

Math 280C, Spring 2005

Foster-Liapunov Criterion

In what follows, $\mathbf{X} = (X_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is a Markov chain with countable state space S and transition probability matrix $P = \{p(x, y)\}_{x, y \in S}$. We suppose that X has been constructed on the sequence space $\Omega = S^{\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}}$, and that \mathbf{P}_x is the probability measure on Ω corresponding to the initial condition $X_0 = x$. Other notation is that used in class.

We present two criteria, both based on the following observation presented already in class.

Proposition. *Let $f : S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy $Pf(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in S \setminus F$, where $F \subset S$. Define a stopping time by $D := \inf\{n \geq 0 : X_n \in F\}$. Then the stopped process $(f(X_{n \wedge D}))_{n \geq 0}$ is a \mathbf{P}_x -supermartingale for each $x \in S$.*

Proof. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_x[f(X_{(n+1) \wedge D}) | \mathcal{F}_n] &= 1_{\{n < D\}} \mathbf{E}_x[f(X_{n+1}) | \mathcal{F}_n] + \mathbf{E}_x[f(X_D) 1_{\{D \leq n\}} | \mathcal{F}_n] \\ &= 1_{\{n < D\}} \mathbf{E}_x[f(X_{n+1}) | \mathcal{F}_n] + f(X_D) 1_{\{D \leq n\}} \\ &= 1_{\{n < D\}} Pf(X_n) + f(X_D) 1_{\{D \leq n\}} \\ &\leq 1_{\{n < D\}} f(X_n) + f(X_D) 1_{\{D \leq n\}} \\ &= f(X_{n \wedge D}). \end{aligned}$$

The inequality in the above computation follows from the hypothesis because $X_n \in S \setminus F$ when $n < D$. These conditional expectation calculations are valid even without knowing that $\mathbf{E}_x[f(X_{n \wedge D})]$ is finite, because $f \geq 0$. But having demonstrated the supermartingale inequality, we can now check the required integrability:

$$\mathbf{E}_x[f(X_{n \wedge D})] \leq \mathbf{E}_x[f(X_{0 \wedge D})] = f(x) < \infty.$$

□

Remark. The same proof shows that $f(X_{n \wedge D})$ is a martingale provided $Pf = f$ on $S \setminus F$.

Here is a recurrence criterion for \mathbf{X} , based on the existence of a (“Liapunov”) function on S with certain properties. This type of criterion seems to have first appeared in the literature in a paper of F.G. Foster [1].

Theorem 1. Assume that \mathbf{X} is irreducible. Suppose there is a finite set $F \subset S$ and a function $f : S \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that

- (a) $Pf(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \notin F$, and
- (b) $\{x \in S : f(x) \leq M\}$ is a finite set for each $M > 0$.

Then the Markov chain \mathbf{X} is recurrent.

Proof. Define stopping times $D := \inf\{n \geq 0 : X_n \in F\}$ and (for $M \in \mathbf{N}$) $S_M := \inf\{n \geq 0 : f(X_n) > M\}$.

Fix $x \in S$ and suppose that $\mathbf{P}_x[S_M = \infty] > 0$ for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Notice that

$$\{S_M = \infty\} \subset \{f(X_n) \leq M \text{ for all } n\}.$$

Therefore, there is positive \mathbf{P}_x probability that the Markov chain \mathbf{X} remains in the *finite* set $\{x : f(x) \leq M\}$ forever. This in turn implies that, with positive \mathbf{P}_x probability, one of the states of $\{x : f(x) \leq M\}$ is visited infinitely often. Such a state must be recurrent; we conclude that every element of S is recurrent because \mathbf{X} is irreducible. In short, if $\mathbf{P}_x[S_M = \infty] > 0$ for some $x \in S$, then \mathbf{X} is recurrent and we are done.

Now suppose that $\mathbf{P}_x[S_M = \infty] = 0$ for all $x \in S$ and all $M \in \mathbf{N}$; that is, $\mathbf{P}_x[S_M < \infty] = 1$ for all $x \in S$ and all $M \in \mathbf{N}$. From class discussion we know that $f(X_{n \wedge D})$, $n \geq 0$, is a non-negative \mathbf{P}_x -supermartingale for all $x \in S$. By the optional stopping theorem for non-negative supermartingales we therefore have

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x) &= \mathbf{E}_x[f(X_{0 \wedge D})] \geq \mathbf{E}_x[f(X_{S_M \wedge D})] \\ &\geq \mathbf{E}_x[f(X_{S_M \wedge D}); S_M < D] \geq M \cdot \mathbf{P}_x[S_M < D], \end{aligned}$$

the final inequality following from the fact that $S_M \wedge D = S_M$ on $\{S_M < D\}$, and thus $f(X_{S_M \wedge D}) = f(X_{S_M}) \geq M$ on $\{S_M < D\}$. Comparing the extreme terms in (1) we arrive at

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{P}_x[S_M < D] \leq f(x)/M, \quad \forall x \in S, \forall M \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Observe that the events $\{S_M < D\}$ are nested inward; that is, $\{S_{M+1} < D\} \subset \{S_M < D\}$ for all $M \in \mathbf{N}$. Letting M tend to $+\infty$ in (2) we therefore obtain

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{P}_x[\bigcap_{M \in \mathbf{N}} \{S_M < D\}] = 0, \quad \forall x \in S.$$

Taking complements:

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{P}_x[D \leq S_M \text{ for some } M \in \mathbf{N}] = 1, \quad \forall x \in S.$$

When we combine (4) with the fact that $\mathbf{P}_x[S_M < \infty] = 1$ for all $x \in S$ and all $M \in \mathbf{N}$ we obtain

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{P}_x[D < \infty] = 1, \quad \forall x \in S.$$

Thus, return to F is certain; by an argument used in class,

$$(6) \quad \mathbf{P}_x[X_n \in F \text{ for infinitely many } n] = 1.$$

But F is a finite set, so by the ‘‘pigeonhole principle’’, (6) implies that there exists $x_0 \in F$ such that

$$\mathbf{P}_x[X_n = x_0 \text{ for infinitely many } n] = 1.$$

Of course this state x_0 must be recurrent, and so \mathbf{X} is recurrent because it is irreducible. \square

Example 1. Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be an iid sequence of Bernoulli random variables: $\mathbf{P}[\xi_n = 1] = \mathbf{P}[\xi_n = -1] = 1/2$ for all n . Let $b : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ satisfy (i) $|b(x)| < |x|$ for all $x \neq 0$, (ii) $b(x) < 0$ for $x > 0$, and (iii) $b(x) > 0$ for $x < 0$. Consider the Markov chain $\mathbf{X} = \{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ generated recursively by

$$X_{n+1} = X_n + b(X_n) + \xi_{n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The hypotheses listed above ensure that the ‘‘drift’’ $b(x)$ tends to push \mathbf{X} towards the state 0. Thus we expect \mathbf{X} to be recurrent. Let us confirm this using Theorem 1. We take $f(x) := |x|$. Then

$$Pf(x) = \mathbf{E}_x|X_1| = \mathbf{E}_x|x + b(x) + \xi_1| = \frac{1}{2}(|x + b(x) + 1| + |x + b(x) - 1|).$$

Suppose that $x > 0$. Then by (i) and (ii) above we have $0 \leq -b(x) < x$, so $x + b(x) \pm 1 \geq 0$, whence

$$\frac{1}{2}(|x + b(x) + 1| + |x + b(x) - 1|) = x + b(x) < x = |x| = f(x),$$

which verifies that $Pf(x) \leq x$ if $x > 0$. In the same way $Pf(x) \leq f(x)$ if $x < 0$. Therefore Theorem 1 applies to this choice of f with $F = \{0\}$. We conclude that \mathbf{X} is recurrent. \square

The companion transience criterion is problem 5 on your second homework assignment:

Theorem 2. Assume that \mathbf{X} is irreducible. Suppose there is a finite set F and a function $g : S \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that

(a) $Pg(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \notin F$, and

(b) $\inf\{g(x) : x \in S\} = 0$.

Then \mathbf{X} is transient.

Example 2. Let us modify Example 1 by now assuming that $b(x) > 0$ if $x > 0$ and $b(x) < 0$ if $x < 0$. The drift $b(x)$ is now driving \mathbf{X} away from 0, so we expect \mathbf{X} to be transient. Use Theorem 2 to verify this intuition. \square

An excellent source for results of the type presented here (and much more) is the book of Meyn and Tweedie [2].

Reference

- [1] F. G. Foster: On the stochastic matrices associated with certain queuing processes. *Ann. Math. Statistics* **24** (1953) 355–360.
- [2] S.P. Meyn and R.L. Tweedie: *Markov Chains and Stochastic Stability*. Springer-Verlag, London, 1993.