

# Representation of Compact Lie groups

(A) Basic concepts & the main result.

$\rho: G \rightarrow GL(r, V)$  a Lie group homomorphism is called a representation

$d\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(r, V)$  is a Lie algebra representation

If  $\pi_1(G) = \{e\}$ ,  $\rho \leftrightarrow d\rho$  1-1 correspondence, hence one only need to

Study one of these two.

$d\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(r, V)$  - method will be more algebraic

(E.g.)  $Ad: G \rightarrow GL(n, \mathfrak{g})$  is a Lie group representation called adjoint representation.

$ad: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathfrak{g})$  is also called the adjoint representation

$$x \mapsto ad_x = \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \quad ad_x(Y) = [x, Y]$$

We start with 8-definitions.

(1)  $\rho$  is called faithful if  $\ker \rho = \{e\}$

(2)  $V$  in the definition is called a  $G$ -space  $\rho(g).v$  also written as  $g.v$ .

(3)  $f: V \rightarrow W$ .  $V, W$  are two  $G$ -spaces is called a  $G$ -map if

$$f(g.v) = g.f(v) \quad (\Leftrightarrow) \quad f \circ (\rho(g)) (v) = \psi(g).f(v)$$

$$\rho: G \rightarrow GL(r, W)$$

$$\psi: G \rightarrow GL(r', V)$$

(4)  $U \subset V$  a subspace is called invariant if  $\rho(g)(U) \subset U \quad \forall g \in G$ .

(5)  $V$  is called irreducible if  $\forall U \subset V$  <sup>any invariant subspace</sup> must be either  $U = \{0\}$  or  $U = V$ .

(6)  $\chi_\rho(g) := \text{trace}(\rho(g))$  is called the character function

(7)  $V$  is called completely reducible if  $V = \bigoplus V_i$   
 $\{V_i\}$  are irreducible  $G$ -spaces

(8)  $\rho_i: G \rightarrow GL(r_i, V_i) \quad i=1,2$  two representations are called equivalent if  $\exists f: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  linear isomorphism & a  $G$ -map

The main theorem: (1) Two (complex) representations  $\rho$  &  $\psi: G \rightarrow GL(r_1, \mathbb{C})$   
 $\psi: G \rightarrow GL(r_2, \mathbb{C})$   
 are equivalent iff  $\chi_\rho = \chi_\psi$ .

(2) A (complex) representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(n, V)$   
 is irreducible iff

$$\int_G \chi_\rho(g) \overline{\chi_\rho(g)} \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{probability Haar measure}}}{d\mu(g)} = 1$$

We shall devote the rest towards the proof of this above result, which gives a complete description of the representation in terms of the character functions.

(B) Existence of a bi-invariant  $n$ -form.

Let  $X_1^* \dots X_n$  be left invariant vector fields such that

$$X_i|_e = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \text{ for some 1-kind canonical coordinate near } e$$

$\Rightarrow \omega^L := X_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge X_n^*$  is a  $n$ -form which is left invariant!

Let  $Y_1 \dots Y_n$  be right invariant vector field such that

$$Y_i|_e = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

$\Rightarrow Y_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge Y_n^*$  is a Right invariant ~~vector field~~  $n$ -form on  $G$   $\omega^R$ !!

$$\omega^R(e) = \omega^L(e)$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{Clearly } [(L_x)^* \omega] = [\omega^L]_g \\ (L_x)^*(\omega^L(xg)) = \omega^L(xg) \end{array} \right]$$

Now we show if  $G$  is compact  $\Rightarrow \omega^L$  is also left invariant

$\omega^R(g) = \delta(g) \omega^L(g)$  since  $\omega^R, \omega^L$  both are  $n$ -forms  
 &  $\omega^R(g) \neq 0, \omega^L(g) \neq 0 \Rightarrow$  the quotient is a well-defined function  $\neq 0$ .

$$\delta(e) = 1 \text{ since } \omega^R(e) = \omega^L(e)$$

We show that  $\delta(gh) = \delta(g) \delta(h)$ , namely  $\delta: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$  is a group homomorphism

Since  $G$  is compact  $\Rightarrow \delta(g) \equiv 1$

The proof follows from the observation

$$R_g L_x = L_x R_g \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \forall \sigma \\ R_g L_x(\sigma) = x \sigma g = L_x R_g(\sigma) \end{array} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow L_x^* R_g^* = R_g^* L_x^*$$

Apply to  $\omega^L \Rightarrow R_g^* \omega^L = L_x^* (R_g^* \omega^L)$

$\Rightarrow R_g^* \omega^L$  is also left invariant

$\Rightarrow R_g^* \omega^L = c(g) \omega^L$  (Two left invariant  $n$ -forms differs by a constant)

$$\Rightarrow R_g^* (\delta^T(xg) \omega^R(xg)) = c(g) (\delta^T(x) \omega^R(x))$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta^T(xg) = c(g) \delta^T(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\delta(xg)} = \frac{\delta(x)}{c(g)} \frac{c(g)}{\delta(x)} \quad x=e \Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{1}{\delta(g)} = \frac{\cancel{\delta(x)}}{c(g)} \Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{1}{\delta(xg)} = \frac{\cancel{\delta(x)} 1}{\delta(x) \delta(g)} \Rightarrow \delta(xg) = \delta(x) \delta(g)$$

Namely  $\delta$  is a group homomorphism.

Corollary.  $\forall$  Any real representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(r, \mathbb{R})$  [5]  
 $\exists$  an inner product on  $V$  which is  $G$ -invariant.  $GL(r, V) \text{ dim } V = r$

$\forall$  Any complex representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(r, \mathbb{C})$   
 $\exists$  a Hermitian product on  $V$  which is  $G$ -invariant

$$\langle \rho v, \rho w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle \quad (\langle \cdot \rangle \text{ is } g \text{ invariant} \Leftrightarrow g \in O(V))$$

For complex case  $(\rho v, \rho w) = (v, w)$  ( ) Hermitian product  
 $\Leftrightarrow g \in U(V)$

Pf. Pick any  $\langle \cdot \cdot \rangle$  on  $V$  defn.

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \int_G \langle \rho v, \rho w \rangle d\mu(g)$$

$$\langle \sigma v, \sigma w \rangle = \int_G \langle \underbrace{\sigma g v}_{v'} \underbrace{\sigma g w}_{w'} \rangle d\mu(g)$$

$$= \int_G \langle v', w' \rangle \underbrace{d\mu(\sigma^{-1} g')}_{d\mu(g')} = \langle v, w \rangle$$

The complex case is similar.

Thm: Any real/Complex representation of  $G$ , a compact Lie group is completely reducible.

Pf:  $O(V), U(V)$  element  $\exists$  Canonical form.

$U(V)$  can be similar to a diagonal matrix

$O(V)$  can be put into  $2 \times 2$ -block diagonal form.

Each one is clearly invariant & irreducible.

(C) The proof of the main result.

Schur's Lemma: Let  $V, W$  be two irreducible  $G$ -spaces

Let  $A: V \rightarrow W$  be a  $G$ -map. Then

either  $A \equiv 0$  or  $A \cong$  an isomorphism

Pf:  $\ker A$  &  $\text{Im}(A)$  are  $G$ -invariant subspaces

$\exists g \cdot (Av) = A(g \cdot v) \in \text{Im}(A)$  □

Pf: If  $\rho$  &  $\psi$  are equivalent  $\Rightarrow \chi_\rho(g) = \chi_\psi(g)$

is due to  $\text{trace}(A) = \text{trace}(B^+AB)$

~~To do this~~  $\rho$  &  $\psi$  are equivalent  $\Leftrightarrow \exists f: V \rightarrow W$

$f(g \cdot v) = g f(v)$

$\{e_i\}, \{e_i^*\}$  base of  $V, V^*$

$f(\rho(g) \cdot v) = \psi(g) f(v)$

$\{\tilde{e}_s\}, \{\tilde{e}_s^*\}$  — of  $W, W^*$

$(\rho(g))(e_i) = e_j (\rho(g))_{ji} \quad f(e_i) = \tilde{e}_s f_{si}$

$$f(\rho(g).e_i) = f(e_j \rho(g)_{ji}) = \tilde{e}_s \underline{f_{sj} \rho(g)_{ji}}$$

$$\psi(g)f(e_i) = \psi(g)(e_t f_{ti}) = \tilde{e}_s \psi_{st} f_{ti}$$

$$\Rightarrow f \rho(g) = \psi(g) \cdot f \Rightarrow \psi(g) = f \cdot \rho(g) f^{-1}$$

(As matrices)

$$\Rightarrow \text{trace } \psi(g) = \text{trace}(\rho(g)) \Rightarrow \chi_\psi = \chi_\rho$$

To prove the other direction, we consider two irreducible representations

$$\phi \text{ \& \; } \psi \quad \phi(g)(e_i) = e_j (\phi(g))_{ji} \quad (= e_j \phi_{ji})$$

$$\psi(g)(e_s) = e_t (\psi(g))_{ts} \quad (= e_t \psi_{ts})$$

$$E_{ab}: V \rightarrow W \quad E_{ab}(e_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } b \neq i \\ \tilde{e}_a & \text{if } b = i \end{cases}$$

Construct the map  $V \rightarrow W$

$$A_{ab} = \int_G \psi(g) \cdot E_{ab} \cdot \phi(g^{-1}) \, d\mu(g) \quad V \rightarrow W$$

$$A_{ab}(\phi(\sigma^{-1})) = \int_G \psi(g) \cdot E_{ab} \cdot \phi(g^{-1}\sigma^{-1}) \, d\mu(g)$$

$$= \int \psi(\sigma^{-1}) \psi(\sigma g) E_{ab} \phi((\sigma g)^{-1}) \, d\mu(g)$$

$$= \psi(\sigma^{-1}) A_{ab} \quad \forall \sigma \in G \Rightarrow A_{ab} \text{ is a } G\text{-map}$$

By Schur's Lemma  $\Rightarrow$  if  $\phi \neq \psi$  then  $A_{ab} = 0$

This implies  $\int_G \psi_{sa}(g) \overline{\phi_{ib}(g)} = 0 \quad \forall \begin{matrix} 1 \leq i, b \leq n \\ 1 \leq s, a \leq m \end{matrix} \quad (*1)$

Prove: Consider action of  $A_{ab}(e_i) \Rightarrow$

$$\int_G \psi(g) \cdot E_{ab} \cdot \underbrace{\phi(g^{-1})(e_i)}_{\phi(g)^t(e_i) = \overline{\phi(g)}(e_i) = e_j \overline{\phi_{ij}}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow A_{ab}(e_i) &= \int_G \psi(g) E_{ab}(g) \overline{\phi_{ij}} = \int_G \delta_{bj} \psi(g) (\tilde{e}_a) \overline{\phi_{ij}} \\ &= \sum \tilde{e}_s \int \psi_{sa} \overline{\phi_{ij}} \delta_{bj} \\ &= \sum \tilde{e}_s \int \psi_{sa} \overline{\phi_{ib}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int \psi_{sa} \overline{\phi_{ib}} = 0 \quad (*2)$$

$$(*2) \Rightarrow \int \chi_\psi \overline{\chi_\phi} = 0$$



Case 2  $\psi = \phi \Rightarrow$

$$\int \phi_{sa} \overline{\phi_{is}} = \frac{\delta_{si} \delta_{ab}}{\dim V}$$

Hence 
$$\int_G \chi_\phi \overline{\chi_\phi} = 1$$

Now let  $\hat{G}$  denotes all irreducible representation of  $G$

$\{\chi_\rho \mid \rho \in \hat{G}\}$  for set of orthonormal functions of  $L^2(G, da)$

$$\forall \rho = \sum m(\rho, \phi_i) \phi_i \quad m(\rho, \phi_i) \text{ are multiplicities}$$

$$\chi_\rho = \sum m(\rho, \phi_i) \chi_{\phi_i}$$

$$\int \chi_\rho \cdot \overline{\chi_{\phi_j}} = m(\rho, \phi_j)$$

Hence if  $\chi_\rho = \chi_\psi \Rightarrow m(\rho, \phi_j) = m(\psi, \phi_j)$

$$\Rightarrow \rho = \psi$$

For (2) of main theorem  $\Rightarrow \int \chi_\rho \overline{\chi_\rho} = 1$

$$\Rightarrow \sum m(\rho, \phi_i)^2 = 1 \quad m(\rho, \phi_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho = \phi_{i_0} \text{ for some } i_0 \Rightarrow \rho \text{ is irreducible.}$$