

BFNW 93

NW. if EXPTIME cannot be approximated by poly size circuits, BPP admits weakly subexp simulations.

The goal is to weaken the hypothesis.

BFNW EXPTIME \subseteq P/POLY \Rightarrow BPP admits weakly subexp time simulation.

A little clarification: $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$

Def A machine $M = L(M)$ weakly computes L if, for infinitely many n , $L \cap \Sigma^n = L(M) \cap \Sigma^n$.

Def L admits a weakly subexp time simulation if $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists$ a 2^{n^ϵ} time bound machine M s.t. M weakly computes L .

~~Def~~ ~~Rank explicitly,~~ EXPTIME cannot be simulated approximated.

Let $f: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma$. f cannot be approximated by poly size circuits if $\forall k \forall n^k$ -size circuit families $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ $\Pr_{x \in \Sigma^n} (c_n(x) \neq f_n(x)) > \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \gamma_2 - \gamma_{n^k}$ If of BFNW. We go by contraposition.

Suppose BPP does not admit weakly subexp time simulation. Well show EXPTIME \subseteq P/POLY.

Let L be an EXPTIME-complete language, $L = \{f_n\}$ boolean functions. Take a prime $p \gg n$. $g_n: \mathbb{Z}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ unique multilinear extensions of f_n . We want to make c_n poly-size circuit family $\{c_n\}$ that computes g_n s.t. $\Pr_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} (g_n(x) \neq c_n(x)) \leq \frac{1}{3^n}$. Well then construct $\{D_n\}$ from $\{c_n\}$ to compute g_n with very high certainty.

Step I. Input $x_0 = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$. Consider $g_n(at+x) = g(t)$, with degree $\leq n$. We can interpolate $g(t)$ if we can find $n+1$ distinct points.

Interpolation algorithm:

Select $t_1, \dots, t_{n+1} \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ at random.

Compute $c_n(at_i + x) = g(t_i)$.

This gives a probabilistic circuit, with probability of incorrectness at most $(n+1)(\frac{1}{3^n}) = \frac{n+1}{3^n} \leq \frac{2}{5}$

By repeating computation, we can take the majority of answers and make probability of incorrectness as small as we like.

Step I. we assume BPP does not admit weakly subexp simulation, and WTS \mathcal{F} computes that approximates g_1 .

Again contradiction. Suppose $\forall k \forall n$ -size $\{c_n\}$ for infinitely many n $\Pr_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (g_n(x) \neq c_n(x)) > \frac{1}{3n}$.

XOR Lemma Let $G: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$. Define $H: \{0,1\}^{nk} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ if $H(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{kn}) = G(x_1, \dots, x_n) \oplus \dots \oplus G(x_{(n-1)k+1}, \dots, x_{nk})$. If $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{C}$ computes H with probability $\geq \frac{t}{2} + (1-\epsilon)^k + \delta$, then $\exists C_2$ ckt of size approximately $\text{size}(C_1)/6$, that computes G w/ prob $> 1-\epsilon$