

Recall the uniformization thm in one complex variable:

Defⁿ: A Riemann surface is a connected Hausdorff space M together with a collection of charts $\{U_\alpha, z_\alpha\}$ satisfying

1. The U_α form an open covering of M
2. Each z_α is a homeomorphic mapping of U_α onto an open subset of \mathbb{C}
3. If $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$, then $f_{\alpha\beta} = z_\beta \circ z_\alpha^{-1}$ is holomorphic on $z_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$

(Uniformization Thm for Riemann surface, Koebe, Poincaré, 1907):

Every simply connected Riemann surface is biholomorphic to one of the following:

1. the Riemann sphere
2. \mathbb{C}
3. $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

However the analog in higher dimension fails dramatically.

however, the unifying

E.g 1: B^n and Δ^n are NOT biholomorphic (Poincaré)

E.g 2 (Burns, Shnider, Wells, 1978)

Among small perturbations of B^n , $n \geq 2$, there are infinitely many mutually non-biholomorphic domains

Consequently, there exist infinitely many

mutually non-biholomorphic complex structures on B^n

Therefore to formulate a uniformization thm in higher dimension, one has to put stronger assumptions.

A classical uniformization thm:

Let M be a simply connected complete Kähler manifold

M of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c .

Then M is holomorphic isometric to one of the

following:

1. $(\mathbb{C}P^n, \lambda W_{FS})$ for some $\lambda > 0$

2. (\mathbb{C}^n, W_E)

3. $(B^n, \lambda W_B)$ for some $\lambda > 0$

we will prove a closely related uniformization result
in terms of the Bergman metric

Thm (Qikeng Lu) Let D be an bdd domain in \mathbb{C}^n .
Then D has complete Bergman metric of constant holomorphic
sectional curvature iff D is biholomorphic to
the unit ball.

We first explain a bit the "holomorphic sectional curvature".

Let $(U, g_{i\bar{j}})$ be a domain equipped with a Kähler
metric. Let R be the curvature of the Levi-
Civita connection: for vector fields X, Y, Z, W

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$$

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = -g(R(X, Y)Z, W).$$

Let J be an endomorphism $TM \rightarrow TM$ by

$$\begin{cases} J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \\ J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}\right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \end{cases} \quad \forall i$$

Then extends by linearity.

Thm. Let P be a 2-plane invariant by J . Let X be a unit vector in P . Then

$$K(P) \triangleq R(X, JX, X, JX)$$

is called the holomorphic sectional curvature by P

Remark: $-K(P)$ does not depend on the choice of P .

• Let $U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X - \sqrt{-1}JX)$. Then

$$K(P) = -R(U, \bar{U}, U, \bar{U}).$$

Defⁿ: If $K(p)$ is constant for all plane

P in $T_x M$ invariant by J and for all points $x \in M$, then M is called a space of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

In the coordinates $Z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$, we write

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_l} \right).$$

Remark: • We have the following formula for

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = \frac{\partial^2 g_{i\bar{j}}}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_l} - g^{s\bar{t}} \frac{\partial g_{s\bar{j}}}{\partial z_k} \frac{\partial h_{i\bar{t}}}{\partial \bar{z}_l}$$

• $(M, g_{i\bar{j}})$ has constant holomorphic sectional curvature $= c \iff$

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = -\frac{c}{2} (g_{i\bar{j}}g_{k\bar{l}} + g_{k\bar{j}}g_{i\bar{l}}) \quad (*)$$

E.g. Let $(B^n, g_{i\bar{j}})$ be the unit ball equipped with the Bergman metric

$$g_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} (\log K)$$

Then $(B^n, g_{i\bar{j}})$ has constant holo. sectional curvature $= -\frac{2}{n+1}$.

Pf. Since $(B^n, g_{i\bar{j}})$ homogeneous, it suffices to check at 0.

By a standard computation,

$$g_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} \log (1 - |z|^2)^{-(n+1)}$$

$$= (n+1) \frac{(1 - |z|^2) \delta_{ij} + \bar{z}_i z_j}{(1 - |z|^2)^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{At } z=0, \quad g_{i\bar{j}}(0) = (n+1) \delta_{ij}$$

Note:

$$g_{i\bar{j}} = (n+1) (\delta_{ij} (1 + |z|^2) + \bar{z}_i z_j) + O(|z|^4)$$

\Rightarrow

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial g_{i\bar{j}}}{\partial z_k}(0) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial g_{i\bar{j}}}{\partial \bar{z}_l}(0) = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 g_{i\bar{j}}}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_l}(0) = (n+1) (\delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \delta_{jk} \delta_{il})$$

Recall

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = \frac{\partial^2 g_{i\bar{j}}}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_l} - g^{s\bar{t}} \frac{\partial g_{s\bar{j}}}{\partial z_k} \frac{\partial h_{i\bar{t}}}{\partial \bar{z}_l}$$

\Rightarrow

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}|_0 = (n+1) (\delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \delta_{j\bar{k}} \delta_{i\bar{l}})$$

$$= \frac{1}{n+1} (g_{i\bar{j}} g_{k\bar{l}} + g_{k\bar{j}} g_{i\bar{l}})|_0$$

$$= -\frac{c}{2} (g_{i\bar{j}} g_{k\bar{l}} + g_{k\bar{j}} g_{i\bar{l}})|_0$$

if we let $c = -\frac{2}{n+1}$. \square

Ex: Let $h_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{\lambda}{n+1} g_{i\bar{j}}$, $\lambda > 0$, be the scaled Bergman metric on B^n . prove $(B^n, h_{i\bar{j}})$ has constant holo. sectional curvature $= \frac{2}{\lambda}$.

Ex: let $B_r^n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| < r\}$

① prove $K_{B_r^n}(z, \bar{z}) = C(n, r) (1 - r^{-2} \langle z, z \rangle)^{-(n+1)}$

② let $h_{i\bar{j}} = \lambda \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} \log(1 - r^{-2} \langle z, z \rangle)^{-1}$

Show $(B_r^n, h_{i\bar{j}})$ has constant holo. sectional

curvature $= \frac{-2}{\lambda}$.

We now prove Qikeng Lu's thm, which we repeat below:

Thm (Qikeng Lu) Let D be an bdd domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Then D has complete Bergman metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature iff D is biholomorphic to the unit ball.

Pf: We only need to prove " \Rightarrow ".

Write the Bergman metric of D as $g_{i\bar{j}}$.

Assume it has constant holo. sectional curvature $= c$. We first make the following observation.

Claim: we must have $c < 0$.

Pf: otherwise, $c = 0$, or $c > 0$.

By the uniformization thm,

① Suppose $c > 0$. Then D is universally (holomorphically) covered by $\mathbb{C}P^n$. This is impossible as $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is compact and every holo. function on $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is constant.

② Suppose $c = 0$. Then D is universally (holomorphically) covered by \mathbb{C}^n . This is impossible by Liouville's Thm.

Hence we must have $c < 0$.

Therefore we have D is universally covered by \mathbb{B}^n . (while our goal is to prove $D \simeq \mathbb{B}^n$)

Step 1: Let U be an open subset of \mathbb{C}^n and $p \in U$. Let $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ be the coordinates, and let $(U, h_{i\bar{j}})$ be a real analytic Kähler metric. Assume

$$h_{i\bar{j}}(z) = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}(z, \bar{z})$$

where $\phi(z, \bar{z})$ is real analytic for $(z, \bar{z}) \in U \times U$.

Define $(h^{k\bar{l}})$ be the inverse of $(h_{i\bar{j}})$ in the sense that

$$h_{i\bar{j}} h^{k\bar{j}} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sum_{j=1}^n h_{i\bar{j}} h^{k\bar{j}} = \delta_{ik}$$

$$\left(\Rightarrow h_{i\bar{j}} h^{i\bar{l}} = \delta_{j\bar{l}} \right)$$

Define $w_i(z) = h^{i\bar{l}}(p) \left[\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}^l}(z, \bar{p}) - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}^l}(p, \bar{p}) \right]$

which is well-defined on U .

Note: ① $w_i(p) = 0$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial z^k} = h^{i\bar{l}}(p) \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^k \partial \bar{z}^l}(z, \bar{p})$$

$$\frac{\partial w_i}{\partial z^k} \Big|_p = h^{i\bar{l}}(p) h_{k\bar{l}}(p) = \delta_k^i$$

Hence at p , $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$

is a (biholomorphic) change of coordinates in a possibly small

nbhd V of p .

Such (w, V) is called the representative coordinates chart at p

Lemma 1: Let (u, v) be the representative coordinates chart at p . Set

$$\hat{\Phi}(u, \bar{u}) = \Phi(z(u), \bar{z}(u))$$

the metric now in terms of u -coordinates, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}_{i\bar{j}}(u, \bar{u}) &= \frac{\partial^2 \hat{\Phi}(u, \bar{u})}{\partial u_i \partial \bar{u}_j} \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 \Phi(z, \bar{z})}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_l} \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial u_i} \frac{\partial \bar{z}_l}{\partial \bar{u}_j} \\ &= h_{k\bar{l}}(z, \bar{z}) \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial u_i} \frac{\partial \bar{z}_l}{\partial \bar{u}_j} \end{aligned}$$

It satisfies that:

$$\hat{h}_{i\bar{j}}(u, 0) \equiv h_{i\bar{j}}(p, \bar{p}), \quad \forall u \in V$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 \hat{\Phi}(u, \eta)}{\partial u_i \partial \bar{\eta}_j} \Big|_{(u, \eta) = (u, 0)} \equiv \text{constant}, \quad \forall u \in V$$

Consequently, for $k_1 + \dots + k_n \geq 2$, $k_i \geq 0$

$$\left[\frac{\partial^{k_1 + \dots + k_n + 1} \hat{\Phi}(w, 0)}{(\partial w_1)^{k_1} \dots (\partial w_n)^{k_n} (\partial \bar{w}_j)} \right] = 0, \forall w \in V$$

In particular

$$\left[\frac{\partial^{k_1 + \dots + k_n + 1} \hat{\Phi}(0, 0)}{(\partial w_1)^{k_1} \dots (\partial w_n)^{k_n} (\partial \bar{w}_j)} \right] = 0, \dots$$

Remark: For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$

write $D^\alpha D^{\bar{\beta}} \hat{\Phi} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha| + |\beta|} \hat{\Phi}}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta}$

$$\Rightarrow D^\alpha D^{\bar{\beta}} \hat{\Phi}(0) = 0 \text{ if } \begin{cases} |\alpha| \geq 2 \\ |\beta| = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{or } \begin{cases} |\alpha| = 1 \\ |\beta| \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

Remark: The Taylor expansion of $\hat{\Phi}$ at 0 takes the form:

$$\hat{\Phi}(w, \bar{w}) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} D^\alpha D^{\bar{\beta}} \hat{\Phi}(0) w^\alpha \bar{w}^\beta$$

$$= 2 \cdot \frac{\hat{\Phi}(0, 0)}{2} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha=0 \\ \beta \geq 1}} D^{\bar{\beta}} \hat{\Phi}(0) \bar{w}^\beta$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{\alpha \geq 1 \\ \beta=0}} D^\alpha \hat{\Phi}(0) w^\alpha + \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=1 \\ |\beta|=1}} D^\alpha D^{\bar{\beta}} \hat{\Phi}(0) w^\alpha \bar{w}^\beta$$

$$\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=1 \\ |\beta| \geq 2}} D^\alpha D^{\bar{\beta}} \hat{\Phi}(0) w^\alpha \bar{w}^\beta + \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \geq 2 \\ |\beta|=1}} D^\alpha D^{\bar{\beta}} \hat{\Phi}(0) w^\alpha \bar{w}^\beta$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \geq 2 \\ |\beta| \geq 2}} D^\alpha D^{\bar{\beta}} \hat{\Phi}(0) w^\alpha \bar{w}^\beta$$

$$= f(w) + \overline{f(w)} + |w|^2 + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \geq 2 \\ \beta \geq 2}} D^\alpha \overline{D^\beta} \hat{\Phi}(0) w^\alpha \overline{w^\beta}$$

Replacing $\hat{\Phi}$ by $\hat{\Phi} - (f(w) + \overline{f(w)})$, we can assume

$$\hat{\Phi} = |w|^2 + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \geq 2 \\ \beta \geq 2}} D^\alpha \overline{D^\beta} \hat{\Phi}(0) w^\alpha \overline{w^\beta} \quad (\Delta)$$

Pf of Lemma 1: Set

$$h_{k\bar{l}}(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi(z, \bar{z})}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_l}, \quad (z, \bar{z}) \in U \times U$$

$$\text{Then } h_{k\bar{l}}(z, \bar{z}) \Big|_{(z, \bar{z}) = (z, \bar{z})} = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi(z, \bar{z})}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_l}.$$

Set $\hat{\Phi}(w, \bar{y}) = \bar{\Phi}(z(w), \bar{\xi}(\bar{y}))$, $w, \bar{y} \in V$

$$h_{i\bar{j}}(w, \bar{y}) = \frac{\partial^2 \hat{\Phi}(w, \bar{y})}{\partial w_i \partial \bar{y}_j}$$

$$= \frac{\partial^2 \bar{\Phi}(z, \bar{\xi})}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{\xi}_l} \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial w_i}(z) \frac{\partial \bar{\xi}_l}{\partial \bar{y}_j}(\bar{\xi})$$

$$= h_{k\bar{l}}(z(w), \bar{\xi}(\bar{y})) \cdot \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial w_i}(w) \frac{\partial \bar{\xi}_l}{\partial \bar{y}_j}(\bar{y}) \quad (*)$$

Let $(h_{i\bar{j}}(z, \bar{\xi}))$ be s.t

$$h_{i\bar{l}}(z, \bar{\xi}) h_{k\bar{l}}(z, \bar{\xi}) = \delta_{ik}$$

Note, by shrinking V if necessary, we can

assume each $h_{i\bar{j}}(z, \bar{\xi})$ is holomorphic in

$(z, \bar{\xi}) \in V \times \bar{V}$.

Claim 1: $\frac{\partial z_k}{\partial w_i}(w) = h^{k\bar{l}}(z(w), \bar{p}) h_{i\bar{l}}(p, \bar{p})$

Likewise, $\frac{\partial \bar{\xi}_l}{\partial \bar{y}_j}(\bar{y}) = h^{l\bar{k}}(\bar{\xi}(\bar{y}), \bar{p}) h_{j\bar{k}}(p, \bar{p})$

Pf: Recall

$$\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial z_p}(z) = h^{i\bar{l}}(p, \bar{p}) h_{p\bar{l}}(z, \bar{p}).$$

Note $\frac{\partial z_k}{\partial w_i}(w) \cdot \frac{\partial W_i}{\partial z_p}(z(w)) = \delta_p^k$

Then the conclusion follows. \square

Now by (*), \Rightarrow

$$\hat{h}_{i\bar{j}}(w, \bar{y})$$

$$= h_{k\bar{l}}(z(w), \bar{z}(\bar{y})) h^{k\bar{m}}(z(w), p) h_{i\bar{m}}(p, \bar{p}) h^{l\bar{q}}(\beta(\bar{y}), \bar{p}) h_{j\bar{q}}(p, \bar{p})$$

let $y=0 \Rightarrow z=p$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{h}_{i\bar{j}}(w,0) &= h_{k\bar{l}}(z(w),p) h^{k\bar{m}}(z(w),p) h_{0\bar{m}}(p,\bar{p}) \overbrace{h^{l\bar{q}}(p,\bar{p}) h_{j\bar{q}}(p,\bar{p})} \\ &= \sigma_i^m h_{0\bar{m}}(p,\bar{p}) h^{q\bar{i}}(p,\bar{p}) h_{q\bar{j}}(p,\bar{p}) \\ &= h_{i\bar{l}}(p,\bar{p}) h^{q\bar{i}}(p,\bar{p}) h_{q\bar{j}}(p,\bar{p}) \\ &= \sigma_i^q h_{q\bar{j}}(p,\bar{p}) \\ &= h_{i\bar{j}}(p,\bar{p})\end{aligned}$$

□

Note: For $\forall \lambda > 0$,

$\bar{\Psi}(w,\bar{w}) \triangleq \lambda \log(1 - \lambda^{-1}|w|^2)^{-1}$ also satisfies something like (Δ) :

$$\bar{\Psi}(w,\bar{w}) \triangleq \lambda \log(1 - \lambda^{-1}|w|^2)^{-1} = |w|^2 + \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \geq 2 \\ |\beta| \geq 2}} c_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} w^\alpha \bar{w}^\beta$$

We will show $\hat{\Phi}(w,\bar{w}) = \bar{\Psi}(w,\bar{w})$ near $w=0$.

for some appropriate λ .

Lemma 2: Let $(U, h_{i\bar{j}}(z))$ be a Kähler

metric as above. Let (w, V) be the representative coordinates at $p \in U$, i.e., for $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$w_i(z) = h^{i\bar{i}}(p) \left[\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}^i}(z, \bar{p}) - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}^i}(p, \bar{p}) \right]$$

Let $\hat{\Phi}$ be as in Lemma 1,

In particular,

$$(1) \quad \int D^\alpha D^{\bar{\beta}} \hat{\Phi}(0) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \begin{cases} |\alpha| \geq 2 \\ |\beta| = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} |\alpha| = 1 \\ |\beta| \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{\Phi}(w, \bar{w}) = |w|^2 + \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \geq 2 \\ |\beta| \geq 2}} D^\alpha D^{\bar{\beta}} \hat{\Phi}(0) w^\alpha \bar{w}^\beta$$

Suppose $(U, h_{i\bar{j}}(z))$ (equivalent, $(V, \hat{h}_{i\bar{j}}(w))$)

has constant holo. sectional curvature = $\frac{-2}{\lambda}$

with $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$\hat{\Phi}(w, \bar{w}) = \hat{\Psi}(w, \bar{w}) \triangleq \lambda \log(1 - \lambda^{-1}|w|^2)^{-1}$$

near $w=0$.

Pf. Since $(V, \hat{h}_{i\bar{j}}(w))$ has constant holo.

sectional curvature = $\frac{-2}{\lambda} \Rightarrow$ Its curvature

satisfies

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = \frac{1}{\lambda} (\hat{h}_{i\bar{j}} \hat{h}_{k\bar{l}} + \hat{h}_{k\bar{j}} \hat{h}_{i\bar{l}})$$

where $R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = \frac{\partial^2 \hat{h}_{i\bar{j}}}{\partial w_k \partial \bar{w}_l} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{\partial \hat{h}_{i\bar{s}}}{\partial w_k} \frac{\partial \hat{h}_{s\bar{j}}}{\partial \bar{w}_l} + \frac{\partial \hat{h}_{i\bar{s}}}{\partial \bar{w}_l} \frac{\partial \hat{h}_{s\bar{j}}}{\partial w_k} \right)$

This implies, since $\hat{h}_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{\partial^2 \hat{\Phi}(w, \bar{w})}{\partial w_i \partial \bar{w}_j}$

$$\frac{\partial^4 \hat{\Phi}(w, \bar{w})}{\partial w_i \partial w_k \partial \bar{w}_j \partial \bar{w}_l} = \underbrace{h^{s\bar{t}} \frac{\partial \hat{h}_{s\bar{j}}}{\partial w_k} \frac{\partial \hat{h}_{i\bar{t}}}{\partial \bar{w}_l}} + \frac{1}{\lambda} (\hat{h}_{i\bar{j}} \hat{h}_{k\bar{l}} + \hat{h}_{k\bar{j}} \hat{h}_{i\bar{l}}) \quad (1)$$

$$P(D^\alpha \hat{\Phi}(w, \bar{w}), |\alpha| \leq 3)$$

On the other hand, letting $\mu = \sqrt{\lambda}$, $B_\mu^n = \{w \in \mathbb{C}^n : |w| < \mu\}$.

$$h_{i\bar{j}}(w, \bar{w}) \triangleq \lambda \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_i \partial \bar{w}_j} \log(1 - \lambda^{-1} |w|^2)^{-1}$$

Then by previous calculation / Ex, we have

$(B_\mu^n, h_{i\bar{j}})$ has constant holo. sectional

curvature $\frac{-2}{\lambda}$. Therefore with

$$\bar{\Psi}(w, \bar{w}) = \lambda \log(1 - \lambda^{-1} |w|^2)^{-1}.$$

we have (1) holds for $\bar{\Psi}$:

$$\frac{\partial^4 \bar{\Psi}(w, \bar{w})}{\partial w_i \partial w_k \partial \bar{w}_j \partial \bar{w}_l} = \underbrace{h^{s\bar{t}} \frac{\partial h_{s\bar{j}}}{\partial w_k} \frac{\partial h_{i\bar{t}}}{\partial \bar{w}_l}} + \frac{1}{\lambda} (h_{i\bar{j}} h_{k\bar{l}} + h_{k\bar{j}} h_{i\bar{l}}) \quad (3)$$

$$P(D^\alpha \bar{\Psi}(w, \bar{w}), |\alpha| \leq 3).$$

We now compare $\hat{\Phi}$ and Ψ :

Note: $\hat{\Phi}(w, \bar{w}) = |w|^2 + O(|w|^4)$

$$\Psi(w, \bar{w}) = |w|^2 + O(|w|^4)$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\Phi}$ and Ψ agree up to order 3.

Moreover,

$$\hat{\Phi}(w, \bar{w}) = |w|^2 + \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \geq 2 \\ |\beta| \geq 2}} D^\alpha D^\beta \hat{\Phi}(0) w^\alpha \bar{w}^\beta$$

$$\Psi(w, \bar{w}) = |w|^2 + \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \geq 2 \\ |\beta| \geq 2}} D^\alpha D^\beta \Psi(0) w^\alpha \bar{w}^\beta$$

But by (1), (2) \Rightarrow

$$D^\alpha D^\beta \hat{\Phi}(0) = D^\alpha D^\beta \Psi(0), \text{ for } |\alpha| \geq 2, |\beta| \geq 2.$$

Hence $\hat{\Phi} = \Psi$ near 0.

Summarize: At 0, with the change of coordinates:

$$w_i(z) = h^{i\bar{i}}(\phi) \left[\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_i}(z, \bar{p}) - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_i}(p, \bar{p}) \right], 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$w(z) = (w_1(z), \dots, w_n(z))$ is a well-defined holomorphic

map on U , where $\phi(z, \bar{z})$ is holomorphic in

$(z, \bar{z}) \in U \times \bar{U}$. we proved near p ,

$z \rightarrow w(z)$ is indeed an isometry.

Step 2:

We next recall an isometry extension Thm from Riemannian geometry.

Thm: Let (M, g) and (N, h) be analytic real manifolds equipped with analytic and complete Riemannian metrics g and h , respectively. Let φ be an isometry of a domain $U \subseteq M$ onto a domain in N .

Then φ extends real analytically along any path in M , (image stays in N) and the extension of φ is a local

isometry at every pt

If we apply the above isometry extension theorem to our setting, the local isometric map $z \rightarrow w(z)$ near p , extends along any path in Ω . and the extension is holomorphic. This gives a holomorphic multi-valued map from Ω to IB_{μ}^n .

Note: If Ω is simply connected, then this is indeed single-valued.

We can also consider the local inverse map of $g = w(z)$ from B_μ^n to Ω , call it $f = g^{-1}$. Then applying the above isometry extension to f , since B^n is simply connected, we get a single-valued map from B^n to Ω . This is indeed the covering map from B^n to Ω .

Step 3: Now back to the Bergman metric setting. In our setting, we can let

$$\phi(z, \bar{z}) = \log K(z, \bar{z})$$

Fix $p \in \Omega$. Let

$$w_0(z) = h^{i\bar{i}}(p) \left[\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_i}(z, \bar{p}) - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_i}(p, \bar{p}) \right]$$

$w_0(z)$ is indeed well-defined on

$$W = \{z \in \Omega; K(z, \bar{p}) \neq 0\}$$

$w \neq \phi$ as $p \in K$
The complement of W
 $\Omega - W$ is a complex variety of Ω

Write this map as (w, W)

By step 2, \exists a small nbhd V of p with

$V \subseteq W$ s.t. the restriction (w, V) of w

to V is a biholomorphic isometry from V

to $w(V) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_\mu^n$. Moreover, for any path γ in Ω , (w, V) extends holomorphically along γ (with image in \mathbb{B}_μ^n). In particular, if $\gamma \subseteq W$, then the map obtained by the extension is just the restriction of (w, W) . This implies, w maps W to \mathbb{B}_μ^n . In particular, w is bdd on W .

We next recall:

Thm (Riemann removable singularity)

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be a domain and $g \in H(D)$,

$g \not\equiv 0$, write $Z_g = \{z \in D : g(z) = 0\}$.

Assume $f \in H(D - z_g)$ and bdd on $D - z_g$.

Then f extends to a holo. function on D .

Apply this removable singularity thm

to $w(z)$ which holo. and bdd on

$W = \Omega - z_g$, where

$$g = k(z, \bar{p})$$

$$z_g = \{z \in \Omega : k(z, \bar{p}) = 0\}.$$

Hence $w(z)$ extends to a holo. map defined on Ω . Call it f .

Moreover, f maps Ω to B_{μ}^n . (why?)

Summarize:

• We have a global holo. map f from Ω to IB_{μ}^n , near p , w is a local biholomorphic map

• Nearby p , f has a local inverse h defined near $f(p)$. Note: h

is a local isometry at $f(p)$. By

the isometry extension thm and the fact

that IB_{μ}^n is simply-connected, \Rightarrow

g extends a global holo. map from

IB_{μ}^n to Ω .

By uniqueness of analytic functions

$$\Rightarrow f \circ g = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{B}_\mu^n} \text{ on } \mathbb{B}_\mu^n$$

$$g \circ f = \text{Id}_\Omega \text{ on } \Omega$$

$\Rightarrow \Omega$ and \mathbb{B}_μ^n are biholomorphic.