

Transcendental Dimension

Prop. $A = d$ -dimensional noetherian local ring, $\mathfrak{m} = \text{maximal ideal}$.

x_1, \dots, x_d system of parameters, $\mathfrak{g} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$

let $f \in A[t_1, \dots, t_d]$ homogeneous of degree s s.t.

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathfrak{g}^{s+1}$$

let $\bar{f} = \text{reduction of } f \text{ modulo } \mathfrak{g}$. Then \bar{f} is a zero divisor in

$$(A/\mathfrak{g})[t_1, \dots, t_d]$$

Pf. Define $\alpha: (A/\mathfrak{g})[t_1, \dots, t_d] \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A/\mathfrak{g}^{i+1}$
 $\alpha(t_i) = x_i \text{ (in } \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^2)$

Since f homog of deg s , $\alpha(\bar{f}) \in \mathfrak{g}^s/\mathfrak{g}^{s+1}$, so $\bar{f} \in \ker \alpha$.

\Rightarrow have surjection $(A/\mathfrak{g})[t_1, \dots, t_d]/\bar{f} \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$

\Rightarrow For all n , have $l((A/\mathfrak{g})[t_1, \dots, t_d]/\bar{f})_n \geq (\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A))_n$

For $n \gg 0$, both sides given by polynomial (*)

↓
poly of deg $d-1$

First, $n \rightarrow l((A/\mathfrak{g})[t_1, \dots, t_d])_n$

is polynomial of deg $d-1$.

Suppose \bar{f} not zero divisor. Then have exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (A/\mathfrak{g})[t_1, \dots, t_d]_{n-s} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} (A/\mathfrak{g})[t_1, \dots, t_d]_n \rightarrow ((A/\mathfrak{g})[t_1, \dots, t_d]/\bar{f})_n \rightarrow 0$$

\Rightarrow (*) has degree $\leq d-2 \rightarrow \leftarrow$

$\Rightarrow \bar{f}$ is a zero divisor □

Cor. Let $A = d$ -dim noetherian local ring, \mathfrak{m} = maximal ideal

Assume \exists field $k \subset A$. Then any system of parameters in A is algebraically independent over k .

Pf. Suppose x_1, \dots, x_d is system of parameters which is algebraically dependent over k . So $\exists f \in k[t_1, \dots, t_d]$ s.t. $f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = 0$.

Let s = smallest degree of nonzero monomial in f

Let f_s = sum of degree s terms in f ; let $g = f - f_s$.

$$\Rightarrow g(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in (x_1, \dots, x_d)^{s+1}$$

$$\Rightarrow f_s(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in (x_1, \dots, x_d)^{s+1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{f}_s \in A/(x_1, \dots, x_d)[t_1, \dots, t_d] \text{ is a zerodivisor.}$$

But, $k \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is injective, so

\bar{f}_s has coeff. in k and is "same polynomial" as f_s .

And any polynomial whose coefficients are units cannot be zerodivisor. $\rightarrow \leftarrow$ □

Suppose k field, A is f.g. k -algebra (which is a domain).

$$\dim A = \sup_m \{ \dim A_m \}$$

If $\dim A_m = d$, $\exists f_1, \dots, f_d \in \text{Frac}(A)$ which are alg. ind. over k .

Recall. Given field extension $k \rightarrow E$, a transcendence basis for E over k is a maximal set of algebraically independent elements

(1) All transcendence bases have same size. (call it transcendence degree)

(2) If E is f.g. over k as a field, then transcendence degree is finite.

Thm. Let $k =$ algebraically closed field.

$A =$ f.g. k -algebra which is domain.

Then $\dim A =$ transcendence degree of $\text{Frac}(A) / k$.

Furthermore, $\dim A = \dim A_m$ for all maximal ideals m .

pf. First suppose $A \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ polynomial ring.

$\text{Frac} A = k(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ has transcendence degree d over k

By Nullstellensatz, every maximal ideal is of the form

$(x_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, x_d - \alpha_d)$ for some $\alpha_i \in k$.

So they're all related by linear change of coordinates.

$\Rightarrow \dim A_m$ independent of m .

$\text{gr}_{(x_1, \dots, x_d)} A_{(x_1, \dots, x_d)} \cong A \Rightarrow \dim A = d. \quad \checkmark$

General case: Noether normalization gives:

$B \subset A$ s.t. A is integral over B and $B \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$

polynomial ring. $\Rightarrow \text{Frac}(A)$ algebraic over $\text{Frac}(B)$,

So both have same transcendence degree over k . ($= d$)

let $m \subset A$ be maximal ideal.

Then $\eta = m \cap B$ is also maximal.

let $p_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq p_r$ be chain of prime ideals in A_m .

Intersect w/ B_n : $p_0 \cap B_n \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq p_r \cap B_n$.
↑
strict by "incomparability"

$$\Rightarrow d = \dim B_n \geq \dim A_m.$$

On the other hand any strict chain of prime ideals in B_n comes from intersecting strict chain of prime ideals in A_m by "going-down thm" $\Rightarrow \dim A_m \geq \dim B_n$.

$$\Rightarrow \dim A_m = d \quad \forall \text{ maximal ideals } m.$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim A = d$$

□