

## Soundness Theorem:

- (a) If  $\mathcal{T}$  is satisfiable, then  $\mathcal{T}$  is consistent.
- (b) If  $\mathcal{T} \vdash A$ , then  $\mathcal{T} \vDash A$ .

## Completeness Theorem

- (a) If  $\mathcal{T}$  is consistent, then  $\mathcal{T}$  is satisfiable.
- (b) If  $\mathcal{T} \vDash A$ , then  $\mathcal{T} \vdash A$ .

## Compactness

Defn  $\mathcal{T}$  is finitely satisfiable if every finite  $\mathcal{T}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  is satisfiable.

## Compactness Theorem

- (a)  $\mathcal{T}$  is satisfiable if and only if  $\mathcal{T}$  is finitely satisfiable.
- (b)  $\mathcal{T} \vDash A$  if and only if  $\exists$  finite  $\mathcal{T}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  s.t.  $\mathcal{T}_0 \vDash A$ .

## Pf of Compactness, part (a):

"only if"  $\Rightarrow$  - Easy

"if" ( $\Leftarrow$ ): Suppose  $\mathcal{T}$  is unsatisfiable (&  $\mathcal{T}$  is finitely satisfiable)

Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is inconsistent by Completeness (a)

So there is a finite  $\mathcal{T}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  s.t.  $\mathcal{T}_0$  is inconsistent

i.e. there is a finite  $\mathcal{T}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  s.t.  $\mathcal{T}_0 \vdash \neg(p, \neg p)$

So by Soundness,  $\exists$  finite  $\mathcal{T}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  s.t.  $\mathcal{T}_0$  is unsatisfiable

Let's prove part (b) of Completeness from part (c)

Assume  $\Gamma \not\models A$ . Want to show  $\Gamma \not\models A$ .

By  $\Gamma \not\models A$ ,  $\Gamma \cup \{\neg A\}$  is consistent.

By part (a) of Completeness,  $\Gamma \cup \{\neg A\}$  is satisfiable.

So  $\Gamma \not\models A$ .

qed.

Let's prove part (a) of the Soundness from part (b)

Assume  $\Gamma$  is inconsistent. Want to show  $\Gamma$  is unsatisfiable.

Since  $\Gamma$  is inconsistent,  $\Gamma \vdash \neg(p_1 \rightarrow p_1)$ .

By part (b),  $\Gamma \models \neg(p_1 \rightarrow p_1)$

But  $\varphi(\neg(p_1 \rightarrow p_1)) = \text{F}$  for all  $\varphi$ , so  $\Gamma$  is unsatisfiable.

qed.

Soundness part (b) If  $\Gamma \vdash A$ , then  $\Gamma \models A$ .

Assume  $\Gamma \vdash A$

Let  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k$  be a PL-proof of  $A$  from  $\Gamma$   
•  $B_k$  is  $A$ .

We'll show  $\Gamma \models B_i$  for  $i=1, \dots, k$ , by induction on  $i$

Case (1):  $B_i$  is a PL-axiom or  $B_i \in \Gamma$  (a hypothesis)

Then  $\Gamma \models B_i$  (since all axioms are tautologies)

Case (2)  $B_i$  is inferred by M.P. from  $B_j$  and  $B_k$ ,  $j, k < i$   
with  $B_k$  in the form  $B_j \rightarrow B_i$

Induction hypotheses:  $\Gamma \models B_j$   ~~$\Gamma \models B_j$~~   $\Gamma \models B_j \rightarrow B_i$

Let  $\varphi$  be any truth assignment satisfying  $\Gamma$ .

We need to show  $\varphi(B_i) = T$ .

We know  $\varphi(B_j) = T$  and  $\varphi(B_j \rightarrow B_i) = T$ .

By definition of truth for  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\varphi(B_i) = T$ .

z.e.d.

Proof of part (a) of the Completeness:  $T$  is consistent  $\Rightarrow T$  is satisfiable

Definition:  $T$  is complete if, for all  $A$ , either  $A \in T$  or  $\neg A \in T$ .

Lindenbaum's Theorem: Suppose  $T$  is consistent,

then there is a consistent and complete  $\Pi \supseteq T$ .

Example  $T = \{p_1\}$ ,  $\Pi = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots\} \cup \{\text{all tautological consequences of } \{p_1, p_2, \dots\}\}$

Proof of Lindenbaum's Theorem:

Step (1) Enumerate all formulas ( $\neg, \rightarrow$ -formulas) as a countable list  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots$

E.g For  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  loop:

Enumerate all formulas with  $\leq k$  symbols using only variables  $p_1, \dots, p_k$

end for .

Step (2) Define  $T_0 = T$

$T_i = \begin{cases} T_{i-1} \cup \{A_i\} & \text{if this is consistent} \\ T_{i-1} \cup \{\neg A_i\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Let  $\Pi = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} T_i$

$$\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{T}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_i = \begin{cases} \mathcal{T}_{i-1} \cup \{A_i\} & \text{if consistent} \\ \mathcal{T}_{i-1} \cup \{\neg A_i\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_i \mathcal{T}_i$$

"limit of the  $\mathcal{T}_i$ 's"

★ Claim For all  $A$ , either  $A \in \mathcal{T}$  or  $\neg A \in \mathcal{T}$ .

Pf This is clear - since every  $A$  is an  $A_i$ :

Claim  $\mathcal{T}_i$  is consistent for all  $i$

Claim  $\mathcal{T}_i \supseteq \mathcal{T}_{i-1}$

Handout: If  $\mathcal{T}_{i-1}$  is consistent, then at least one of

~~$\mathcal{T}_{i-1} \cup \{A_i\}$~~  or  ~~$\mathcal{T}_{i-1} \cup \{\neg A_i\}$~~  is consistent

Therefore, by induction on  $i$ , each  $\mathcal{T}_i$  is consistent

★ Claim  $\mathcal{T}$  is consistent.

This is proved by the argument on the handout

So  $\mathcal{T}$  is complete & consistent. Also  $\mathcal{T} \supseteq \mathcal{T}_0$  (Since  $\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{T}$ ,  
 $\mathcal{T}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_i \forall i$ )  
 QED. (Lindenbaum's Theorem)





































