

## CIRCUIT COMPLEXITY SCRIBE NOTES, 10/16/13

BENJAMIN GREENBERG

First we give some lower bounds on the size of circuits over  $B_2$ . In particular, we consider circuits that compute a function  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  that depends on all its inputs. Trivially,  $C_{B_2}(f) \geq n - 1$  since  $n - 1$  gates are required just to incorporate all the inputs into a single circuit.

- (Schnorr, 1974) Lower bound of  $2n - 3$ .
- (Paul, 1977) Lower bound of  $2n - o(n)$  for the storage access function.
- (Stockmeyer, 1977) Lower bound of  $2.5n - O(1)$ .
- (N. Blum, 1984) Lower bound of  $3n - o(n)$ .
- (Kojevnikov) Lower bound of  $7n/3$ .

Some slightly larger lower bounds have been found if we modify our basis to  $U_2 = B_2 \setminus \{\oplus, \equiv\}$ .

- (Schnorr, 1974) Lower bound of  $3n - O(1)$  on  $\oplus$ .
- (Zwick, 1991) Lower bound of  $4n - O(1)$  on counting.
- (Iwana, Lachish, Morizaki, Razborov, 2001) Lower bound of  $4.5n - o(n)$ .
- (Iwana, Morizaki, 2002) Lower bound of  $5n - o(n)$ .

If we further limit our basis to  $\{\wedge, \neg\}$  or  $\{\vee, \neg\}$ , we get a still better (though still linear) lower bound of  $7n - 7$  (Redkin, 1973).

We will give the proof of Schnorr's lower bound, but first we require a definition.

**Definition.**  $Q_{2,3}^n$  is the set of functions  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  with the following properties:

- (1)  $f$  depends on all its inputs.
- (2) If  $n \geq 3$ , then for any two distinct  $x_i, x_j$  inputs, there are at least 3 subfunctions of  $f$  that can be obtained by setting  $x_i, x_j$  equal to constants.
- (3) For all inputs  $x_i$ , there is a constant setting  $c$  such that letting  $x_i = c$  yields a function in  $Q_{2,3}^{n-1}$ .

Exercise: Is  $Q_{2,3}^n$  equivalently defined if we remove condition 3?

As a simple example of such a function, consider the  $n$ -ary function that checks whether the sum of its inputs is 0 modulo 3. It is readily seen that this function satisfies all three requirements of the definition.

**Theorem (Schnorr, 1974).** *Let  $f \in Q_{2,3}^n$ . Then  $C_{B_2} \geq 2n - 3$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $n$ . The base cases  $n = 0$  and  $n = 1$  are easy to check, since then  $2n - 3 < 0$ . If  $n = 2$ ,  $2n - 3 = 1$ , and since  $f$  depends on all its inputs  $C_{B_2}(f) \geq n - 1 = 1$ , so this case is taken care of as well.

Now consider  $n > 2$ . Let  $C$  be a minimum size  $B_2$ -circuit for  $f$ . There is a gate  $g$  in  $C$  that takes as its inputs  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ . By minimality of  $C$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Because  $g$  has only two possible outputs, at least one of  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  appears elsewhere in  $C$  as an input, as otherwise we would violate the requirement that setting these variables to constants yields at least 3 subfunctions of  $f$ .

Without loss of generality suppose  $x_i$  appears in some other gate  $g'$ , and set  $x_i = c$  such that the resulting function is in  $Q_{2,3}^{n-1}$ , which we can do by definition. This function can be computed by the circuit arrived at by removing at least  $g$  and  $g'$  from  $C$ , and by our inductive hypothesis any such circuit is of size at least  $2(n-1) - 3$ . Hence,  $\text{Size}(C) - 2 \geq 2(n-1) - 3$ , establishing the claim.  $\square$

We now consider monotone functions.

**Definition.** *Let  $f$  be an  $n$ -ary Boolean function.  $f$  is monotone provided: If  $a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $a_i \geq b_i$ , then  $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \geq f(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ . A circuit is monotone if all its gates compute monotone functions.*

The only examples of monotone functions in  $B_2$  are the two identity functions, constants,  $\wedge$ , and  $\vee$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $C$  be a monotone circuit. Then  $C$  computes a monotone function.*

*Proof.* Induction on the number of gates in  $C$ .  $\square$

Conversely, if  $f$  is an  $n$ -ary monotone function, then it is computed by a monotone formula of size  $\leq n2^n$ .

*Proof.* Write  $f$  in DNF, and erase the negated variables from disjunctions, as well as duplicate conjunctions. This process is allowed since  $f$  is monotone, and the resulting formula has the appropriate size.  $\square$

We now consider lower bounds for monotone circuits.

**Definition.** We define the clique function  $\text{Clique}_{n,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{\binom{n}{2}})$  to be 1 if the graph defined by the edges  $x_i$  contains a  $k$ -clique and 0 otherwise. This function is clearly monotone since adding edges to a graph never destroys a clique.

**Theorem (Razborov, 1985).** For  $3 \leq k \leq n^{1/4}$ , any monotone circuit for  $\text{Clique}_{n,k}$  has size  $\geq n^{\Omega(\sqrt{k})}$ .

**Definition.** A slice function is an  $n$ -ary Boolean function such that there exists  $k \leq n$  such that  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$  if  $\sum_i x_i \leq k$  and is 1 otherwise.

To prove the final theorem of this section, we need the following theorem which will be proved later.

**Theorem.** There are polynomial size monotone formulas for  $\text{Th}_k^n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

**Theorem.** If  $f$  is a slice function and has  $B_2$  circuit of size  $m$ , then it has a monotone circuit of size  $mn^{O(1)}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $C$  be a  $B_2$  circuit for  $f$ . Without loss of generality it is a  $\{\wedge, \vee, \neg\}$ -circuit with negations only on variables. This increases the size of the circuit by only a constant factor.

Now replace  $C$  with  $\text{Th}_{k+1}^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \vee (\text{Th}_k^n \wedge C^*)$ , where  $C^*$  is  $C$  with each  $\neg x_i$  replaced with  $\text{Th}_k^n(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$ . This computes the same function as  $C$  and is monotone, so we have found a circuit computing  $f$  that is linear in  $m$  and polynomial in  $n$ .  $\square$