

# Math 260AB - Introduction to Mathematical Logic

Winter and Spring 2008

Instructor: Sam Buss

## Homework I. Due: Monday, January 23.

General instructions for all homeworks: Proofs are not required unless the problem asks for a proof. Don't forget conventions on omitting parentheses. The notation "NA" means "not assigned".

**NA-1.** Explain the Russell and Whitehead "dot notation" used in *Principia Mathematica*. (See page 10 of volume 1 of *Principia Mathematica* which is available online from the University of Michigan, or see the entry in the online Stanford Encyclopedia of Logic on the notation used in the *Principia Mathematica*.) Specifically: describe how to convert from parentheses to dot notation, and vice-versa.

1. Prove that  $\{\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow\}$  is not a functionally complete set of connectives.
2. Prove that  $\{\neg, \leftrightarrow\}$  is not a functionally complete set of connectives. (For the latter, see also problem 7 below.)
3. Let the Shaeffer stroke (NAND) be defined so that  $p|q$  means the same as  $\neg(p \wedge q)$ . Prove that  $\{| \}$  is functionally complete.
4. Prove that  $\{\rightarrow, \perp\}$  is functionally complete.
5. Let  $\downarrow$  be the NOR connective;  $(p \downarrow q) \equiv \neg(p \vee q)$ . Prove that there is no other binary Boolean connectives besides  $\downarrow$  and  $|$  that is functionally complete. [Hint: there are 16 binary Boolean connectives, including constant functions and functions that depend on only one input.]
6. Use a truth table to prove that  $(p \leftrightarrow q) \leftrightarrow r$  is tautologically equivalent to  $p \leftrightarrow (q \leftrightarrow r)$ .
7. Describe succinctly the conditions under which the formula

$$p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2 \leftrightarrow p_3 \leftrightarrow \cdots \leftrightarrow p_n$$

is true. That is, give a natural characterization of when a truth assignment assigns this formula the value True.

8. Let  $p_{i,j}$  be distinct variables, for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Consider the CNF formula

$$\varphi := \bigwedge_{i=1}^m \bigvee_{j=1}^n p_{i,j}.$$

Describe the formula  $\varphi^{DNF}$  that is (a) equivalent to  $\varphi$  and (b) is in disjunctive normal form. (Say, as obtained from  $\varphi$  by using distributivity of  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$ .) How large is the formula  $\varphi^{DNF}$ ? (Suggestion: measure the size of  $\varphi^{DNF}$  by the number of occurrences of variables in the formula.)

Can you prove your bound on the size of the DNF formula is optimal?

9. Give an example of a set  $\Gamma$  of formulas which is not tautologically equivalent to any finite set  $\Delta$  of formulas.
10. Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is tautologically equivalent to some finite set  $\Delta$ . Prove that there is a finite subset  $\Gamma_0$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_0$  are tautologically equivalent.
11. Suppose that  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$  are sets of formulas that express the negation of each other. Namely, suppose that any given truth assignment  $\tau$  satisfies exactly one of  $\Gamma$  or  $\Delta$ . Prove that  $\Gamma$  (and similarly  $\Delta$ ) is tautologically equivalent to some finite set formulas.