

## THE OCTIC PERIOD POLYNOMIAL

RONALD J. EVANS<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** The coefficients and the discriminant of the octic period polynomial  $\psi_8(z)$  are computed, where, for a prime  $p = 8f + 1$ ,  $\psi_8(z)$  denotes the minimal polynomial over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of the period  $(1/8)\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \exp(2\pi in^8/p)$ . Also, the finite set of prime octic nonresidues (mod  $p$ ) which divide integers represented by  $\psi_8(z)$  is characterized.

**1. Introduction.** In this paper we extend certain results of E. Lehmer in [7]. Let  $p = ef + 1$  be prime, and define the Gauss sum  $G_e$  of order  $e$  by

$$G_e = \sum_{n=1}^p \exp(2\pi in^e/p).$$

Let  $F_e(z)$  denote the minimal polynomial of  $G_e$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , so that  $F_e(z)$  has degree  $e$ . Let  $\psi_e(z)$  denote the minimal polynomial over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of the Gauss period  $\eta_0 = (G_e - 1)/e$ . Then  $\psi_e(z)$ , the period polynomial of order  $e$ , equals

$$\psi_e(z) = e^{-e}F_e(ez + 1).$$

Explicit determinations of the coefficients of  $F_e(z)$  have been made for all  $e \leq 6$ ; see [2] for references, and also [5] for  $e = 6$ .

In §2, we determine the coefficients of  $F_8(z)$ , and hence of  $\psi_8(z)$ , in terms of  $p$ ,  $C$ , and  $X$ , where

$$(1) \quad p = 8f + 1 = X^2 + Y^2 = C^2 + 2D^2, \quad C \equiv X \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$

The discriminant of  $\psi_8(z)$  is computed in §3. A theorem of Kummer [7, p. 436; 4, p. 197] shows that the set  $E_p$  of odd prime  $e$ th power nonresidues (mod  $p$ ) which divide integers represented by  $\psi_e(z)$  is a subset of the set of divisors of the discriminant of  $\psi_e(z)$ . (A generalization of Kummer's theorem, in which  $p$  is replaced by any composite  $n > 0$ , is proved in [3].) In §4, we prove that for  $e = 8$ ,  $E_p$  consists precisely of the odd prime nonoctic quartic residues (mod  $p$ ) which divide  $DY$ . A characterization of  $E_p$  for  $e = 4$  was known to Sylvester [9, p. 392]. It is given in the Appendix. Further results of this type are proved in [3, §§3–5].

We will generally merely sketch proofs, omitting a number of lengthy calculations. The formulas for the discriminant and coefficients of the period polynomial have been double-checked by computer for primes  $p = 8f + 1 < 200$ .

---

Received by the editors March 6, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 10G05; Secondary 10A15.

*Key words and phrases*. Octic period polynomial.

<sup>1</sup>Author has NSF grant MCS-8101860.

We are indebted to E. Lehmer for many helpful comments. Also, the counsel of J. Sutton has been helpful.

**2. Determination of  $F_8(z)$ .** Define

$$(2) \quad E = (-1)^f$$

and

$$(3) \quad N = 1 \text{ or } -1, \text{ according as } 2 \text{ is quartic or not (mod } p).$$

A special case of the following theorem is given in [7, (33)].

**THEOREM 1.** *In the notation of (1)–(3),*

$$F_8(z) = z^8 + 4p(-3 - 4E)z^6 - 16p(A_1 - 2A_5)z^5 + 2p(A_0 + 2pA_2^2 - 8A_3^2 + 16A_4)z^4 - 32p(pA_1A_2 + A_4A_5 + A_3)z^3 + 4p(pA_0A_2 + 8A_3A_5 + 16pA_1^2 - 4A_4^2)z^2 - 16p(pA_0A_1 - 2A_3A_4)z + p(pA_0^2 - 16A_3^2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= p(9 - 24E + 16N) - 16XC(1 + E - N) + 4X^2 + 8C^2, \\ A_1 &= X(1 - 2N) + 2C(E - N), \\ A_2 &= 1 - 4E, \\ A_3 &= 2pC(2 - 3E + 2N) - pX(1 + 4E - 4N) - 2XC^2, \\ A_4 &= p(1 + 4E - 4N) - 4NCX, \\ A_5 &= X + 2EC. \end{aligned}$$

**PROOF.** Define

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sqrt{p}, \quad R = \sqrt{2p - 2SX}, \quad R_1 = \sqrt{2p + 2SX}, \\ U &= 2E(S - C)(2S + ENR), \quad U_1 = 2E(S + C)(2S - ENR_1), \\ V &= 2E(S - C)(2S - ENR), \quad V_1 = 2E(S + C)(2S + ENR_1). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from [1, Theorem 3.18] and Galois theory that the eight conjugates of  $G_8$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , i.e., the eight zeros of  $F_8(z)$ , are given by

$$(4) \quad S + R \pm \sqrt{U}, \quad S - R \pm \sqrt{V},$$

$$(5) \quad -S + R_1 \pm \sqrt{U_1}, \quad -S - R_1 \pm \sqrt{V_1}.$$

The four numbers in (4) are the conjugates of  $G_8$  over  $\mathbf{Q}(S)$ . From (4), one easily finds the quartic irreducible polynomial  $E_S(z)$  of  $G_8 - S$  over  $\mathbf{Q}(S)$ . Then  $F_8(z)$  can be computed by the formula  $F_8(z) = E_S(z - S)E_{-S}(z + S)$ . In this way, calculations with the numbers in (5) can be avoided.

**3. The discriminant of  $\psi_8(z)$ .** In the notation of (1)–(3), define

$$(6) \quad J = (4N - 2)CX - C^2 - X^2 + 4p(1 + N - 2E) + 4DY(2N - E - 1)$$

and

$$(7) \quad K = 2Y(3D^2 + 2pE - 2pN) + 4D(2pE - 2pN - p + CX),$$

where the choices of  $Y$  and  $D$  in (6) must be the same as those in (7).

**THEOREM 2.** *The discriminant  $\Delta$  of  $\psi_8(z)$  is  $\Delta = B_1^2 B_2^2 B_3^2 B_4 p^7$ , where*

$$B_4 = 2^{-8} Y^2 D^4, \quad B_3 = 2^{-16} (pJ^2 - K^2),$$

$$B_2 = 2^{-12} Y^2 \left( (2p - 2pE - D^2)^2 - p(X + C - 2EC)^2 \right),$$

and  $B_1$  is obtained from  $B_3$  by replacing  $Y$  by  $-Y$  (or, equivalently,  $D$  by  $-D$ ).

**PROOF.** The eight zeros of  $\psi_8(z)$  are the periods

$$\eta_k = \sum_{v=1}^f \exp(2\pi i g^{8v+k}/p) \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, 7),$$

where  $g$  is a primitive root of  $p$ . Thus  $\Delta = P_1^2 P_2^2 P_3^2 P_4$ , where  $P_r = \prod_{k=0}^7 (\eta_k - \eta_{r+k})$ . It remains to prove that

$$(8) \quad P_r = pB_r \quad (r = 1, 2, 3, 4).$$

It is easy to verify (8) for  $r = 2, 4$  with use of (4). Suppose that  $r = 1$  or 3. One can compute  $\eta_0 - \eta_r$  from (4) and (5). Then  $P_r$ , the norm of  $\eta_0 - \eta_r$  from  $\mathbf{Q}(\eta_0)$  to  $\mathbf{Q}$ , can be found by successively computing the norm first down to  $\mathbf{Q}(R)$ , then down to  $\mathbf{Q}(S)$ , and then down to  $\mathbf{Q}$ . The computations are facilitated by use of the formula  $\sqrt{U}\sqrt{U_1} = 2D(R - R_1 + 2ENS)$ .

**4. Prime factors of  $\psi_8(n)$ .** Let  $G_p$  denote the infinite set of odd primes which divide  $\psi_8(n)$  for some  $n$ . Let  $E_p$  denote the set of octic nonresidues (mod  $p$ ) in  $G_p$ . The set  $E_p$  is finite; indeed, Kummer showed that  $E_p$  is contained in the set of divisors of  $\Delta$ . The following theorem characterizes  $E_p$ .

**THEOREM 3.**  *$E_p$  equals the set of odd prime nonoctic quartic residues (mod  $p$ ) which divide  $DY$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $q \in E_p$ . By Kummer's theorem [7, p. 436], either

$$(9) \quad q \text{ is quartic and } q \mid P_4, \quad \dots$$

or

$$(10) \quad q \text{ is quadratic and } q \mid (\eta_0 - \eta_2)(\eta_1 - \eta_3) \text{ in } \Omega,$$

where  $\Omega$  is the ring of algebraic integers. By (8) and Theorem 2,  $q \mid DY$  when (9) holds. Thus suppose that (10) holds. We will show that  $q \mid Y$ ; it will then also follow that  $q$  is quartic, since every odd prime factor of  $Y$  is quartic by the law of biquadratic reciprocity [8, p. 77].

By [7, (3)], we have

$$(11) \quad (\eta_0 - \eta_2)(\eta_1 - \eta_3) = \sum_{k=0}^7 C_k \eta_k,$$

where  $C_k = (1, k) + (1, k - 2) - (3, k) - (1, k - 1)$ , and the  $(i, j)$  denote cyclotomic numbers (mod  $p$ ) of order 8. From the table of values of the  $(i, j)$  given in [6, pp. 116–117], we see that

$$(12) \quad C_3 + C_4 = \pm Y/4.$$

By (10) and (11),  $q \mid C_k$  for each  $k$ . Hence  $q \mid Y$  by (12).

Conversely, suppose that  $q$  is an odd prime quartic nonoctic residue (mod  $p$ ) which divides  $DY$ . Since  $P_4 = p2^{-8}Y^2D^4$ ,  $q \mid P_4$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}$  denote the ring of integers of  $\mathbf{Q}(\eta_0)$ , and let  $N(\alpha)$  denote the norm of  $\alpha$  from  $\mathbf{Q}(\eta_0)$  to  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Since  $q \mid P_4$ , we have  $q \mid N(\eta_0 - \eta_4)$ , so  $\eta_0 \equiv \eta_4 \pmod{Q}$  for some prime ideal  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{O}$  dividing  $q\mathcal{O}$ . Since  $q$  is quartic but not octic,

$$\eta_0^q = \left( \sum_{v=1}^f \exp(2\pi i g^{8v}/p) \right)^q \equiv \sum_{v=1}^f \exp(2\pi i g^{8v+4}/p) = \eta_4 \pmod{q}.$$

Thus  $\eta_0^q \equiv \eta_0 \pmod{Q}$ . The polynomial  $x^q - x$  equals  $\prod_{j=0}^{q-1} (x - j) \pmod{q}$ , so

$$0 \equiv N(\eta_0^q - \eta_0) \equiv \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} N(\eta_0 - j) = \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} \psi_8(j) \pmod{q}.$$

Thus  $q \mid \psi_8(j)$  for some  $j$ , so  $q \in E_p$ .

EXAMPLE. For  $p = 193$ ,  $q = 3$ , we have  $q \mid Y$ ,  $q \mid F_8(0)$ , and  $q \in E_p$ . For  $p = 1193$ ,  $q = 11$ , we have  $q \mid D$ ,  $q \mid F_8(0)$ , and  $q \in E_p$ .

**Appendix.** Sylvester [9, p. 392] characterized  $E_p$  for  $e = 4$  as follows. Write  $p = A^2 + B^2$  with  $A \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

If  $p = 8k + 1$ , then  $E_p$  is empty; if  $p = 8k + 5$ , then  $E_p$  is the set of primes  $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  which divide  $B$ .

Since Sylvester’s proof [10] is erroneous, we sketch a proof below.

Suppose that  $p = 8k + 1$ . From the well-known formula for  $\eta_0 = (G_4 - 1)/4$  [1, Theorem 3.11], it is easily seen that the discriminant of the period polynomial  $\psi_4(z)$  is  $\Delta = 2^{-10}p^3B^6$ . Suppose  $q \in E_p$ . By Kummer’s theorem [7, p. 436],  $q \mid \Delta$ , so  $q \mid B$ . By the law of biquadratic reciprocity [8, p. 77], every odd prime factor of  $B$  is quartic (mod  $p$ ), so  $q \notin E_p$ . Thus  $E_p$  is empty.

Finally, suppose that  $p = 8k + 5$ . Let  $q$  be a prime divisor of  $B$  with  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Then  $q$  is not quartic, by the biquadratic reciprocity law. Furthermore, the formula for  $\eta_0$  [1, Theorem 3.11] can be used to show easily that  $B \mid F_4(-A)$ , so  $q \mid \psi_4(n)$  for some integer  $n$ . Thus  $q \in E_p$ . Conversely, suppose that  $q$  is any odd prime in  $E_p$ . By Kummer’s theorem,  $q \mid P_2$ . Since  $P_2 = pB^2/4$ ,  $q \mid B$ . If  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $q$  would be quartic by the law of biquadratic reciprocity, which contradicts  $q \in E_p$ . Thus  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

## REFERENCES

1. B. C. Berndt and R. J. Evans, *Sums of Gauss, Jacobi, and Jacobsthal*, J. Number Theory **11** (1979), 349–398.
2. \_\_\_\_\_, *Determinations of Gauss sums*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **5** (1981), 107–129.
3. R. J. Evans, *Period polynomials for generalized cyclotomic periods* (to appear).
4. E. E. Kummer, *Collected papers*, vol. 1 (A. Weil, ed.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1975.
5. D. H. Lehmer and E. Lehmer, *The sextic period polynomial*, Pacific J. Math. (to appear).
6. E. Lehmer, *On the number of solutions of  $u^k + D \equiv w^2 \pmod{p}$* , Pacific J. Math. **5** (1955), 103–118.
7. \_\_\_\_\_, *Period equations applied to difference sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1955), 433–442.
8. H. J. S. Smith, *Report on the theory of numbers*, Chelsea, New York.
9. J. J. Sylvester, *Instantaneous proof of a theorem of Lagrange*, Amer. J. Math. **3** (1880), 390–392; *Mathematical papers*, vol. 3, Chelsea, New York, 1973, pp. 446–448.
10. \_\_\_\_\_, *On the multisection of the roots of unity*, Johns Hopkins University Circulars **1** (1881), 150–151; *Mathematical papers*, vol. 3, Chelsea, New York, 1973, pp. 477–478.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO, LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093