

Def'n T is inconsistent if $T \vdash A$ and $T \vdash \neg A$, for some A

Example $\{A \rightarrow B, A, \neg B\}$ is inconsistent.

Theorem 1 If T is inconsistent and $\Pi \supseteq T$, then Π is inconsistent.

Proof \square

Theorem 2 If T is inconsistent, then some finite subset T_0 of T is inconsistent.

Theorem 3: If $T \vdash A$, then $T_0 \vdash A$ for some finite $T_0 \subseteq T$.

Pf of Thm 3: Proofs are finite - only finitely many hypotheses can be used in a proof. \square

Theorem 2 immediate from Theorem 3. \square

Theorem 4: If T is inconsistent, then $T \vdash B$ for every B .

Pf: Suppose $T \vdash A$ and $T \vdash \neg A$. Want to show $T \vdash B$.

PL3 axiom: $\neg A \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$ - Use MP twice

"Modus Ponens

Theorem (Proof by Contradiction #1):

$\Gamma \vdash A$ if and only if $\Gamma \cup \{\neg A\}$ is inconsistent.

Proof: "only if" Suppose $\Gamma \vdash A$. Then $\Gamma \cup \{\neg A\} \vdash A$

Thus $\Gamma \cup \{\neg A\}$ is inconsistent (Since $\Gamma, \neg A \vdash \neg A$)

"if". Suppose $\Gamma \cup \{\neg A\}$ is inconsistent.

Thus $\Gamma \cup \{\neg A\} \vdash A$ (by Theorem 4)

By Deducta Theorem, $\Gamma \vdash \neg A \rightarrow A$.

Axiom PL4 $(\neg A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A$. ~~So~~

So by MP, $\Gamma \vdash A$

qed

qed

Corollary

$\neg\neg A \vdash A$ and $\vdash \neg\neg A \rightarrow A$

Pf:

Suffices to show $\{\neg\neg A, \neg A\}$ is inconsistent

That is obvious.

qed

Theorem (Proof by Contradiction #2)

$\Gamma \vdash \neg A$ if and only if $\Gamma \cup \{A\}$ is inconsistent.

Pf "only if" Suppose $\Gamma \vdash \neg A$

Then $\Gamma \cup \{A\} \vdash \neg A$.

So $\Gamma \cup \{A\}$ is inconsistent.

"if" Suppose $\Gamma \cup \{A\}$ is inconsistent.

So $\Gamma, A \vdash \neg A$. Therefore $\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow \neg A$.

By the Corollary, $\Gamma \vdash \neg \neg A \rightarrow A$.

By Hypothetical Syllogism, $\Gamma \vdash \neg \neg A \rightarrow \neg A$

By PL3 ($\neg \neg A \rightarrow \neg A$) $\rightarrow \neg A$, and MP,

$\Gamma \vdash \neg A$.

qed. \square

Corollary: $A \vdash \neg \neg A$ and $\vdash A \rightarrow \neg \neg A$.

Pf. By Theorem, sufficient to show $\{A, \neg A\}$ is inconsistent. And it is! qed \square

Modus Tollens - derived rule of inference

$$\frac{A \rightarrow B \quad \neg B}{\neg A}$$

Theorem $\vdash (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \neg B \rightarrow \neg A$

Equivalently $\boxed{A \rightarrow B, \neg B \vdash \neg A}$

~~Pf~~ Suffices to ~~show~~ $\{A \rightarrow B, \neg B, \neg A\}$ is inconsistent
(Pf by Contradiction #2)

~~thus, suffices to show~~ $A \rightarrow B$

Proof Suffices to show $\{A \rightarrow B, \neg B, A\}$ is inconsistent
(By Pf by Contradiction #2)

Thus, suffices to show: $A \rightarrow B, A \vdash B$

This holds by one use of Modus Ponens.

qed

Proof by Cases:

Theorem \Rightarrow If $\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B$ and $\Gamma \vdash \neg A \rightarrow B$,
then $\Gamma \vdash B$

- $A \rightarrow B, \neg A \rightarrow B \vdash B$
- $\vdash (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\neg A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B$.

Proof of $A \rightarrow B, \neg A \rightarrow B \vdash B$

Let's use Modus Tollens. + Proof by Contradiction.

Suffices to show $\{A \rightarrow B, \neg A \rightarrow B, \neg B\}$ is inconsistent.

By Modus Tollens twice,

$$\{ \underline{A \rightarrow B}, \neg A \rightarrow B, \neg B \} \vdash \neg A$$

$$\text{and } \{ A \rightarrow B, \neg \underline{A \rightarrow B}, \neg B \} \vdash \neg \neg A$$

$$\frac{A \rightarrow B, \neg B}{\neg A}$$

$$\frac{\neg A \rightarrow B, \neg B}{\neg \neg A}$$

□

Last step could instead be

$$\{ A \rightarrow B, \neg A \rightarrow B, \neg B \} \vdash B \quad \text{by MP.}$$

Upcoming Big Theorems

Soundness Theorem

- (a) If Γ is satisfiable, then Γ is consistent.
- (b) If $\Gamma \vdash A$, then $\Gamma \vDash A$.

Completeness Theorem

- (a) If Γ is consistent, then Γ is satisfiable.
- (b) If $\Gamma \vDash A$, then $\Gamma \vdash A$.

Compactness Theorem

- (a) Γ is satisfiable if and only if every finite subset of Γ is satisfiable.
- (b) $\Gamma \vDash A$ if and only if ^{for} some finite subset Γ_0 of Γ , $\Gamma_0 \vDash A$.

Proof of Compactness is easy from Soundness & Completeness

I.e. Γ is consistent iff every finite $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$ is consistent.

$\Gamma \vdash A$ iff, for some finite $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$, $\Gamma_0 \vdash A$.