

**Problem 1** Let  $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two functions such that

$$f(x)f(y) = [g(x+y)], \quad f(0) = 1.$$

Show that  $f(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Here  $[x]$  denotes the integer part of  $x$ .

Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . We have

$$f(x+y)f(0) = [g(x+y)], \quad f(x)f(y) = [g(x+y)] \implies f(x+y)f(0) = f(x)f(y).$$

Since  $f(0) = 1$ , we obtain

$$f(x+y) = f(x)f(y).$$

Thus  $f(nx) = f(x)^n$  for all integers  $n > 0$  by induction on  $n$ . Setting  $x \mapsto x/n$ , we find

$$f(x) = f(x/n)^n.$$

For  $y = 0$ , we obtain  $f(x) = [g(x)]$ . Thus  $f(x)$  is an integer for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $n > 0$  be an integer. Since

$$f(x) = f(x/n)^n$$

and  $f(x/n)$  is an integer, it follows that  $f(x)$  is an integer which is always an  $n$ th power. Since  $n$  is arbitrary, this implies  $f(x) = 0$  or  $f(x) = 1$ .

If  $f(x) = 0$ , then

$$0 = f(x)f(2-x) = f(2) = f(1)f(1) = 1$$

yields a contradiction. Thus  $f(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Problem 2** Let  $S$  be a set of 132 positive integers such that  $3 \in S$  and  $97 \in S$ . Show that there exist three distinct elements  $a, b, c \in S$  and  $[a, b] \leq c$ . Here,  $[a, b]$  denotes the least common multiple of  $a$  and  $b$ .

If  $1 \in S$  then  $(1, 3, 97)$  is a triple with the required property. Similarly if  $2 \in S$ , then  $(2, 3, 97)$  is a triple with the required property. Thus, we may assume  $1, 2 \notin S$ .

Let  $m = \min\{s : s \in S, s \neq 3\}$  and  $M = \max\{s : s \in S\}$ . Note  $3 < m < M$ . Also  $M > 97$  since  $S$  has 132 elements which are distinct positive integers.

If  $M \geq 3m$ , then  $(3, m, M)$  is a triple with the required property since  $[3, m] \leq 3m \leq M$ . Thus, we may assume  $M \leq 3m - 1$  or equivalently  $m \geq \frac{M+1}{3}$ .

If  $M \geq 291 = 3 \cdot 97$ , then  $(3, 97, M)$  is a triple that works. Thus, we may assume  $M \leq 290$ .

If there exists  $s \in S \setminus \{3, M\}$  with  $3|s$  then  $(3, s, M)$  is a triple with the required property since  $[3, s] = s < M$ . Thus, we may assume all elements in  $S \setminus \{3, M\}$  are not divisible by 3.

By induction on the nonnegative integers  $\alpha, \beta$ , we show that the set  $\{\alpha, \alpha + 1, \dots, \beta\}$  contains  $\leq \frac{2}{3}(\beta - \alpha + 2)$  numbers not divisible by 3.

Recall that  $S$  has 132 elements. Two of these elements are 3 and  $M$ . The remaining ones are in the set  $\{m, \dots, M - 1\}$  and are not divisible by 3. There are  $\leq \frac{2}{3}(M - m + 1)$  elements in the latter set which are not divisible by 3. Thus

$$132 \leq 2 + \frac{2}{3}(M - m + 1).$$

Using  $m \geq \frac{M+1}{3}$ , we obtain

$$132 \leq 2 + \frac{2}{3} \left( M - \frac{M+1}{3} + 1 \right) \implies M > 290,$$

a contradiction.

**Problem 3** Let  $n \geq 3$ . Prove that the maximum number of line segments which can be drawn between the vertices of a regular  $n$ -gon in the plane without having two disjoint line segments is  $n$ .

First we may consider all  $n - 1$  line segments containing a vertex  $v$  of a regular  $n$ -gon plus the single line segment between the vertices immediately adjacent to  $v$  in the  $n$ -gon to get  $n$  line segments without two disjoint line segments. So at least  $n$  line segments are possible without two disjoint line segments.

Now let  $L$  be any collection of  $n + 1$  line segments between the vertices of a regular  $n$ -gon. For each vertex  $v$  of the  $n$ -gon contained in some line segment, let  $\ell_v$  denote the line segment joining  $v$  to the nearest vertex of the  $n$ -gon in the clockwise direction. Remove for each vertex  $v$  the line segment  $\ell_v$ . The number of line segments removed is at most  $n$ . Therefore there exists a remaining line segment joining a vertex  $u$  to a vertex  $v$ . Now  $\ell_v$  and  $\ell_u$  are disjoint line segments. Therefore at most  $n$  line segments are possible if no two are disjoint.

We conclude the maximum number of line segments is  $n$ .

**Problem 4** Prove or disprove: For any positive integer  $N$  there exists a set  $S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N^2\}$  with  $|S| = N$  so that there is no integer  $n$  that can be written as  $n = x + y$  with  $x, y \in S$  in more than 2023 different ways.

The statement is true.

For a prime  $p > 2$  let  $S_p$  denote the set of integers of the form  $x + 2p(x^2 \pmod{p})$  where  $1 \leq x \leq p$  is an integer and  $(x^2 \pmod{p})$  is the unique integer between 0 and  $p - 1$  (inclusive) that is congruent to  $x^2$  modulo  $p$ . We note that  $S_p \subset \{1, 2, \dots, 2p^2\}$ , and  $|S_p| = p$ . We also claim that any integer  $n$  can be written as a sum of two elements of  $S_p$  in at most two ways.

In particular, suppose that

$$n = (x + 2p(x^2 \pmod{p})) + (y + 2p(y^2 \pmod{p})) = (z + 2p(z^2 \pmod{p})) + (w + 2p(w^2 \pmod{p})).$$

Taking both sides modulo  $p$ , we have that  $x + y \equiv z + w \pmod{p}$ . Furthermore, noting that  $1 < x + y, z + w \leq 2p$ , subtracting 1 from both sides, dividing by  $2p$  and taking the floor yields that

$$(x^2 \pmod{p}) + (y^2 \pmod{p}) = (z^2 \pmod{p}) + (w^2 \pmod{p}).$$

Taking both sides modulo  $p$  yields that  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv z^2 + w^2 \pmod{p}$ . So if  $x + y \equiv z + w \equiv a \pmod{p}$  and  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv z^2 + w^2 \equiv b \pmod{p}$ , then  $xy \equiv zw \equiv (a^2 - b)/2 \pmod{p}$ . Therefore,  $\{x, y\}$  and  $\{z, w\}$  are both the set of roots modulo  $p$  of  $t^2 - at + (a^2 - b)/2$ . Thus,  $\{x, y\} = \{z, w\}$ , so  $n$  can be written as a sum of two elements of  $S$  in at most two ways.

For the full solution, note that if  $N < 2023$  we can just take  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ . Otherwise, let  $p > 2$  be a prime with  $N/10 < p < N/5$ . Let

$$S_0 = (S_p + 2p^2) \cup (S_p + 4p^2) \cup (S_p + 6p^2) \cup \dots \cup (S_p + 20p^2),$$

where  $(S_p + m)$  denotes the set of elements of the form  $x + m$  with  $x \in S_p$ . Note that  $S_0$  has  $10p > N$  elements, each of size at most  $22p^2 < N^2$ . Furthermore, no integer  $n$  can be written as the sum of an element of  $(S_p + m_1)$  and an integer in  $(S_p + m_2)$  in more than two ways (since each such way corresponds to a way of writing  $n - m_1 - m_2$  as a sum of two elements of  $S_p$ ), so no integer  $n$  can be written as the sum of two elements of  $S$  in more than  $2 \cdot 10^2 < 2023$  ways.

Taking a  $S$  to be any subset of  $S_0$  of size exactly  $N$  gives a set with the desired property.