## Math 260A — Mathematical Logic — Scribe Notes UCSD — Spring Quarter 2012 Instructor: Sam Buss

Notes by: Thomas Barrett April 23, 2012

## 1 The Kleene T Predicate

We have already defined  $\operatorname{Init}_{M}(x)$  and  $\operatorname{Next}_{M}(w)$ , where

$$w = \langle \text{state}, \langle \text{symbols to the right} \rangle, \langle \text{symbols to the left} \rangle \rangle$$
.

And furthermore, we have defined the predicate  $Comp_M(x,v)$ . Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Comp}_{M}(x,v) &\Leftrightarrow v \text{ is a sequence } \langle v_{0},\ldots,v_{l-1}\rangle, \\ & \text{where } v_{0} = \operatorname{Init}_{M}(x), \\ & v_{i+1} = \operatorname{Next}_{M}(v_{i}), \\ & v_{l-1} = \operatorname{halting configuration} \end{aligned}$$

We now define the Kleene T predicate. This predicate says something like  $\operatorname{Comp}_M(x,v)$ , but without fixing the Turing machine M. T(e,x,w) means "w codes a complete computation of the Turing machine M with Gödel number  $\lceil M \rceil = e$  on input x." We claim that this is primitive recursive. (Note that the reason why this might be dubious is that  $\lceil M \rceil$  might not be primitive recursive.)

One way to prove this would be to create a new Next function which takes in  $\lceil M \rceil$  and x and gives the next configuration.

We show that T is primitive recursive another way. Define

$$f(e,x) = \operatorname{output}(\mu w \ T(e,x,w))$$
,

where

$$\mathrm{output}(w) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{value output by TM in configuration } w \text{ if it's in state } q_H \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

and  $\mu w \dots$  means "the least w such that ...". Notice that the output function is primitive recursive.

**Theorem 1.** For any partial recursive function g(x) there is an  $e \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}$ , g(x) = f(e, x) and  $g(x) = \text{output}(\mu w \ T(e, x, w))$ .

*Proof.* Let g be computed by some Turing machine M. Let  $e = \lceil M \rceil$ . Now the result follows from applying the appropriate definitions.

Now since the output function is primitive recursive,  $\mu$  is primitive recursive, and g is primitive recursive, we have the desired result: T is primitive recursive as well.

## 2 Some Remarks on Unbounded Minimization

```
Let h_2(x\vec{y}) = (\mu z)(R(z, \vec{y})) := \begin{cases} \text{least } y \text{ s.t. } R(z, y) \text{ if it exists} \\ \text{undefined otherwise} \end{cases}. We define an algorithm for (partially) computing h_2(\vec{y}):
```

```
Input \vec{y}.

Loop: z = 0, 1, 2, ...

Evaluate R(z, \vec{y}).

If accepts, then output z

End loop.
```

This algorithm proves the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** If R(z,y) is recursive, then  $h_2(\vec{y})$  is partial recursive.

Now we present another kind of unbounded minimization. Let  $h_3$  be a partial recursive function. Then define  $h_4(y) = (\mu z)(h_3(z, \vec{y}) = 0)$ . Here's an algorithm for  $h_4$ :

```
Take input y.

Loop z = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...

Evaluate h_3(z, \vec{y}).

If this halts and outputs 0, then output z.

End loop.
```

So we have:

$$h_4(y) = (\mu z)(h_3(z\vec{y}) = 0)$$
  
:= 
$$\begin{cases} z \text{ s.t. } h_3(z, \vec{y}) = 0 \text{ and } \forall z' < z, h_3(z', \vec{y}) \downarrow \neq 0 \text{ if there is such a } z \\ \text{undefined otherwise} \end{cases}$$

And we have the following theorem and corollary.

**Theorem 3.**  $h_4$  is partial recursive.

Corollary 1. For  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $g(x) = output(\mu w \ T(e, x, w))$  is partial recursive.

Note that unbounded minimization takes us out of the realm of primitive recursive.

## 3 Runtime and Primitive Recursive Runtime

We begin with some definitions.

**Definition 1.** A Turing machine M has runtime s(n) for  $s : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  if for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  (or  $x \in \Sigma^*$ ), if n = |x| (where |x| is the length of x, or number of symbols in x) then M(x) runs for  $\leq s(n)$  steps.

**Definition 2.** Furthermore, if s(n) is primitive recursive then M is said to have primitive recursive runtime.

To conclude, we prove one little theorem about Turing machines with primitive recursive runtime.

**Theorem 4.** If f is a function computed by a Turing machine with primitive recursive runtime, then f is primitive recursive.

*Proof.* Let M compute f. Then we know

$$f(x) = \text{output}(\mu w \leq \text{Bd}(s(|x|)) \text{ s.t. } T(\lceil M \rceil, x, w))$$
,

where  $\mathrm{Bd}(s(|x|))$  upper bounds the w's that code s(|x|) steps of a Turing machine.

Now note that the Bd function is primitive recursive. So everything on the right hand side is primitive recursive, and hence f is as well.