

Substitution: Recall in propositional logic: $A(B/p_i)$
First order: If $B \models C$, A^* is A w B replaced with C , then $A \models A^*$

Substitution of terms for variables x_i :

Example: $\exists x_2 (x_2 + x_2 = x_1)$ " x_1 is even".

To say $x_3 + x_4$ is even, $\exists x_2 (x_2 + x_2 = x_3 + x_4)$

Notation A is $\exists x_2 (x_2 + x_2 = x_1)$

$A(x_3 + x_4 / x_1)$ - $\exists x_2 (x_2 + x_2 = x_3 + x_4)$

Model uses $A_{x_1}[x_3 + x_4]$.

Warning: What if want to say $x_2 + x_3$ is even?

$A(x_2 + x_3 / x_1) = \exists x_2 (x_2 + x_2 = x_2 + x_3)$

does not say " $x_2 + x_3$ " is even.

$\models \exists x_2 (x_2 + x_2 = x_2 + x_3)$

Fix #1: Use an "alphanumeric variant" like $\exists x_5 (x_5 + x_5 = x)$

Fix #2 Define "substitutable for" - OK to substitute.

Notation - $A(t_1, \dots, t_k / x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})$ means substitute "in parallel"
each t_j for each free occurrence of x_{i_j} .

$A(\vec{t}/\vec{x})$ - shorthand notation.

Definition of substitution. Let s be a function. Let $t_1, \dots, t_k, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}$
be as above
Then $s(\vec{t}/\vec{x})$ is recursively defined by:

(1) If s is x_{i_j} , then $s(\vec{t}/\vec{x})$ is t_j .

(2) If s is x_i , $i \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ or s is a constant symbol c ,
then $s(\vec{t}/\vec{x})$ is s .

(3) If s is $f(v_1, \dots, v_\ell)$ - f is ℓ -ary function,
 $s(\vec{t}/\vec{x})$ is $f(v_1(\vec{t}/\vec{x}), \dots, v_\ell(\vec{t}/\vec{x}))$.

Let A be a formula.

(4) If A is atomic, i.e. A is $v_1 = v_2$ or $P(v_1, \dots, v_\ell)$, P - ℓ -ary
then $A(\vec{t}/\vec{x})$ is $v_1(\vec{t}/\vec{x}) = v_2(\vec{t}/\vec{x})$, or $P(v_1(\vec{t}/\vec{x}), \dots, v_\ell(\vec{t}/\vec{x}))$.

(5) If A is $\neg B$ or $B \wedge C$ or $\forall x_i B$, $i \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ or $\exists x_i B$,
then $A(\vec{t}/\vec{x})$ is $\neg B(\vec{t}/\vec{x})$ or $B(\vec{t}/\vec{x}) \wedge C(\vec{t}/\vec{x})$ or $\forall x_i (B(\vec{t}/\vec{x}))$
or $\exists x_i (B(\vec{t}/\vec{x}))$.

(6) If A is $\forall x_{i_j} B$ then $A(\vec{t}/\vec{x})$ is

$$\forall x_{i_j} B(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_k / x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{j-1}}, x_{i_{j+1}}, \dots, x_{i_k}).$$

Defn t is substitutable for x_i in A is inductively defined by:

(1) IF A is atomic, t is substitutable for x_i in A .

(2) IF A is $\neg B$ or $B \circ C$ the t is substitutable for x in A
iff t is substitutable for x in B , or in both B and C

(3) IF A is $Qx_i B$, then t is substitutable for x_i in A .

(4) IF A is $Qx_j B$, $j \neq i$, then t is substitutable for x_i in A
iff either x_j does not occur in t or x_i is not free in B .
(in A)

In formally t is substitutable for x_i in A iff there is no
free occurrence of x_i in A , in the scope of
a quantifier Qx_j such that x_j occurs in t .

Example
 $x_3 + x_4$ is substitutable for x_1 in $\exists x_2 (x_2 + x_2 = x_1)$
 $x_2 + x_3$ is not substitutable " " " " " "

Theorem: (a) Let s be a term. t_j 's terms, x_{ij} 's variables

$$\models x_{i_1} = t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_{i_k} = t_k \rightarrow \underline{s = s(\vec{t}/\vec{x})}$$

(b) If each t_j is substitutable for x_{ij} in A , then

$$\models x_{i_1} = t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_{i_k} = t_k \rightarrow (A \leftrightarrow A(\vec{t}/\vec{x}))$$

pf - omitted -

Examples (1) $\models x = y \rightarrow x + z = y + z$

Take s to be $x + z$ $s(y/x)$ is $y + z$.

(2) $\models x = y \rightarrow u = v \rightarrow x + u = y + v$

Take s to be $x + u$, $s(y, v/x, u)$ is $y + v$.

(3) For f - k -ary,

$$y_1 = z_1 \rightarrow y_2 = z_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y_k = z_k \rightarrow f(y_1, \dots, y_k) = f(z_1, \dots, z_k).$$

Take s to be $f(y_1, \dots, y_k)$ $s(\vec{z}/\vec{y})$.

Equality Axiom

(4) $x = y \rightarrow (x \leq z \leftrightarrow y \leq z)$

Take A to be $x \leq z$ $A(y/x)$ is $y \leq z$.

(5) $x = y \rightarrow u = v \rightarrow (x \leq u \leftrightarrow y \leq v)$. Take A to be $x \leq u$.

(6) $y_1 = z_1 \rightarrow y_2 = z_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y_k = z_k \rightarrow (P(y_1, \dots, y_k) \leftrightarrow P(z_1, \dots, z_k))$
 where P is k -ary Equality Axioms.

Alphabetic Variants

$\exists x_2 (x_2 + x_2 = x_1) \neq \exists x_3 (x_3 + x_3 = x_1)$

Theorem Let $\exists x B$ be a formula.

Suppose y is substitutable for x in B and y does not appear free in B .

then

$\exists x B \models \exists y B(y/x)$

Example B is $x_2 + x_2 = x_1$
 $x_1, x_2, y = x_3$

Proof: $\models x = y \rightarrow (B \leftrightarrow B(y/x))$ by Previous Theorem.

- $\mathcal{M} \models \exists x B[\sigma] \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M} \models B[\tau]$ for some x -variant τ of σ .
- $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M} \models B[\pi]$ for the y -variant π of τ with $\pi(y) = \tau(x)$
- $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M} \models B(y/x)[\pi]$ since $\pi(y) = \pi(x)$ since y not free in B .
- $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M} \models \exists x B(y/x)[\pi]$
- $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M} \models \exists y B(y/x)[\sigma]$ since σ, π differ only in x and y and x, y not free in $\exists y B(y/x)$

QED

Informal notation for substitution.

Often write $A(x)$ and then later $A(t)$

to mean A is a formula (with free variable x
that occurs only as indicated)

and $A(t)$ is $A(t/x)$.

Understood that t is substitutable for x in A

and there are no "extra" occurrences of x in A .

In structures, if \mathcal{M} is a structure and $a \in |\mathcal{M}|$,

then $\mathcal{M} \models A(a)$ means

$\mathcal{M} \models A[\sigma]$ for any σ s.t. $\sigma(x) = a$.

If A is $A(x_1 \dots x_k)$

$\mathcal{M} \models A[a_1 \dots a_k]$ means $\mathcal{M} \models A[\sigma]$

for any σ s.t. $\sigma(x_i) = a_i$ for all i .

Understood - use an alphabetic variant if necessary