Math 260A — Mathematical Logic — Scribe Notes UCSD — Spring Quarter 2012 Instructor: Sam Buss

Notes by: Thomas Barrett April 9, 2012

1 Theorems on Decidability, Semi-Decidability, and Enumerability

Recall that last time we were talking about recursive, semi-decidable, and recursively enumerable relations/functions. Here we prove a number of theorems.

Theorem 1. If R is recursive (i.e. decidable or computable), then R is recursively enumerable (i.e. computably enumerable, or equivalently, semi-decidable).

Proof. If a Turing machine M decides R, then M semi-decides R. And since R is semi-decidable if and only if R is recursively enumerable (by a theorem last time), we conclude that R is recursively enumerable, as desired.

We now need a couple of definitions:

Definition 1. Let $R \subset \Sigma^*$, then the *complement of* R, denoted \bar{R} , is defined by $\bar{R} := \Sigma^* - R$.

Definition 2. R is corecursively enumerable if and only if \bar{R} is recursively enumerable.

The following theorem shows the relationship between recursive/corecursive enumerability and recursivity.

Theorem 2. R is recursive if and only if R is recursively enumerable and corecursively enumerable.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Assume R is recursive. By Theorem 1, R is recursively enumerable. It is clear that if R is recursive, then \bar{R} is recursive as well. For since R is recursive, there is a Turing machine M which decides R. Modify M by exchanging the states q_Y and q_N . Then this new Turing machine decides \bar{R} .

So R is recursive implies that \bar{R} is recursive, which implies that \bar{R} is recursively enumerable (by Theorem 1 again), which implies that R is corecursively enumerable.

 (\Leftarrow) Assume M_1 semi-decides R and M_2 semi-decides \bar{R} . We give the following algorithm to decide R.

Input $w \in \Sigma^*$. For each $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ we do the following:

- 1. Run $M_1(w)$ for i steps, if it enters its q_Y state, then enter the 'accept' state (q_Y for our new machine).
- 2. Run $M_2(w)$ for i steps, if it enters its q_Y state, then enter the 'reject' state $(q_N$ for our new machine).

For a given w, either 1 or 2 will eventually happen since M_1 and M_2 semi-decide R and \bar{R} , respectively. So our new machine will always halt with the correct answer, and hence it decides R.

We will prove most of the following theorem, but part of it will be left for HW.

Theorem 3. The following are equivalent:

- 1. R is semi-decidable.
- 2. R is recursively enumerable.
- 3. R is the range of a partial recursive function.
- 4. R is the domain of a partial recursive function.
- 5. $R = \emptyset$ or R is the range of a recursive function.

Note that \emptyset is decidable and consequently semi-decidable. And more generally, any finite set is recursive.

Proof. We proved last time that $1) \Leftrightarrow 2$.

We show that $1) \Rightarrow 4$). Assume that M semi-decides R. We can assume without loss of generality that if $w \in R$, then M(w) enters q_Y and if $w \notin R$, then M(w) diverges (i.e. it never halts).

Modify M to form M' in the following way. If M enters q_Y , then M' instead prints a 0 on the tape and enters q_H . So M' computes a partial recursive function whose domain is

$$\{w|M(w)\downarrow\}$$
,

as desired.

We show that $1) \Rightarrow 3$). Assume that M is as above, that is, M semi-decides R. Form M'' such that if M(w) enters q_Y , M'' writes w as its output and enters q_H . It is fairly straightforward to see how this might be done. For example, one could have M'' make a copy of w on the tape, then run M on one of the two copies of w. If M enters state q_Y , then return to the left-most entry on the tape of the other copy of w.

We show that $1) \Rightarrow 5$). Assume again that M is as above, and furthermore that $R \neq \emptyset$. Let $w_0 \in R$. We give the following algorithm for deciding R:

Define the function f by:

$$f(w,i) = \begin{cases} w & \text{if } M(w) \text{ enters } q_Y \text{ in } \leq i \text{ steps} \\ w_0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

It is easy to see that f is recursive, since we can just run M on w for i steps. And range(f) = R. Clearly range $(f) \subset R$, and $R \subset \text{range}(f)$ since for all $w \in R$ there is some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that M(w) accepts in less than or equal to i steps.

The rest of the theorem has been left for HW.

One remark deserves to be made about our proof of $1) \Rightarrow 5$). It assumes that $|\Sigma| \geq 2$. But there are a couple of ways that we can use a single member of Σ^* to encode a pair of members in Σ^* .

For example, for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, we can give a single $k \in \mathbb{N}$ which encodes both i and j:

$i \backslash j$	1	2	3	4	
1	0	2	5	9	
2	1	4	8		
3	3	7			
4	0 1 3 6				

So we can combine the two inputs that f needs above into simply 1 input. This means that we do not need the assumption that $|\Sigma| \geq 2$.

2 The Universal Turing Machine

Very roughly speaking, the Universal Turing Machine is a Turing machine that can do anything that any other Turing machine can do.

We can code Turing machines as strings. Fix Σ and assume that $\{0,1\} \subset \Sigma$. Encode a Turing machine M by a string in Σ^* . Call this the Gödel number of M, in other words $\lceil M \rceil$.

One way to do this is as follows. Given a fixed M, assume without loss of generality that $\Gamma = \Sigma$ for M. At first we use

$$\Sigma' = \Sigma \cup Q \cup \{R, L, N\} \cup \{\$, \}$$
.

The Gödel number of M is a description of the transition function δ for M. Let $\delta(q, \sigma) = (\sigma', m, q')$, where $m \in \{R, L, N\}$, $q, q' \in Q$, and $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma$. We can encode this as follows:

Now we concatenate entries like this for all of the values of δ (since δ is finite, this will work).

And we can now reduce the Σ that we were working with. Encode q, q' in binary notation. Also encode R, L, N as binary strings. For example, R as 00, L as 11, N as 01. So our tape

becomes a string of 0's, 1's, commas, and \$'s. Now encode 0 as 00, 1 as 11, \$ as 01, and 'comma' as 10 (for example). Then we have a string of symbols from Σ which completely encode our Turing machine M.

We now conclude with a more formal definition of the Universal Turing Machine.

Definition 3. The Universal Turing Machine, U, is a Turing machine with two inputs defined as follows:

$$U(\lceil M \rceil, w) = M(w)$$
.

For $\lceil M \rceil$ the Gödel number of a Turing machine M, and $w \in \Sigma^*$. If M(w) halts in state q_Y or q_N , then so does U. And if M(w) halts in q_H and outputs v, so does U. And if $M(w) \uparrow$, then so does $U(\lceil M \rceil, w)$.