

ON ADDITIVE PARTITIONS OF SETS OF POSITIVE INTEGERS

Ronald J. EVANS

Department of Mathematics, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

Received 10 January 1980

Consider any set $U = \{u_n\}$ with elements defined by $u_{n+2} = u_{n+1} + u_n$, $n \geq 1$, where u_1 and u_2 are relatively prime positive integers. We show that if $u_1 < u_2$ or $2 \mid u_1 u_2$, then the set of positive integers can be partitioned uniquely into two disjoint sets such that the sum of any two distinct members of any one set is never in U . If $u_1 > u_2$ and $2 \nmid u_1 u_2$, no such partition is possible. Further related results are proved which generalize theorems of Alladi, Erdős, and Hoggatt.

1. Introduction and notation

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of positive integers and let $U, S \subset \mathbb{N}$. We say U splits S if there exist disjoint sets A and B with $S = A \cup B$ such that $c + d \notin U$ whenever c and d are distinct elements both in A or both in B . We call $A \cup B$ a U -partition of S .

Consider from now on a fixed set $U = \{u_n\}$ with elements defined by $u_{n+2} = u_{n+1} + u_n$, $n \geq 1$. Fix the notation $a = u_1$, $b = u_2$, $x = \frac{1}{2}(a - b)$, $y = \frac{1}{2}(a + b)$, $z = \frac{1}{2}(a + 3b)$, and assume throughout that $(a, b) = 1$. In [1, Section 2], Alladi, Erdős, and Hoggatt proved that U splits \mathbb{N} uniquely when $a = 1$, and they gave examples [1, p. 206] to show that U need not split \mathbb{N} when $a \neq 1$. In this paper, we prove more generally (see Corollary 4) that U splits \mathbb{N} uniquely except when $a > b$, $2 \nmid ab$, in which case U fails to split \mathbb{N} . We also prove the general result (Theorem 3) that U splits S if and only if not all of x, y, z are in S .

Fix the notation $f_n(r) = r - u_n \lfloor r/u_n \rfloor$. Thus $f_n(r)$ is the least nonnegative residue of $r \pmod{u_n}$. In Theorem 2, we characterize the elements of the sets in a U -partition of \mathbb{N} , in terms of the values of the functions f_n , $n \geq 2$. In Theorem 1, we exhibit a class of sets S for which any U -partition of $\{s \in S: s < \max(a, b)\}$ can be uniquely extended to a U -partition of S . We remark that Theorem 1 can be easily extended to yield similar results with U replaced by U' , where $U' = \{u'_n\}$ is defined for any fixed $k > 2$ by $u'_{n+k} = u'_{n+k-1} + \cdots + u'_n$.

In Section 3, we prove a result (Theorem 7) which generalizes that of [1, Theorem 3.6]. This result shows in particular that if $a < b < m$, $m \notin U$, then m is the sum of two distinct elements both in L or both in R where $L \cup R$ is a U -partition of \mathbb{N} . Also in Section 3, we answer the following question posed in [1, p. 211]: Does a saturated set split \mathbb{N} uniquely?

2. Unique U -partitions

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $u - s \in S$ whenever $s \in S$ and u is the smallest element of U exceeding s . Suppose further that not all of x, y, z are in S . Then given $m \in S$ with $m \geq \max(a, b)$, any U -partition of $\{s \in S: s < m\}$ can be uniquely extended to a U -partition of S .*

Proof. Let $A \cup B$ be a U -partition of $\{s \in S: s < m\}$. It suffices to show that m can be adjoined to exactly one of A, B to yield a U -partition of $\{s \in S: s \leq m\}$.

For some $n \geq 2$, $u_n \leq m < u_{n+1}$. Let $q = u_{n+1} - m$. Since $m \geq \max(a, b)$, $q < m$. By the initial hypothesis of Theorem 1, $q \in S$. Thus $q \in A \cup B$; say $q \in A$. Since $q + m \in U$, m cannot be adjoined to A . Suppose for the purpose of contradiction that m cannot be adjoined to B . Then $t + m = u_k$ for some $t \in B$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $q \in A$, $q \neq t$; thus $k \geq n + 1$. If $k \geq n + 3$, we would have the contradiction

$$2m > m + t \geq u_{n+3} = u_{n+2} + u_{n+1} > 2u_{n+1}.$$

Thus $k = n + 2$ and $t + m = u_{n+2}$. Since $m + q = u_{n+1}$, we have $t - q = u_n$.

Assume that $2t = u_{n+1}$. Then $n = 2$, for if $n > 2$, we would have $2t = 2(u_n + q) > 2u_n > u_{n+1}$. Thus $q = x$, $t = y$, and $m = z$, which contradicts the hypothesis that not all of x, y, z are in S . Therefore, $2t \neq u_{n+1}$.

Let $v = u_{n+1} - t$. Note that $v < m$. By the initial hypothesis of Theorem 1, $v \in S$. Therefore, $v \in A \cup B$. Since $t \in B$, $v \neq t$, and $v + t \in U$, we have $v \in A$. Since $m \neq t$, $v \neq q$. Thus v and q are distinct elements of A whose sum is $u_{n+1} \in U$, a contradiction. \square

Example. Theorem 1 shows that the Fibonacci set $U = \{1, 2, 3, 5, \dots\}$ uniquely splits both \mathbb{N} and $U \cup 2U$.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that $a < b$. For each $n \geq 2$, there is a unique U -partition $L_n \cup R_n$ of $C_n = \{m \in \mathbb{N}: m < u_n\}$, where $L_n = \{f_n(u_{n-1}j): 1 \leq j \leq \frac{1}{2}u_n\}$ and $R_n = \{f_n(u_{n-1}j): \frac{1}{2}u_n < j < u_n\}$. Also, there is a unique U -partition $L \cup R$ of \mathbb{N} , where $L = \bigcup_{2|n} L_n$ and $R = \bigcup_{2 \nmid n} R_n$.*

Proof. We first show that $L_2 \cup R_2$ is a U -partition of $C_2 = \{1, 2, \dots, b-1\}$. Clearly C_2 equals the disjoint union $L_2 \cup R_2$. Suppose that $f_2(aj) + f_2(ak) = u \in U$, where $1 \leq j < k \leq \frac{1}{2}b$. Since u must be a, b , or $a + b$, we have $a(j+k) \equiv 0$ or $a \pmod{b}$, so $j+k \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{b}$, which is impossible. Thus no two distinct elements of L_2 (and similarly of R_2) can add up to an element of U , so $L_2 \cup R_2$ is a U -partition of C_2 .

We can now invoke Theorem 1 to see that there is a U -partition $L'_n \cup R'_n$ of C_n for each $n \geq 2$. We have $f_n(u_{n-1}) \in L'_n$, say. Since $f_n(u_{n-1}) + f_n(-u_{n-1}) = u_n \in U$, we have $f_n(-u_{n-1}) \in R'_n$ (if $u_n > 2$). Since $f_n(2u_{n-1}) + f_n(-u_{n-1}) \in \{u_{n-1}, u_{n+1}\} \subset U$, we have $f_n(2u_{n-1}) \in L'_n$ (if $u_n > 3$). Continuing in this manner, we see that $L'_n = L_n$. Thus $L_n \cup R_n$ is the unique U -partition of C_n .

By Theorem 1, there exists a unique U -partition $L' \cup R'$ of \mathbb{N} , and $L' \cup R'$ extends each U -partition $L_n \cup R_n$. Since $u_{n-1} \in L_n$, $u_{n-1} \in R_{n+1}$ for each $n \geq 2$, it follows that

$$L_2 \subset R_3 \subset L_4 \subset R_5 \subset L_6 \subset R_7 \subset \dots \quad (1)$$

We have $\{u_k : 2 \nmid k\} \subset L'$, $\{u_k : 2 \mid k\} \subset R'$, say. Thus $L' = L$ and $L \cup R$ is the unique U -partition of \mathbb{N} . \square

Theorem 3. U splits S if and only if not all of x, y, z are in S .

Proof. Suppose that $x, y, z \in S$. Since $x + y, x + z, y + z \in U$, clearly U cannot split S .

Conversely, suppose that not all of x, y, z are in S . If $a < b$, then U splits \mathbb{N} by Theorem 2, so U splits S . Hence assume $b > a$. Let $U_a = U - \{a\} = \{b, a + b, a + 2b, \dots\}$. By Theorem 2, one has a U_a -partition $G_3 \cup H_3$ of $C_3 \cap S = \{m \in S : m < a + b\}$ which can be extended to a U_a -partition $G \cup H$ of S , where

$$G \supset G_3 = L_3 \cap S, \quad H \supset H_3 = R_3 \cap S.$$

We now show that x, y is the only possible pair of distinct elements of $G \cup H$ which can add up to a . Write

$$f_3(bj) + f_3(bk) = a, \quad 1 \leq j < k < a + b.$$

Then $b(j + k) \equiv a \pmod{a + b}$, so $(j + k) \equiv -1 \pmod{a + b}$. Thus $j = y - 1$, $k = y$, so ab is odd and $f_3(bj) = x$, $f_3(bk) = y$. It follows that if $x \notin S$ or $y \notin S$, then no two distinct elements of S can add up to a . This proves that the U_a -partition $G \cup H$ is in fact a U -partition of S , when $x \notin S$ or $y \notin S$.

It remains to produce a U -partition of S in the case $x, y \in S$, $z \notin S$. (We note that this case does not occur when $S = \mathbb{N}$, so Corollary 4 below is now proved.) Suppose that $x, y \in S$, $z \notin S$. We may suppose without loss of generality that $S = \mathbb{N} - \{z\}$, since if U splits a set, it splits any subset of it. Let $I_3 = G_3 - \{y\}$, $J_3 = H_3 \cup \{y\}$. We now show that $I_3 \cup J_3$ is a U -partition of $C_3 \cap S$. To do so, it suffices to show that $y + r \notin U$ for $r \in H_3$. Suppose that $y + r \in U$. Since $y + r = \frac{1}{2}(a + b) + r < \frac{3}{2}(a + b) < 2a + 3b = u_5$,

$$y + r \in \{a, a + b, a + 2b\}.$$

Thus $r \in \{x, y, z\}$, which is impossible, since $\{x, y, z\}$ is disjoint from H_3 . This proves that $I_3 \cup J_3$ is a U -partition of $C_3 \cap S$.

We now show that a number $u_n \pm z$ in $I_3 \cup J_3$ is in I_3 if and only if n is odd. This is true for $n \geq 3$, since $u_3 - z = x \in I_3$ and $u_4 - z = y \in J_3$. If $u_2 + z \in I_3 \cup J_3$, then

$$u_2 + z = \frac{1}{2}(a + 5b) = f_3(b(\frac{1}{2}(a + b) + 2)) \in J_3.$$

Finally, if $u_1 - z \in I_3 \cup J_3$, then

$$u_1 - z = \frac{1}{2}(a - 3b) = f_3(b(\frac{1}{2}(a + b) - 2)) \in I_3.$$

Fix $m \in S$ with $m \geq a + b$. Assume that $A \cup B$ is any U -partition of $\{s \in S: s < m\}$ with the property that a number $u_n \pm z \in A \cup B$ is in A if and only if n is odd. (In the case $m = a + b$, this holds for $A = I_3, B = J_3$.) We will show that m can be adjoined to one of A, B to yield a U -partition of $\{s \in S: s \leq m\}$ and that if $m = u_n \pm z$, then m can be adjoined to A or B according as n is odd or even. This will imply the desired result, that the U -partition $I_3 \cup J_3$ can be extended to a U -partition of S .

For some fixed $n \geq 3, u_n \leq m < u_{n+1}$. We will use frequently the fact that if $m + \gamma \in U$ for some $\gamma \in A \cup B$, then $m + \gamma < 2m < 2u_{n+1} < u_{n+3}$, so γ equals $u_{n+1} - m$ or $u_{n+2} - m$.

Case 1. $m = u_{n+1} - z$.

We consider only the case $2 \nmid n$, as the case $2 \mid n$ is similar. Assume that m cannot be adjoined to B . Then $m + \beta \in U$ for some $\beta \in B$, so β equals z or $u_n + z$. Since $z \notin S$ and $u_n + z \notin B$ (as n is odd), this is a contradiction.

Case 2. $m = u_n + z$.

We again consider only the case $2 \nmid n$. Assume that m cannot be adjoined to A . Then $m + \alpha \in U$ for some $\alpha \in A$, so α equals $u_{n-1} - z$ or $u_{n+1} - z$. This is impossible, because n is odd.

Case 3. $m = u_k + z$ with $k < n$.

Since $u_n \leq m < u_{n+1}$, we cannot have both $k = 1$ and $n = 3$. Thus $z = m - u_k \geq u_n - u_k \geq u_{n-2}$. However, $z = \frac{1}{2}(a + 3b) < a + b = u_3$. Thus $n \leq 4$.

Suppose first that $n = 3$. Thus $u_k = b$ and $3b > a$. Since $k = 2$, we must show that m can be adjoined to B . Assume that $m + \beta \in U$ for some $\beta \in B$, so β equals $u_3 - z$ or $\frac{1}{2}(3a + b)$. Now, $u_3 - z \notin B$ because 3 is odd, so $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(3a + b)$. Since $3b > a$, $\frac{1}{2}(3b - a) = f_3(b(\frac{1}{2}(a + b) + 2)) \in B$. Hence $\frac{1}{2}(3b - a)$ and β are distinct elements of B whose sum is $u_4 \in U$, a contradiction.

Suppose now that $n = 4$. Then $k \in \{1, 3, 4\}$. First consider the case $k = 4$. If $m + \beta \in U$ for some $\beta \in B$, then β equals $u_3 - z$ or $u_5 - z$, which is impossible, because 3 and 5 are odd. Thus m can be adjoined to B . Now consider the case $k \in \{1, 3\}$. Assume that $m + \alpha \in U$ for some $\alpha \in A$ with $\alpha \neq m$. Then α equals $u_5 - u_k - z$ or $u_6 - u_k - z$. In the former case, α equals z or $u_4 - z$, which is impossible because $z, u_4 - z \notin A$. Thus $\alpha = u_6 - u_k - z$. This implies that $\alpha = u_4 + z$ or $\alpha = u_6/2 = m$, which is impossible because $u_4 + z \notin A$ and $\alpha \neq m$.

Case 4. $m + z, m - z \notin U$.

To show that m can be adjoined to one of A, B , we can follow verbatim the proof of Theorem 1, except that we have to justify the assertions $q \in S, v \in S$ in a

different way, since here the initial hypothesis of Theorem 1 is not valid. To see that $q = u_{n+1} - m$ is in $S = \mathbb{N} - \{z\}$, note that $u_{n+1} - m \neq z$ (in Case 4). To see that $v = u_{n+1} - t$ is in S , assume that $v = z$. Then $u_{n+1} - z = t \in B$ and $u_{n-1} - z = u_{n-1} - v = q \in A$, which is impossible, since $n-1$ and $n+1$ have the same parity. \square

Corollary 4. *U splits \mathbb{N} if and only if $a < b$ or $2 \mid ab$. Also, U splits \mathbb{N} uniquely if $a < b$ or $2 \mid ab$.*

Proof. The first assertion follows from Theorem 3, and uniqueness is a consequence of Theorem 2. \square

3. Extremal sets partitioning \mathbb{N}

Let $a < b$. As in Theorem 2, let $L_n \cup R_n$ and $L \cup R$ be the unique U -partitions of C_n and \mathbb{N} , respectively. No element of U can be a sum of two distinct elements both in L or both in R . Theorem 7 below shows however, that any $m \in \mathbb{N} - U$ with $m > b$ is a sum of two distinct elements both in L or both in R . This implies, for example, that no set properly containing the set of Fibonacci numbers can split \mathbb{N} . In the case $a = 1$, Theorem 7 reduces to [1, Theorem 3.6].

Lemma 5. *Let $a < b$. Fix $n \geq 3$. Then $2u_{n-1}$ can be uniquely expressed as a sum of distinct elements c, d such that $c, d \in L$ or $c, d \in R$. Moreover, $c, d \in L, 2u_{n-1} \in R$ or $c, d \in R, 2u_{n-1} \in L$, according as n is odd or even.*

Proof. Suppose that

$$2u_{n-1} = c + d, \quad \text{with } c \neq d, \text{ and } c, d \in L \text{ or } c, d \in R. \quad (2)$$

Since $2u_{n-1} < u_{n+1}$, $c, d \in L_{n+1} \cup R_{n+1}$. Write

$$c = f_{n+1}(u_n, j), \quad d = f_{n+1}(u_n, k), \quad 1 \leq j < k < u_{n+1}. \quad (3)$$

Then $2u_{n-1} \equiv u_n(j+k) \pmod{u_{n+1}}$, so $j+k \equiv -2 \pmod{u_{n+1}}$. It follows that $c, d \in L_{n+1}$ and

$$\begin{cases} j = \frac{1}{2}u_{n+1} - 2, & k = \frac{1}{2}u_{n+1} & \text{if } 2 \mid u_{n+1}, \\ j = \frac{1}{2}u_{n+1} - \frac{3}{2}, & k = \frac{1}{2}u_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } 2 \nmid u_{n+1}. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

This proves that there is at most one pair c, d satisfying (2). Moreover, if c, d are defined by (3) and (4), then

$$\begin{aligned} c &= -\frac{1}{2}u_{n+1} + 2u_{n-1}, & d &= \frac{1}{2}u_{n+1} & \text{if } 2 \mid u_{n+1}, \\ c &= \frac{3}{2}u_{n-1}, & d &= \frac{1}{2}u_{n-1} & \text{if } 2 \nmid u_{n+1}, 2 \nmid u_n, \\ c &= u_{n+1} - \frac{3}{2}u_n, & d &= u_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}u_n & \text{if } 2 \nmid u_{n+1}, 2 \mid u_n, \end{aligned}$$

so (2) indeed holds. Finally, note that $2u_{n-1} = f_{n+1}(u_n(u_{n+1}-2)) \in R_{n+1}$, so since $c, d \in L_{n+1}$, the last assertion of Lemma 5 follows from (1). \square

Lemma 6. *Let $a < b$. Then $2a$ can be expressed as a sum of distinct elements c, d with $c, d \in L$ or $c, d \in R$, if and only if either*

$$2 \mid a; \text{ or } 2 \nmid ab, 3a > b; \text{ or } 2 \mid b, 2a > b. \quad (6)$$

Also, $b-a$ can be expressed as a sum of distinct elements e, f with $e, f \in L$ or $e, f \in R$, if and only if

$$2 \mid b, \quad 2a < b. \quad (7)$$

Proof. The proof of Lemma 5 up through (4) holds for $n = 2$. The values of c in (5) when $n = 2$ are positive if and only if (6) holds, so the first assertion of Lemma 6 holds. An easy similar argument verifies the second assertion of Lemma 6. \square

Theorem 7. *Let $a < b$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m > a$, $m \notin U \cup \{2a, b-a\}$. Then m is the sum of two distinct elements both in L or both in R . This conclusion is also valid when either $m = 2a$ and (6) holds, or $m = b-a$ and (7) holds.*

Proof. The last assertion follows from Lemma 6. Say $m \notin U \cup \{2a, b-a\}$. If $m \in 2U$, the result follows from Lemma 5, so assume $m \notin 2U$. For some $n \geq 1$, $u_n < m < u_{n+1}$, so $m \in L_{n+1} \cup R_{n+1}$. First suppose $m \in L_{n+1}$. Then $m = f_{n+1}(u_n, j)$ with $1 < j \leq \frac{1}{2}u_{n+1}$. Thus $m - u_n = f_{n+1}(u_n, (j-1)) \in L_{n+1}$, and since $u_n = f_{n+1}(u_n) \in L_{n+1}$, $m = (m - u_n) + u_n$ is the sum of two distinct elements both in L or both in R . Now suppose $m \in R_{n+1}$. Then $m = f_{n+1}(u_n, k)$ with $\frac{1}{2}u_{n+1} < k \leq u_{n+1} - 1$. We cannot have $k = u_{n+1} - 1$, for if $n = 1$, this would imply $m = b - a$, and if $n > 1$, this would imply $m = u_{n-1}$. Thus, $c = f_{n+1}(u_n, (k+1)) \in R_{n+1}$. Note that $d = f_{n+1}(u_n, (u_{n+1} - 1)) \in R_{n+1}$, and that $d = b - a$ or $d = u_{n-1}$ according as $n = 1$ or $n > 1$. Thus $m = c + d$, so m is the sum of two distinct elements both in L or both in R . \square

We conclude this section by giving a negative answer to the following question posed in [1, p. 211]: Does a saturated set split \mathbb{N} uniquely?

(A set V with $\{1, 2\} \subset V \subset \mathbb{N}$ is *saturated* [1, Def. 3.5] if V splits \mathbb{N} but no set of positive integers properly containing V splits \mathbb{N} .) We will exhibit a saturated set V which splits \mathbb{N} in two ways.

Let $W = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \cup \{2^n + 4 : n \geq 2\}$. There is a unique W -partition of $2\mathbb{N} - 1$ (the set of odd positive integers), namely $A_1 \cup A_2$, where $A_1 = 4\mathbb{N} + 1$, $A_2 = 4\mathbb{N} - 1$. There is also a unique W -partition of $4\mathbb{N}$, namely $B_1 \cup B_0$, where $B_1 = 8\mathbb{N} - 4$, $B_0 = 8\mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, there is a unique W -partition $D_1 \cup D_2$ of $4\mathbb{N} - 2$. Say $2 \in D_2$. There are exactly two W -partitions of \mathbb{N} , namely $G_i \cup H_i$ ($i = 0, 1$), where $G_i = (A_1 \cup D_1) \cup B_i$ and $H_i = (A_2 \cup D_2) \cup B_{1-i}$. Let V be the set obtained by adjoining to W every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ possessing the property that for each set

$J \in \{G_0, G_1, H_0, H_1\}$, no two distinct elements of J add up to m . Then there are two V -partitions of \mathbb{N} , namely $G_0 \cup H_0$ and $G_1 \cup H_1$.

Suppose for the purpose of contradiction that V is not saturated. Then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $G_i \cup H_i$ is a $(V \cup \{m\})$ -partition of \mathbb{N} but $G_{1-i} \cup H_{1-i}$ is not. Thus $m = c + d$ where $c \neq d$ and either $c, d \in G_{1-i}$ or $c, d \in H_{1-i}$. At least one of c, d is a multiple of 4, for otherwise we'd have $c, d \in G_i$ or $c, d \in H_i$. If m is odd, then $m = 4 + (m - 4) = 8 + (m - 8)$ is the sum of two distinct elements both in G_i or both in H_i , a contradiction. If $2 \parallel m$, then $m = (\frac{1}{2}m - 2) + (\frac{1}{2}m + 2)$ is the sum of two distinct elements both in G_i or both in H_i . Thus m, c , and d are multiples of 4. Therefore $c, d \in B_1$ or $c, d \in B_0$, so $m = c + d$ is the sum of two distinct elements both in G_i or both in H_i . This completes the proof that V is a saturated set which splits \mathbb{N} in two ways.

Reference

- [1] K. Alladi, P. Erdős and V. Hoggatt, Jr., On additive partitions of integers, *Discrete Math.* 22 (1978) 201-211.