

① Statement, definitions, consequences.

Peter-Weyl: (1) Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group.  $f \in C(G)$ .

$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists g \in$  the representative ring of  $G$  such that

$$|f - g| < \varepsilon.$$

(2) If  $f$  is a class function, namely  $f(\sigma x \sigma^{-1}) = f(x)$ .  $\forall \sigma \in G$ .  
then  $g$  can be chosen as  $\hat{a}$  linear combination of character functions

$$\chi_{\phi_i} \quad \left\{ \phi_i \text{ being irreducible representations} \right\}$$

- The result holds for any compact topological group.
- The 1st proof is via integral equation, the Hilbert - theory of eigenfunctions with respect to a symmetric kernel function.

See Pontryagin: Pages 225-229.

Chevalley: Ex 1 of ch VI (pages 203-221).

- Recall for two representations (irreducible) we have proven

$$\int_G \phi_{ik} \overline{\psi_{jl}} \, d\mu = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \phi \not\sim \psi \\ \frac{\delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}}{\dim(V)} & \text{if } \phi \sim \psi \end{cases}$$

We then can collect  $\left\{ \sqrt{\dim(\phi)} \phi_{ik} \right\}_{\phi \in \hat{G}}$   $\hat{G}$  being the  
equivalence class of all irreducible representations of  $G$

&  $\left\{ \sqrt{\dim(\mathfrak{g})} \phi_{i,k} \right\}$  forms a subset of orthonormal elements.

Let  $S$  be the ring generated by this collection

[We shall show the linear space generated by the collection is enough]

Then (1)  $\Leftrightarrow S$  is <sup>uniformly</sup>  $\tau$ -complete.

(2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  The  $\{\text{character functions of } \phi, \phi \in \hat{G}\}$  is uniformly complete among class functions.

[ $S$  is uniformly complete if  $\forall f \in C(X), \exists f_i \in S, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_i$  such that  $\|f - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_i\|_{\infty} < \epsilon$ ]

$\uparrow$   
 $C^0$ -norm.

Our proof is taken from Warner's book exercises, on page 257. The idea of the proof is essentially the same as the integral-equation technique of Pontryagin.

It is a bit more precise due to the elliptic regularity, namely if  $f \in C^k(G)$  one may choose  $f_j \in S$

$$f_j \in C^\infty(G) \quad \left\| f - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j f_j \right\|_{C^k} < \epsilon$$

But Pontryagin's method works for  $G$  only a compact topological group!

(2) The proof

(A) The elliptic/Hodge theory. (also works for forms, for Riemannia.)  
Vector bundle over compact mfd

$\Delta$ : - operator. elliptic & symmetric, ( $\geq 0$ )

$\| \cdot \|$  -  $L^2$ -norm  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  associated inner product (Hermitian in

the case of complex bundle)

• Symmetric  $\Leftrightarrow \langle \Delta u, v \rangle = \langle u, \Delta v \rangle \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta)$

• Ellipticity  $\Leftrightarrow$  Some compactness.  $\Leftrightarrow$  Some estimate + Sobolev embedding.

Key facts: (i)  $\exists \{\lambda_i\}$  eigenvalues of  $\Delta$

$V_\lambda := \{u \mid \Delta u = \lambda u\}$  is finite-dimensional.

(ii)  $\lambda_i \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

(iii)  $\exists G$ -operator. which plays role as the inverse of  $\Delta$   
on  $\ker(\Delta)^\perp$

Satisfies  $G\Delta\alpha = \Delta G\alpha = \alpha, \forall \alpha \in \ker(\Delta)^\perp$

$\ker(\Delta) = V_0$  is also finite dimensional.

For the application  $\Delta = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left( g^{ij} \sqrt{g} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right)$

Only acts on  $C^\infty$ -functions of  $G$ .

$\ker(\Delta) = \{c\}$

1-dimensional.

⑧  $L^2$ -completeness of the eigenfunctions

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} V_{\lambda_i} \quad \underline{\lambda_0 = 0}$$

$\forall \alpha$ , consider  $\left\| \alpha - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \alpha, u_i \rangle u_i \right\|^2$

$$u_i \in V_{\lambda_i}$$

We assume  $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\} \in \ker(\Delta)$  forms an orthonormal basis of  $V_0$   
 $\ker(\Delta)$

By the Hodge theory  $\alpha \in \sum_{i=1}^k \langle \alpha, u_i \rangle u_i \in \ker(\Delta)^\perp$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \beta \quad G\beta = \alpha - \sum_{i=1}^k \langle \alpha, u_i \rangle u_i$$

Now we claim

$$\left\| G\left(\beta - \sum_{i=k+1}^n \langle \beta, u_i \rangle u_i\right) \right\|^2 = \left\| \alpha - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \alpha, u_i \rangle u_i \right\|^2$$

Since  $G\beta = \alpha - \sum_{i=1}^k \langle \alpha, u_i \rangle u_i$

$$\& \quad G\left(\sum_{i=k+1}^n \langle \beta, u_i \rangle u_i\right) = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \langle \beta, u_i \rangle \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_i$$

$$= \sum_{i=k+1}^n \langle \beta, G u_i \rangle u_i = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \langle G\beta, u_i \rangle u_i$$

$$= \sum_{i \geq k+1} \left\langle \alpha - \sum_{j=1}^k \langle \alpha, u_j \rangle u_j, u_i \right\rangle u_i$$

$$= \sum_{i \geq k+1} \langle \alpha, u_i \rangle u_i$$

Hence  $\Rightarrow \left\| \alpha - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \alpha, u_i \rangle u_i \right\|^2 = \left\| G \left( \beta - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \beta, u_i \rangle u_i \right) \right\|^2$

$\in V_{n+1}^{\oplus}$

$\Rightarrow \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}^2} \left\| \beta - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \beta, u_i \rangle u_i \right\|^2$

$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}^2} \|\beta\|^2 \rightarrow 0$  since  $\lambda_{n+1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

Hence we have proved that  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} V_{\lambda_i}$  forms a  $L^2$ -complete space

~~or~~ In particular  $\{u_i\}$   $u_i$  orthonormal eigenfunctions

for a complete  $L^2$ -basis of  $L^2(G)$ .

(c)  $L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty$ -trick via Sobolev embedding.

Key estimate:

$\|\alpha\|_\infty \leq C \|(H\Delta)^k \alpha\|$

for some  $k \gg 1$   
fixed only depends  
on  $\dim(G)$ .

It means if  $(H\Delta)^k \alpha \in L^2(G) \Rightarrow \alpha \in C(G)$ .

Let  $P_n$  be the projection to  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \{u_i\}$

namely

$P_n \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \alpha, u_i \rangle u_i$

$\Delta P_n \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \alpha, \Delta u_i \rangle u_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \Delta \alpha, u_i \rangle u_i = P_n \Delta \alpha$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\alpha - P_n \alpha\|_\infty &\leq C \|(1+\Delta)^k (\alpha - P_n \alpha)\| \\
&= C \|(1+\Delta)^k \alpha - P_n (1+\Delta)^k \alpha\| \\
&= C \|\varphi_n - P_n \varphi_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Rightarrow \{u_i\}$  is uniformly complete.

(one can do another approximation to apply the above to  $\alpha \in C(G)$ )

(D) Eigenfunction space is a representation of  $G$ .

$L_\sigma: G \rightarrow G$  is an isometry if the metric is invariant.

$$\Delta \circ L_\sigma = L_\sigma \circ \Delta$$

$$L_\sigma f(x) = f(\sigma x)$$

$$(\Delta \circ L_\sigma)(f) = L_\sigma(\Delta f(x)).$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{Laplace operator is associated with} \\ D(f) = \int g^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} \sqrt{g} dx^1 \dots dx^n \end{array} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta \cdot L_\sigma \varphi_i = L_\sigma \Delta \varphi_i = \lambda_i L_\sigma \varphi_i$$

$\Rightarrow L_\sigma \varphi_i$  is also an eigenfunction if  $\varphi_i \in V_\lambda$

$$\Rightarrow L_\sigma \varphi_i = \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j G_{ji}(\sigma) \quad k = \dim(V_\lambda)$$

$$G_{ki}(\sigma_1 \sigma_2) = (G_{kj}(\sigma_1)) (G_{ji}(\sigma_2)) \Rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow (G_{ji}(\sigma)) \text{ is a}$$

group homomorphism.

$$\text{Moreover } (L\sigma\varphi_i)(e) = \sum_k \varphi_j(e) G_{j_i}(\sigma)$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_i(\sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j G_{j_i}(\sigma) \Rightarrow \varphi_i \in S$$

Namely  $\varphi_i$  is inside the representative ring.

(2) of PW theorem is based on the following observation:

If  $P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^r b_i^j g_j^i(x)$  with  $(g_j^i(x))$  being the matrix form of an irreducible representation  $\phi: G \rightarrow GL(r, V)$

$$\& P(\sigma^{-1}x\sigma) = P(x) \Rightarrow P(x) = \lambda x_\phi(x)$$

$$P(\sigma^{-1}x\sigma) = \sum b_i^j g_j^i(\sigma^{-1}x\sigma) = \sum b_i^j g_k^i(\sigma^{-1}) g_l^k(x) g_j^l(\sigma)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum b_i^j g_k^i(\sigma^{-1}) g_j^l(\sigma) g_l^k(x) = \sum b_k^l g_l^k(x)$$

Since  $\{g_l^k(x)\}$  is independent  $\Rightarrow$

$$b_j^i g_k^i(\sigma^{-1}) g_j^l(\sigma) = b_k^l$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum b_j^i g_k^i(\sigma^{-1}) b_j^l g_j^l(\sigma) = b_k^l \Rightarrow b g(\sigma^{-1}) = g(\sigma) b$$

$$\Rightarrow b = \lambda \text{id} \Rightarrow \text{The claimed result.}$$

(2) of PW theorem follows from this & if  $f(\sigma^{-1}x\sigma) = f(x)$

$$\& |f - f'| < \epsilon \Rightarrow |f - f'| = \left| f - \int_G f'(\sigma^{-1}x\sigma) d\mu \right| < \epsilon$$

$F(x)$  is now a class function