

Math 260A — Mathematical Logic — Scribe Notes
UCSD — Spring Quarter 2012
Instructor: Sam Buss
Notes by: Angela Hicks
May 14th

Last time we talked about the Δ_0 definition of the graph of $2^x = y$.

Claim 1. $I\Delta_0$ proves “simple” properties of exponentiation, such as:

1. $(2^x = y) \rightarrow (2^{x+y} = 2y)$
2. $(2^x = y) \cup (2^{x'} = y') \rightarrow (2^{x+x'} = yy')$
3. $2^0 = 1$

Note that we technically proved only expressibility, not provability.
 Recall how we incrementally expressed i and i^* :

<table border="1" style="border-collapse: collapse; width: 60px; height: 60px;"> <tr><th>i</th><th>i^*</th></tr> <tr><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>10</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr><td>11</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>100</td><td>x100</td></tr> <tr><td>101</td><td>x1</td></tr> </table>	i	i^*	1	1	10	10	11	1	100	x100	101	x1	or	<table border="1" style="border-collapse: collapse; width: 60px; height: 60px;"> <tr><th>i</th><th>i^*</th></tr> <tr><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>10</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr><td>11</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>100</td><td>x100</td></tr> <tr><td>101</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>110</td><td>x10</td></tr> </table>	i	i^*	1	1	10	10	11	1	100	x100	101	1	110	x10	or	<table border="1" style="border-collapse: collapse; width: 60px; height: 60px;"> <tr><th>i</th><th>i^*</th></tr> <tr><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>10</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr><td>11</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>100</td><td>x100</td></tr> <tr><td>101</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>110</td><td>x10</td></tr> <tr><td>111</td><td>x1</td></tr> </table>	i	i^*	1	1	10	10	11	1	100	x100	101	1	110	x10	111	x1	or finally,	<table border="1" style="border-collapse: collapse; width: 60px; height: 60px;"> <tr><th>i</th><th>i^*</th></tr> <tr><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>10</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr><td>11</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>100</td><td>100</td></tr> <tr><td>101</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>110</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr><td>111</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>1000</td><td>x1000</td></tr> </table>	i	i^*	1	1	10	10	11	1	100	100	101	1	110	10	111	1	1000	x1000
i	i^*																																																																	
1	1																																																																	
10	10																																																																	
11	1																																																																	
100	x100																																																																	
101	x1																																																																	
i	i^*																																																																	
1	1																																																																	
10	10																																																																	
11	1																																																																	
100	x100																																																																	
101	1																																																																	
110	x10																																																																	
i	i^*																																																																	
1	1																																																																	
10	10																																																																	
11	1																																																																	
100	x100																																																																	
101	1																																																																	
110	x10																																																																	
111	x1																																																																	
i	i^*																																																																	
1	1																																																																	
10	10																																																																	
11	1																																																																	
100	100																																																																	
101	1																																																																	
110	10																																																																	
111	1																																																																	
1000	x1000																																																																	

Note that with each table, we add (in net) two more symbols from one table to the next. This can be formalized in $I\Delta_0$. Let

$$w = 1^*2^* \dots k^*.$$

As we showed in class last week,

$$|w| = 2k - \text{Numones}(k).$$

Let \bar{w} give w with the ‘x’s in the above table recorded as 1s.

Example 1. $w = 11011001$ and $\bar{w} = 1101110011$.

The sketched inductive argument from the tables above gives us that $|\bar{w}| = 2^k$.

Theorem 1. $I\Delta_0$ can Δ_0 define $\text{Numones}(x) = i \leftrightarrow (|\bar{w}| - |w| = 2i)$, where $|w| := \text{least } i \leq w : 2^i > w$

Example 2. $5 = (101)_2$ so $|5| = 3$ since $2^3 > 5 > 2^2$

Claim 2. $I\Delta_0$ proves “simple” properties of $\text{Numones}(x)$, such as:

1. $\text{Numones}(x) \leq |x|$
2. $\text{Numones}(2x) = \text{Numones}(x)$
3. $\text{Numones}(2x + 1) = \text{Numones}(x) + 1$
4. “ z is a power of 2” implies $\text{Numones}(z) = 1$
5. $\text{Numones}(x + 2^{|x|}y) = \text{Numones}(x) + \text{Numones}(y)$

1 An Alternated Method for Sequence Encoding Based on Numones

In base 4, use 2 as a comma. Thus represent $\langle a_0, \dots, a_{k-1} \rangle$ as $2a_02a_1 \dots 2a_{k-1}$, with each a_i written in binary notation. Then Numones can be used to count the number of 2s.

$$w \rightarrow x; \forall i \leq x \text{Bit}(i, x) = 1 \leftrightarrow \text{Qit}(i, w) = 2 \text{Bit}(2i+1, w) \cap \text{Qit}(i, w) = \text{Bit}(i, w) + 2$$

Then $\text{Numones}(x) = \text{Numcommas}(w)$. Also let

$$\text{Len}(w) := \text{Numcommas}(w),$$

$\text{Last}(w) := w \bmod 4^i$, where i is the least value such that $\text{Qit}(i, w) = 2$,

$$\text{Pickout}(i, w) = \frac{w}{4^j} \text{ where } j \text{ least such that } \text{Len}\left(\frac{w}{4^j}\right) = i,$$

$$\beta(i, w) := \text{Last}(\text{Pickout}(i + 1, w))$$

Claim 3. $I\Delta_0$ proves “simple” properties of sequence coding, along with Δ_0 defining $x \rightarrow \langle x \rangle$, $w \frown x$ (appending), and $w_1 * w_2$ (concatenating):

1. $\beta(0, \langle x \rangle) = x$
 $\text{Len}(\langle x \rangle) = 1$
 $\text{Len}(\langle \rangle) = 0$
2. $\text{Len}(w \frown x) = \text{Len}(w) + 1$

3. $\text{Len}(w_1 * w_2) = \text{Len}(w_1) + \text{Len}(w_2)$
4. $i < \text{Len}(w) \rightarrow \beta(i, w) = \beta(i, w \frown x) = \beta(i, w * w)$
5. $\beta(\text{Len}(w), w \frown x) = x$
 $\beta(i + \text{Len}(w), w * w') = \beta(i, w')$
6. $|\langle a_0, \dots, a_{k-1} \rangle| = 2 \left(k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} |a_i| \right)$, where the sequence has no extraneous leading 0s, is in base 4 representation with no 3s, and any 2 in base four notation is not followed by a 0.

Unassigned homework:

$$|\langle a_0, \dots, a_{k-1} \rangle| = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i$$

is Δ_0 definable in $I\Delta_0$.

Recall $I\Delta_0$ does not prove $\forall x \exists y (2^x = y)$.

Theorem 2. $I\Sigma_1 \vdash \forall x \exists y (2^x = y)$.

Proof. Recall that $I\Sigma_1$ is $I\Delta_0$ and induction on Σ_1 formulas. Arguing informally, $I\Sigma_1$ with define the sequence $w = \langle 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, \dots \rangle$ where $\beta(i, w) = 2^i$. Then w satisfies $\phi(w)$ where

$$\phi(w) := (\beta(0, w) = 1) \cap (\forall i < \text{Len}(w) - 1) \beta(i + 1, w) = 2\beta(i, w).$$

$$I\Sigma_1 \vdash \forall i \exists w (\phi(w) \cap \text{Len}(w) = i + 1)$$

using induction on i .

$I\Sigma_1 \vdash \phi(0)$ using $w = \langle 1 \rangle$.

$I\Sigma_1 \vdash \phi(i) \rightarrow \phi(i + 1)$ using $w \rightarrow w \frown 2\beta(\text{Len}(w) - 1, w)$.

Thus $I\Sigma_1 \vdash \forall i \phi(i)$

Then 2^x as a function is Σ_1 defined by

$$2^x = y \leftrightarrow \exists w (\text{Len}(w) = x + 1 \cap \phi(w) \cap \beta(x, w) = y).$$

This Σ_1 definition of 2^x is $I\Sigma_1$. □

Theorem 3. $I\Sigma_1$ can Σ_1 define every primitive recursive function.

Proof. (Sketch.) By induction, starting with 0, S , and the projection maps, and then inductively working with composition and primitive recursion. (We show the latter.) Suppose $I\Sigma_1$ can Σ_1 define $g(x)$ and $h(m, s, x)$ and suppose

$$f(0, x) = g(x)$$

$$f(m + 1, x) = h(m, f(m, x), x).$$

We want to show that $I\Sigma_1$ can Σ_1 define f . By assumption we have

$$\Phi_y(\bar{x}, y) \leftrightarrow g(\bar{x}) = y,$$

$$\Phi_h(m, s, \bar{x}, y) \leftrightarrow h(m, s, \bar{x}) = y,$$

and that Φ_g and Φ_h are in Σ_1 . Define $f(\bar{x}) = y$ by

$$w = \langle f(0, \bar{x}), \text{dots}, f(m, \bar{x}) \rangle,$$

$$f(m, \bar{x}) = y \leftrightarrow \exists w (\text{Len}(w) = m + 1 \cap \beta(0, w) = g(\bar{x}) \cap \\ (\forall i < \text{Len}(w) - 1) (\beta(i + 1, w) = h(i + 1, \beta(i, w), \bar{x})) \cap \beta(m, w) = y).$$

Thus

$$f(m, \bar{x}) = y \leftrightarrow \exists w (\text{Len}(w) = m + 1 \cap \Phi_g(\bar{x}, \beta(0, w)) \cap \\ (\forall i < \text{Len}(w) - 1) \Phi_h(i + 1, \beta(i, w), \bar{x}, \beta(i + 1, w))).$$

□