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Background

## Hermite Interpolation

## Background

Suppose we have pairwise distinct nodal points $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} \in \mathbb{R}$.

## Background

## Lemma

The Newton polynomials $p_{k}(x)$ with

$$
p_{k}(x)=\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(x-x_{i}\right), \quad 0 \leq k \leq m
$$

are a basis of $\mathcal{P}_{m}$.

## Background

## Proof.

Let $p(x) \in \mathcal{P}_{m}$ be a degree $m$ polynomial with

$$
p(x)=a_{0} p_{0}(x)+a_{1} p_{1}(x)+\cdots+a_{m} p_{m}(x)
$$

Assume that $p(x)=0$. Then obviously

$$
p\left(x_{0}\right)=p\left(x_{1}\right)=\cdots=p\left(x_{m}\right)=0 .
$$

We show step by step that all coefficients $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ are equal zero.
First, since by the definition of the Newton polynomials

$$
p_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)=1, \quad p_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=p_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=\cdots=p_{m}\left(x_{0}\right)=0
$$

so we get that $0=p\left(x_{0}\right)=a_{0} p_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)=a_{0}$, which implies $a_{0}=0$.

## Background

## Proof.

Next, since by the definition of the Newton polynomials

$$
p_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}-x_{0}, \quad p_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=p_{3}\left(x_{1}\right)=\cdots=p_{m}\left(x_{1}\right)=0
$$

so we get that $0=p\left(x_{1}\right)=a_{1} p_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=a_{1}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)$, which implies $a_{1}=0$.
Next, since by the definition of the Newton polynomials

$$
p_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right), \quad p_{3}\left(x_{2}\right)=\cdots=p_{m}\left(x_{2}\right)=0,
$$

so we get that $0=p\left(x_{2}\right)=a_{2} p_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=a_{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)$, which implies $a_{2}=0$.

Repeating this shows $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ are all equal zero, and so the polynomials are linearly independent.

## Background

## Lemma

The Lagrange polynomials $L_{k}(x)$ with

$$
L_{k}(x)=\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ k \neq j}} \frac{x-x_{j}}{x_{k}-x_{j}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m
$$

are a basis of $\mathcal{P}_{m}$.

## Background

## Proof.

Let $p(x) \in \mathcal{P}_{m}$ be a degree $m$ polynomial with

$$
p(x)=a_{0} L_{0}(x)+a_{1} L_{1}(x)+\cdots+a_{m} L_{m}(x)
$$

Assume that $p(x)=0$. Then obviously

$$
p\left(x_{0}\right)=p\left(x_{1}\right)=\cdots=p\left(x_{m}\right)=0
$$

For any $0 \leq k \leq m$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=p\left(x_{k}\right) & =a_{0} L_{0}\left(x_{k}\right)+a_{1} L_{1}\left(x_{k}\right)+\cdots+a_{m} L_{m}\left(x_{k}\right) \\
& =a_{k} L_{k}\left(x_{k}\right)=a_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

So $a_{k}=0$. Since all the coefficients vanish, the polynomials are linearly independent, and thus they are a basis.

## Background

Suppose we have nodal points $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} \in \mathbb{R}$, not necessarily pairwise distinct.

Having nodal points with duplicates corresponds to the case of interpolation that takes into account higher order derivatives. That is called Hermite interpolation.

## Background

Hermite Interpolation

## Hermite Interpolation

Suppose we have pairwise distinct nodal points $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ and non-negative integers $k_{0}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n} \geq 0$.

The Hermite interpolation problem seeks a polynomial $p \in \mathcal{P}_{m}$ of degree

$$
m=n+k_{0}+k_{1}+\cdots+k_{n} .
$$

with the property that for some fixed function $f$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p\left(x_{0}\right)=f\left(x_{0}\right), \quad p^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right), \quad \cdots \quad p^{\left(k_{0}\right)}\left(x_{0}\right)=f^{\left(k_{0}\right)}\left(x_{0}\right), \\
& p\left(x_{1}\right)=f\left(x_{1}\right), \quad p^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right), \quad \cdots \quad p^{\left(k_{1}\right)}\left(x_{1}\right)=f^{\left(k_{1}\right)}\left(x_{1}\right), \\
& p\left(x_{n}\right)=f\left(x_{n}\right), \quad p^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right), \quad \cdots \quad p^{\left(k_{n}\right)}\left(x_{n}\right)=f^{\left(k_{n}\right)}\left(x_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the discussion of that problem, it will be helpful to interpret the $k_{i}$ as multiplicities of the nodal points $x_{i}$.

## Hermite Interpolation

Suppose we have pairwise distinct nodal points $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ and non-negative integers $k_{0}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n} \geq 0$.

The Hermite interpolation problem seeks a polynomial $p \in \mathcal{P}_{m}$ of degree

$$
m=n+k_{0}+k_{1}+\cdots+k_{n}
$$

with the property

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}, \quad p^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}^{\prime}, \quad \ldots \quad p^{\left(k_{0}\right)}\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}^{\left(k_{0}\right)}, \\
& p\left(x_{1}\right)=y_{1}, \quad p^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=y_{1}^{\prime}, \quad \ldots \quad p^{\left(k_{1}\right)}\left(x_{1}\right)=y_{1}^{\left(k_{1}\right)}, \\
& p\left(x_{n}\right)=y_{n}, \quad p^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=y_{n}^{\prime}, \quad \ldots \quad p^{\left(k_{n}\right)}\left(x_{n}\right)=y_{n}^{\left(k_{n}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the discussion of that problem, it will be helpful to interpret the $k_{i}$ as multiplicities of the nodal points $x_{i}$.

## Hermite Interpolation

The Hermite interpolation problem seeks a polynomial $p \in \mathcal{P}_{m}$ of degree

$$
m=n+k_{0}+k_{1}+\cdots+k_{n} .
$$

with the property

$$
p^{(k)}\left(x_{i}\right)=f^{(k)}\left(x_{i}\right), \quad 0 \leq k \leq k_{i}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n .
$$

For later usage, we introduce nodal points with multiplicities:

$$
\underbrace{z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{k_{0}}}_{k_{0}+1 \text { copies of } x_{0}}, \underbrace{z_{k_{0}+1}, z_{k_{0}+2}, \ldots, z_{k_{0}+k_{1}}}_{k_{1}+1 \text { copies of } x_{1}}, \ldots,
$$

## Hermite Interpolation

## Example (Lagrange interpolation)

We search for a polynomial $p(x)$ of degree $m$ such that

$$
p\left(x_{0}\right)=f\left(x_{0}\right), \quad p\left(x_{1}\right)=f\left(x_{1}\right), \quad \ldots \quad p\left(x_{m}\right)=f\left(x_{m}\right)
$$

where $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} \in \mathbb{R}$ are $m+1$ pairwise distinct points. Here,

$$
n=m, \quad k_{0}=k_{1}=\cdots=k_{n}=0,
$$

## Example (Taylor interpolation)

We search for a polynomial $p$ of degree $m$ such that

$$
p\left(x_{0}\right)=f\left(x_{0}\right), \quad p^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right), \quad \ldots \quad p^{(m)}\left(x_{0}\right)=f^{(m)}\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

for some point $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. Here,

$$
n=0, \quad k_{0}=m
$$

## Hermite Interpolation

## Example

We search for a polynomial $p$ of degree 3 such that

$$
p(1)=1, \quad p^{\prime}(1)=2, \quad p(2)=2, \quad p^{\prime}(2)=3 .
$$

We express these four constraints as a linear system of equations with invertible system matrix. We define

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{0}(x)=1, \quad N_{1}(x)=(x-1) \\
N_{2}(x)=(x-1)^{2}, \quad N_{3}(x)=(x-2)(x-1)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Observe

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{0}^{\prime}(x)=0, \quad N_{1}^{\prime}(x)=1, \\
N_{2}^{\prime}(x)=2(x-1), \quad N_{3}^{\prime}(x)=(x-1)^{2}+2(x-2)(x-1)
\end{gathered}
$$

We will express the solution as

$$
p(x)=a_{0} N_{0}(x)+a_{1} N_{1}(x)+a_{2} N_{2}(x)+a_{3} N_{3}(x) .
$$

## Hermite Interpolation

## Example

The linear system in that form reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(1)=a_{0} N_{0}(1)+a_{1} N_{1}(1)+a_{2} N_{2}(1)+a_{3} N_{3}(1)=1 . \\
& p^{\prime}(1)=a_{0} N_{0}^{\prime}(1)+a_{1} N_{1}^{\prime}(1)+a_{2} N_{2}^{\prime}(1)+a_{3} N_{3}^{\prime}(1)=2 \text {. } \\
& p(2)=a_{0} N_{0}(2)+a_{1} N_{1}(2)+a_{2} N_{2}(2)+a_{3} N_{3}(2)=2 \text {. } \\
& p^{\prime}(2)=a_{0} N_{0}^{\prime}(2)+a_{1} N_{1}^{\prime}(2)+a_{2} N_{2}^{\prime}(2)+a_{3} N_{3}^{\prime}(2)=3 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Evaluating the polynomials and their derivatives, and setting this matrix form reveals that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
a_{0} \\
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
a_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We easily see that

$$
a_{0}=1, \quad a_{1}=2, \quad a_{2}=-1, \quad a_{3}=3
$$

## Hermite Interpolation

We express the Hermite interpolation as a linear system of equations.

## Lemma

The Hermite interpolation problem has got a unique solution.

## Proof.

The idea is the following: we use a modification of the Newton basis for Lagrange interpolation.

That will provide a basis of $\mathcal{P}_{m}$ with respect to which the Hermite interpolation problem can be expressed as an invertible triangular system.

## Hermite Interpolation

## Proof.

Consider the system

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
p\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}, & p^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}^{\prime}, & \cdots & p^{\left(k_{0}\right)}\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}^{\left(k_{0}\right)} \\
p\left(x_{1}\right)=y_{1}, & p^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=y_{1}^{\prime}, & \cdots & p^{\left(k_{1}\right)}\left(x_{1}\right)=y_{1}^{\left(k_{1}\right)} \\
\vdots & & \\
p\left(x_{n}\right)=y_{n}, & p^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=y_{n}^{\prime}, & \cdots & p^{\left(k_{n}\right)}\left(x_{n}\right)=y_{n}^{\left(k_{n}\right)} .
\end{array}
$$

We will construct a basis for $\mathcal{P}_{m}$, with respect to which the system has a triangular form with non-zero diagonal.

## Hermite Interpolation

## Proof.

We define

$$
N_{p}(x)=\prod_{j=0}^{p-1}\left(z-z_{j}\right), \quad 0 \leq p \leq m
$$

Notice that these are linearly independent. From here, with $k<k_{i}$ we see

$$
N_{k_{0}+k_{1}+\cdots+k_{i-1}+k}(x)=\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{k} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1}\left(x-x_{j}\right)^{k_{j}+1} .
$$

We already see that $N_{k_{0}+k_{1}+\cdots+k_{i-1}+k}$ vanishes at $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}$. We generalize this observation to higher derivatives.

We recall the generalized product rule:

$$
\left(f_{0} f_{1} \cdots f_{n}\right)^{(l)}=\sum_{l_{0}+l_{1}+\cdots+l_{n}=l} \frac{n!}{l_{0}!l_{1}!\cdots l_{n}!} f_{0}^{\left(l_{0}\right)} f_{1}^{\left(l_{1}\right)} \cdots f_{n}^{\left(l_{n}\right)} .
$$

Furthermore, we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{k}\right)^{(l)}=k(k-1) \cdots(k-l)\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{k-l}, \quad l \leq k \\
& \left(\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{k}\right)^{(l)}=0, \quad l>k
\end{aligned}
$$

## Hermite Interpolation

## Proof.

Obviously,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{k_{0}+k_{1}+\cdots+k_{i-1}+k}^{(l)}(x) \\
& \quad \sum_{\substack{l_{0}+l_{1}+\cdots+l_{i}=l \\
l_{0} \leq k_{0}, l_{1} \leq k_{1}, \ldots, l_{i} \leq k_{i} \\
l_{i} \leq k}} C\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{i}\right)\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{k-l_{i}} \prod_{0 \leq j<i}\left(x-x_{j}\right)^{k_{j}+1-l_{j}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)$ is a positive integer.

- If $l \leq k_{j}$ for some $0 \leq j<i$, then in each summand $l_{j}<k_{j}+1$. We conclude that

$$
N_{k_{0}+k_{1}+\cdots+k_{i-1}+k}^{(l)}\left(x_{j}\right)=0, \quad 0 \leq l \leq k_{j}, \quad 0 \leq j<i .
$$

- If $l<k$, then in each summand $l_{i}<k$. We conclude that

$$
N_{k_{0}+k_{1}+\cdots+k_{i-1}+k}\left(x_{i}\right)^{(l)}=0, \quad 0 \leq l \leq k-1 .
$$

- Finally, if $l=k$, then all summands vanish except the one where $l_{i}=k$. Thus

$$
N_{k_{0}+k_{1}+\cdots+k_{i-1}+k}^{(k)}\left(x_{i}\right)=C\left(0,0, \ldots, 0, l_{i}\right) \prod_{0 \leq j<i}\left(x-x_{j}\right)^{k_{j}+1} \neq 0
$$

## Hermite Interpolation

## Proof.

Abbreviating

$$
N(x)=N_{k_{0}+k_{1}+\cdots+k_{i-1}+k}(x),
$$

we have for $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $0 \leq k \leq k_{i}$ that

$$
N\left(x_{0}\right)=0, \quad N^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0, \quad \ldots \quad N^{\left(k_{0}\right)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
N\left(x_{i-1}\right) & =0, \quad N^{\prime}\left(x_{i-1}\right)=0, \quad \ldots \quad N^{\left(k_{i-1}\right)}\left(x_{i-1}\right)=0 \\
N\left(x_{i}\right) & =0, \quad N^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=0, \quad \ldots \quad N^{(k-1)}\left(x_{i}\right)=0, \quad N^{(k)}\left(x_{i}\right) \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The linear problem of Hermite interpolation has got a triangular matrix with non-zero diagonal entries when expressed via Newton polynomials.

The system has got a unique solution.

## Summary

- Lagrange and Taylor interpolation are special cases of Hermite interpolation.
- Possible expression in terms of Newton basis, other bases are possible.
- Error analysis more complicated, not part of this lecture.

