

① Uniqueness

Theorem, $f \in L^1$, $\hat{f} = 0$ a.e $\Rightarrow f = 0$ a.e.

Pf. $n=1$ Case

Let $g(a) = \int_{-\infty}^a f(t) dt$. It suffices to show $g(a) = 0$.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi i x t} f(t) dt = 0 \text{ a.e.} \iff \int_{-\infty}^a e^{-2\pi i x (t-a)} f(t) dt$$

$\text{Re } x + i \text{Im } x$

$F_a(x) \doteq \frac{\text{the LHS}}{\text{As a function on } \mathbb{R} \text{ then extends to } \mathbb{C}}$ $\Rightarrow \forall \text{Im } x > 0$ $F_a(x)$ is well-defined & analytic & bounded

RHS \hookrightarrow For $\text{Im } x < 0$ it is analytic bounded

$|F_a(x)|$ is bounded.

$\Rightarrow F_a(x) \equiv \text{const}$ by Liouville theorem.

$F_a(0) = g(a)$

$F_a(is) = \int_{-\infty}^a e^{s 2\pi i (t-a)} f(t) dt$

$e^{s 2\pi i (t-a)} f(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow +\infty$ & $\left| e^{s 2\pi i (t-a)} f(t) \right| \leq |f(t)|$
 $\forall t \leq a \quad s > 0.$

[Just for fun] DCT $\Rightarrow F_a(is) \rightarrow 0$, as $s \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

General case follows by view $y_2 \dots y_n \xi_2 \dots \xi_n$ as parameters.

(2) Inversion in L^1

$$f^\vee(x) := \widehat{f}(-x) = \int e^{2\pi i F_1 \langle x, \xi \rangle} f(\xi) d\xi$$

Theorem: If $f \in L^1$, $\widehat{f} \in L^1 \Rightarrow (f^\vee)^\vee = f$ a.e.

Pf: If $\widehat{f} \in L^1$ $(\widehat{f})^\vee \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

$$(i) \int \widehat{f} g = \int f \widehat{g}$$

$$\text{LHS} = \int \int e^{-2\pi i F_1 \langle \xi, y \rangle} f(y) g(\xi)$$

$$\text{RHS} = \int f(y) \int e^{-2\pi i F_1 \langle \xi, y \rangle} g(\xi)$$

$\widehat{f} \in L^\infty$, Hence the integration all makes sense.

$$(ii) \text{ Recall } \widehat{g_\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} e^{-\frac{\pi |\xi|^2}{\varepsilon}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\widehat{g_\varepsilon}}{e^{i F_1 2\pi \langle \eta, x \rangle}} g_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{\pi |\xi - \eta|^2}{\varepsilon}} = g'_\varepsilon(\xi - \eta)$$

$$\text{Hence } (g'_\varepsilon * f)(x) = \int g'_\varepsilon(x - y) f(y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} 2\pi i \langle x, \xi \rangle} \underbrace{g^\varepsilon(\xi)}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{into variable } y}} f(y) dy \\
&\quad \left(\text{Namely } \int e^{-2\pi i \langle \xi, y \rangle} \left(e^{2\pi i \langle \xi, x \rangle} g^\varepsilon(\xi) \right) d\xi \right) \\
&\leq \int e^{2\pi i \langle x, \xi \rangle} g^\varepsilon(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi
\end{aligned}$$

Namely, $(g_\varepsilon' * f)(x) = (g_\varepsilon' \cdot \hat{f})^\vee(x) \quad (*)$

Taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow$ LHS = $f(x)$ a.e

RHS = $(\hat{f})^\vee(x)$. □

Same result holds for $f \in L^2$ by approximation
& (*) holds for $f \in L^2$

③ Hausdorff-Young inequality.

Theorem for $1 \leq p \leq 2$. $f \in L^p$ $\wedge: f \rightarrow \hat{f}$
is a bounded linear operator from $L^p \rightarrow L^q$

$\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$ such that

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^q} \leq \|f\|_{L^p}$$

We shall use Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem.

$$T: L^{p_0} \longrightarrow L^{q_0}$$

$$L^{p_1} \longrightarrow L^{q_1}$$

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-t}{p_0} + \frac{t}{p_1}$$

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-t}{q_0} + \frac{t}{q_1}$$

are bounded with M_0, M_1 .

$$\Rightarrow \|Tf\|_{q_0} \leq M_0 \|f\|_{p_0} \quad \|Tf\|_{q_1} \leq M_1 \|f\|_{p_1}$$

$$\|Tf\|_q \leq M_0^{1-t} M_1^t \|f\|_p.$$

Some convexity in the norm of a linear operator.

The proof needs three-line Lemma.

\exists A generalization by Marcinkiewicz. — involve weak L^p
— semi-norm

HY is a special case of Riesz-Thorin. [see next note]

(4) Polarization formula:
 $(f, g) = (\hat{f}, \hat{g}) \Rightarrow$

$$(f, g) = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ (f+g, f+g) + i(f+ig, f+ig) - (f-g, f-g) - i(f-ig, f-ig) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ (\hat{f}+\hat{g}, \hat{f}+\hat{g}) + i(\hat{f}+i\hat{g}, \hat{f}+i\hat{g}) - (\hat{f}-\hat{g}, \hat{f}-\hat{g}) - i(\hat{f}-i\hat{g}, \hat{f}-i\hat{g}) \right\}$$

$$= (\hat{f}, \hat{g})$$

Hence if $f_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ $\|f_n - f\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$

$\|\hat{f}_n - \hat{f}_m\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ so \hat{f}_n is defined as \hat{f}

Easy to check that it is unique.