This can be factored as

$$\mathbf{P}^{n-1} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{m-1} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{N-1}$$

where first map is

$$[x_1 : \dots : x_n] \mapsto [\ell_{H_1}(x) : \dots : \ell_{H_m}(x)]$$

and second map is a monomial map, so its image gives a toric variety.

We study cases when the image of

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathbf{P}^{n-1} &\dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{N-1} \\ [x_1 : \cdots : x_n] &\mapsto [M_{F_1}(x) : \cdots : M_{F_N}(x)]\end{aligned}$$

has a modular interpretation.

The ambient toric variety gives (easy-to-find) binomial equations vanishing on the image.

Our examples come from root systems.

Each vector  $v$  in Euclidean space gives a reflection  $s_v$ :  
 $s_v$  negates  $v$  and fixes the hyperplane orthogonal to it.

Take the following  $63 = \binom{8}{2} + \binom{7}{3}$  vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^8$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & e_i - e_j \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq 8), \\ & \frac{1}{2}(e_8 + \sum_{i \in \sigma} e_i - \sum_{j \notin \sigma} e_j) \quad \sigma \subset \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}, |\sigma| = 3 \end{aligned}$$

The group generated by all  $s_v$  is isomorphic to  $W(E_7)$ .

Arthur Cayley found a remarkable bijection between these 63 vectors and the nonzero vectors in the finite vector space  $\mathbf{F}_2^6$ .  
 (i.e., length 6 0-1 bitstrings under XOR)

A. Cayley, *J. Reine Angew. Mathe.* **87** (1879), 165–169.

	000	100	010	110	001	101	011	111
000		236	345	137	467	156	124	257
100	237	67	136	12	157	48	256	35
010	245	127	23	68	134	357	15	47
110	126	13	78	145	356	25	46	234
001	567	146	125	247	45	17	38	26
101	147	58	246	34	16	123	27	367
011	135	347	14	57	28	36	167	456
111	346	24	56	235	37	267	457	18

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x_1y_4 + x_2y_5 + x_3y_6 + x_4y_1 + x_5y_2 + x_6y_3, \quad (x, y \in \mathbf{F}_2^6)$$

$$\mathbf{Sp}_6(\mathbf{F}_2) = \{g \in \mathbf{GL}_6(\mathbf{F}_2) \mid \langle gx, gy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbf{F}_2^6\}.$$

The table gives bijection between 63 vectors and  $\mathbf{F}_2^6 \setminus 0$  (example:  $247 \leftrightarrow 001110$ ) that preserves orthogonality.

**Theorem (Cayley?)**

$$W(E_7) \cong \mathbf{Z}/2 \times \mathbf{Sp}_6(\mathbf{F}_2)$$

For any smooth projective curve  $C$  of genus  $g$ , the set of all isomorphism classes of line bundles on  $C$  forms a group under tensor product.

Those of degree 0 also have the structure of a  $g$ -dimensional smooth projective variety  $\mathcal{J}(C)$ , the **Jacobian** of  $C$ .

Alternatively, using differential forms, we could define  $\mathcal{J}(C)$  as a special quotient of the form  $\mathbf{C}^g / (\mathbf{Z}^g + \tau \mathbf{Z}^g)$

The **Kummer variety**  $\mathcal{K}(C)$  of  $C$  is the quotient of  $\mathcal{J}(C)$  by the involution  $L \mapsto L^{-1}$  (the inverse map on line bundles). It naturally admits an embedding in projective space  $\mathbf{P}^{2g-1}$ .

For our plane quartic, we have  $g = 3$ , and we will consider the Kummer variety as a subvariety of  $\mathbf{P}^7$ .

There is a natural subgroup of automorphisms in  $\mathbf{GL}_8$  acting on  $\mathcal{K}(C) \subset \mathbf{P}^7$ . Let  $(x_{ijk})_{i,j,k \in \mathbf{Z}/2}$  be the coordinates on  $\mathbf{P}^7$ . This comes from translation by 2-torsion points in  $\mathcal{J}(C)$ .

The **(finite) Heisenberg group**  $H$  is generated by the 6 operators

$$\begin{array}{ll} x_{ijk} \mapsto (-1)^i x_{ijk} & x_{ijk} \mapsto x_{i+1,j,k} \\ x_{ijk} \mapsto (-1)^j x_{ijk} & x_{ijk} \mapsto x_{i,j+1,k} \\ x_{ijk} \mapsto (-1)^k x_{ijk} & x_{ijk} \mapsto x_{i,j,k+1} \end{array}$$

The Heisenberg group  $\tilde{H}$  is obtained by adding all scalar matrices. The action of  $\tilde{H}$  preserves  $\mathcal{K}(C)$ .

Connecting to  $W(E_7)$ : Let  $N(\tilde{H}) = \{g \in \mathbf{GL}_8 \mid g\tilde{H}g^{-1} = \tilde{H}\}$  be the normalizer. Then  $N(\tilde{H})/\tilde{H} \cong \mathbf{Sp}_6(\mathbf{F}_2)$ .

Arthur Coble (1878–1966) showed that  $\mathcal{K}(C)$  is the singular locus of a quartic hypersurface  $\mathcal{Q}(C)$  in  $\mathbf{P}^7$ , and that this is the unique such quartic hypersurface with this property.

Explicitly, given  $C$ , this means that there is a unique homogeneous quartic polynomial  $F_C$  so that  $\mathcal{K}(C)$  is the solution set of the partial derivatives of  $F_C$ .

By uniqueness, the equation of  $\mathcal{Q}(C)$  will be an invariant of the finite Heisenberg group  $H$ . The space of invariant quartic polynomials is 15-dimensional. So this equation has the following form:



# Coble's quartic hypersurface

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_C = & \quad r \cdot (x_{000}^4 + x_{001}^4 + x_{010}^4 + x_{011}^4 + x_{100}^4 + x_{101}^4 + x_{110}^4 + x_{111}^4) \\
 & + s_{001} \cdot (x_{000}^2 x_{001}^2 + x_{010}^2 x_{011}^2 + x_{100}^2 x_{101}^2 + x_{110}^2 x_{111}^2) \\
 & + s_{010} \cdot (x_{000}^2 x_{010}^2 + x_{001}^2 x_{011}^2 + x_{100}^2 x_{110}^2 + x_{101}^2 x_{111}^2) \\
 & + s_{011} \cdot (x_{000}^2 x_{011}^2 + x_{001}^2 x_{010}^2 + x_{100}^2 x_{111}^2 + x_{101}^2 x_{110}^2) \\
 & + s_{100} \cdot (x_{000}^2 x_{100}^2 + x_{001}^2 x_{101}^2 + x_{010}^2 x_{110}^2 + x_{011}^2 x_{111}^2) \\
 & + s_{101} \cdot (x_{000}^2 x_{101}^2 + x_{001}^2 x_{100}^2 + x_{010}^2 x_{111}^2 + x_{011}^2 x_{110}^2) \\
 & + s_{110} \cdot (x_{000}^2 x_{110}^2 + x_{001}^2 x_{111}^2 + x_{010}^2 x_{100}^2 + x_{011}^2 x_{101}^2) \\
 & + s_{111} \cdot (x_{000}^2 x_{111}^2 + x_{001}^2 x_{110}^2 + x_{010}^2 x_{101}^2 + x_{011}^2 x_{100}^2) \\
 & + t_{001} \cdot (x_{000} x_{010} x_{100} x_{110} + x_{001} x_{011} x_{101} x_{111}) \\
 & + t_{010} \cdot (x_{000} x_{001} x_{100} x_{101} + x_{010} x_{011} x_{110} x_{111}) \\
 & + t_{011} \cdot (x_{000} x_{011} x_{100} x_{111} + x_{001} x_{010} x_{101} x_{110}) \\
 & + t_{100} \cdot (x_{000} x_{001} x_{010} x_{011} + x_{100} x_{101} x_{110} x_{111}) \\
 & + t_{101} \cdot (x_{000} x_{010} x_{101} x_{111} + x_{001} x_{011} x_{100} x_{110}) \\
 & + t_{110} \cdot (x_{000} x_{001} x_{110} x_{111} + x_{010} x_{011} x_{100} x_{101}) \\
 & + t_{111} \cdot (x_{000} x_{011} x_{101} x_{110} + x_{001} x_{010} x_{100} x_{111})
 \end{aligned}$$

Question: what conditions are imposed on the coefficients  $r, s, t$ ?

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be (the closure of) the set of all  $[r : s_{100} : \dots : t_{111}]$  (**Göpel variety**) such that the solution set of the partial derivatives of the above polynomial is the Kummer variety  $\mathcal{K}(C)$  of some plane quartic curve  $C$ .

Recall that  $\mathbf{Sp}_6(\mathbf{F}_2) = N(\tilde{H})/\tilde{H}$ . So the space of coefficients  $r, s, t$  in the equation of the Coble quartic is a linear representation of  $\mathbf{Sp}_6(\mathbf{F}_2)$ .

Back to the root system:

- There are 135 collections of 7 roots which are pairwise orthogonal, and  $W(E_7)$  acts transitively on them.
- Each collection gives a degree 7 polynomial (take the product of the corresponding linear functionals), and the linear span of these 135 polynomials is 15-dimensional.
- This gives a linear representation of  $W(E_7)$ , which is a special instance of a **Macdonald representation**.

Ignoring the  $\mathbf{Z}/2$  factor, this is the same representation as above.

Let  $c_1, \dots, c_7$  be a fixed set of pairwise orthogonal roots and do a change of coordinates to them. Then the matching of the two representations is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 r &= 4c_1c_2c_3c_4c_5c_6c_7 \\
 s_{001} &= c_1c_2c_7(c_3^4 - 2c_3^2c_4^2 + c_4^4 - 2c_3^2c_5^2 - 2c_4^2c_5^2 + c_5^4 - 2c_3^2c_6^2 - 2c_4^2c_6^2 - 2c_5^2c_6^2 + c_6^4) \\
 &\vdots \\
 t_{111} &= c_4(-c_1^4c_2^2 + c_1^2c_2^4 + c_1^4c_3^2 - c_2^4c_3^2 - c_1^2c_3^4 + c_2^2c_3^4 - c_1^4c_5^2 - 2c_1^2c_2^2c_5^2 + 2c_2^2c_3^2c_5^2 + c_3^4c_5^2 \\
 &\quad + c_1^2c_5^4 - c_3^2c_5^4 + 2c_1^2c_2^2c_6^2 + c_2^4c_6^2 - 2c_1^2c_3^2c_6^2 - c_3^4c_6^2 + 2c_1^2c_5^2c_6^2 - 2c_2^2c_5^2c_6^2 + c_5^4c_6^2 \\
 &\quad - c_2^2c_6^4 + c_3^2c_6^4 - c_5^2c_6^4 + c_1^4c_7^2 - c_2^4c_7^2 + 2c_1^2c_3^2c_7^2 - 2c_2^2c_3^2c_7^2 + 2c_2^2c_5^2c_7^2 - 2c_3^2c_5^2c_7^2 \\
 &\quad - c_5^4c_7^2 - 2c_1^2c_6^2c_7^2 + 2c_3^2c_6^2c_7^2 + c_6^4c_7^2 - c_1^2c_7^4 + c_2^2c_7^4 + c_5^2c_7^4 - c_6^2c_7^4)
 \end{aligned}$$

So we can think of the  $r, s, t$  as functions on  $\mathbf{R}^7$ . Complexify this to  $\mathbf{C}^7$ , and we get a map on  $\mathbf{P}^6$  (only defined on an open dense set)

$$\mathbf{P}^6 \dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{14}$$

$$[c_1 : \dots : c_7] \mapsto [r(c) : s_{100}(c) : \dots : t_{111}(c)]$$

The closure of the image is the Göpel variety  $\mathcal{G}$ .

# Theorem (Ren–S.–Schrader–Sturmfels)

The 6-dimensional Göpel variety  $\mathcal{G}$  has degree 175 in  $\mathbf{P}^{14}$ . The homogeneous coordinate ring of  $\mathcal{G}$  is Gorenstein, it has the Hilbert series

$$\frac{1 + 8z + 36z^2 + 85z^3 + 36z^4 + 8z^5 + z^6}{(1 - z)^7},$$

and its defining prime ideal is minimally generated by 35 cubics and 35 quartics. The graded Betti table of this ideal in the polynomial ring  $\mathbf{Q}[r, s_{001}, \dots, t_{111}]$  in 15 variables equals

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
total:	1	70	609	1715	2350	1715	609	70	1
0:	1	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
1:	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
2:	.	35	21	.	.	.	.	.	.
3:	.	35	588	1715	2350	1715	588	35	.
4:	.	.	.	.	.	.	21	35	.
5:	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
6:	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1

- The toric variety that contains the Göpel variety  $\mathcal{G}$  is 35-dimensional and not well-understood. Its prime ideal contains 630 cubics and 12285 quartics but not much else is known. For example, is it projectively normal?
- There is a similar story with the root system of type  $E_6$  and moduli of cubic surfaces.
- The monomial map gives a tractable way to tropicalize the moduli spaces of interest. For the moduli of cubic surfaces, this was done.