MODEL ANSWERS TO THE FIFTH HOMEWORK

10. We will repeatedly use the fact that if a polynomial of degree at most three is not irreducible, it must in fact have a root, as it must have a linear factor.

(a) $x^2 + 7$ cannot have a root over \mathbb{R} as $a^2 + 7 \ge 7$, for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

(b) This is slightly tricky. Probably the best way to proceed is as follows. Suppose that $a/b \in \mathbb{Q}$ is a root, where a and b are coprime integers. We have

$$(a/b)^3 - 3(a/b) + 3 = 0.$$

Multiplying through by b^3 gives,

$$a^3 - 3ab^2 + 3b^3 = 0.$$

Reducing modulo three, it follows that a is divisible by 3. Thus a = 3c, some c. Substituting, we have

$$(3c)^3 - 3^2cb^2 + 3b^3 = 0.$$

Cancelling one power of 3, we have

$$b^3 - 3b^2c + 9c = 0.$$

Reducing modulo three again, we have that b is divisible by three. But this contradicts the fact that a and b are chosen to be coprime.

(c) It suffices to observe that $0 + 0 + 1 = 1 + 1 + 1 = 1 \neq 0$.

(d) Note that we are asking if -1 is a square or not, in \mathbb{Z}_{19} . As $(-a)^2 = a^2$, it suffices to consider $0 \le a \le 9$.

$$0^{2} = 0 1^{2} = 1 2^{2} = 4 3^{2} = 9 4^{2} = 16$$

$$5^{2} = 25 = 6 6^{2} = 36 = -2 7^{2} = 49 = 11 8^{2} = 64 = 7 9^{2} = 81 = 5.$$

Thus $x^2 + 1$ does not have a root and so it must be irreducible.

(e) Again it suffices to check that 9 is not a cube in \mathbb{Z}_{13} . As $(-a)^3 = -a^3$, it suffices to check that for $0 \le a \le 6$, $a^3 \ne \pm 9 = 9, 4$. We compute

$$0^3 = 0$$
, $1^3 = 1$, $2^3 = 8$, $3^3 = 27 = 1$ $4^3 = 64 = 12$ $5^3 = 125 = 8$ $6^3 = 6 \cdot 10 = 8$.

(f) We first check that $x^4 + 2x^2 + 2$ does not have any linear factors. This is equivalent to checking that it does not have any roots, which is clear as

$$a^4 + 2a^2 + 2 \ge 2$$

for any real number a.

The only other possiblity to eliminate is that it is a product of quadratic factors. Suppose that

$$x^4 + 2x^2 + 2 = f(x)g(x),$$

where both f and g are quadratic. Moving the coefficient of x^2 in f from f to g, we might as well assume that f is monic, that is, its top coefficient is 1. In this case g is monic as well. Thus

$$x^{4} + 2x^{2} + 2 = (x^{2} + ax + b)(x^{2} + cx + d),$$

where a, b, c and d are rational numbers. Comparing coefficients of x^3 , we get

$$a + c = 0.$$

Renaming, we get

$$x^{4} + 2x^{2} + 2 = (x^{2} + ax + b)(x^{2} - ax + c).$$

Looking at the coefficient of x, we get

$$ac - ab = 0.$$

Thus either a = 0 or b = c. Suppose a = 0. Replacing x^2 by y, we get

$$y^{2} + 2y + 2 = (y + a)(y + b),$$

some a and b. In this case the polynomial $y^2 + 2y + 2$ would have a real root. But

$$y^2 + 2y + 2 = (y+1)^2 + 1$$

so that if $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$a^{2} + 2a + 2 = (a+1)^{2} + 1 \ge 1 > 0.$$

The only remaining possibility is that b = c. In this case $b^2 = 2$, which is impossible, as b is a rational number.

13. Let

$$\phi\colon \mathbb{R}\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

be the obvious inclusion. Applying the universal property of a polynomial ring, define a ring homomorphism

$$\phi \colon \mathbb{R}[x] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

by sending x to i. ϕ is obviously surjective as $\mathbb{R} \cup \{i\}$ generates \mathbb{C} . Let I be the kernel. This is an ideal in $\mathbb{R}[x]$. Therefore it must be principal. On the other hand $x^2 + 1$ is clearly in the kernel and $x^2 + 1$ is irreducible over \mathbb{R} , whence prime. It follows that $I = \langle x^2 + 1 \rangle$, and that I is a prime ideal. By the Isomorphism Theorem, the result follows. 14. (a) To show that $x^2 + 1$ is irreducible, it suffices to check that -1is not a square in F. We compute a^2 , $0 \le a \le 5$. We have

$$0^2 = 0$$
, $1^2 = 1$, $2^2 = 4$, $3^2 = 9$, $4^2 = 16 = 5$, $5^2 = 25 = 3$.

Thus $x^2 + 1$ is irreducible. As F is a field, F[x] is a UFD. Thus $x^2 + 1$ is prime. Thus $I = \langle x^2 + 1 \rangle$ is a prime ideal and so

$$L = F[x]/I,$$

is an integral domain.

1

I claim that every element of L is represented uniquely by a polynomial of the form ax + b, where a and b are in F.

First suppose that we have a coset g + I. By the division algorithm, we may write

$$g = qf + r,$$

where the degree of r is at most one and f = p. Thus r = ax + b, for some a and b and moreover g + I = r + I.

On the other hand if ax+b+I = cx+d+I, then $(a-c)x+(b-d) \in I$. As I is generated by a polynomial of degree two, the only non-zero elements of I have degree at least two. Thus (a-c)x+b-d=0, so that a = c and b = d. The claim follows.

In this case L has $121 = 11^2$ elements. As L is finite, it is in fact a field and we are done.

(b) It suffices, repeating the argument above, to show that $x^3 + x + 4$ is irreducible. To prove this we show it does not have any roots. We compute

19. We simply have to construct an irreducible quadratic polynomial over \mathbb{F}_p . Consider $x^2 - a$. This is irreducible if $x^2 - a$ does not have a root. This is the same as to say that a is not a square.

There are p choices for a. The squares are of the form $b^2 = (-b)^2$. As p is odd $b \neq -b$ and so there are (p-1)/2 squares.

Thus $x^2 - a$ is irreducible, for some choice of a. As $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ is a UFD, it follows that $x^2 - a$ is a prime. Thus

 $\langle x^2 - a \rangle$

is a prime ideal. The quotient is a field and it has p^2 elements, since an element of the quotient is uniquely represented by a linear polynomial ax + b and there are p^2 choices for a and b.

2. Chapter 4, §6. 1. The map $\phi \colon \mathbb{Q}[x] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[x]$, defined by

$$\begin{array}{c} f(x) \longrightarrow f(x+1) \\ & 3 \end{array}$$

is an automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. On the other hand, any isomorphism $R \longrightarrow S$ clearly induces a correspondence between the irreducible elements of R and of S.

2. By Gauss' Lemma, it suffices to prove that $x^3 - 3x + 2$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Z} . Suppose not. Then it must factor as

$$x^{3} + 3x - 2 = (x + a)(x^{2} + bx + c),$$

where a, b and c are all integers. It follows that ac = 2, so that a divides 2. In this case, either ± 1 or ± 2 would be a root of $x^3 - 3x + 2$. We compute

$$1^{3}+3-2 = 2$$
 $(-1)^{3}-3-2 = -6$, $2^{3}+6-2 = 12$ $(-2)^{3}-6-2 = -16$.

3. By Gauss' Lemma it suffices to prove that f(x) is irreducible over the integers. Let *a* be any integer which is divisible either by 3 and not by 9, or divisible by 5 and not divisible by 25. By Eisenstein's criterion, applied to f(x) with p = 3 or p = 5 as appropriate, it follows that f(x) is irreducible. On the other hand there are clearly infinitely many such choices of *a*.

6. Let $\phi: R \longrightarrow S$ be any ring isomorphism. It is clear that $r \in R$ is irreducible if and only if $\phi(r)$ is irreducible.

7 and 8. follow from 9.

9. By the universal property of a polynomial ring, there is a unique ring homomorphism

$$\phi \colon F[x] \longrightarrow F[x]$$

which sends x to bx + c. Thus it suffices to find the inverse map. Let

$$\psi \colon F[x] \longrightarrow F[x]$$

by the unique ring homomorphism which sends x to (x - c)/b. The composition sends x to x and by uniqueness the composition is therefore the identity. Thus ϕ is an automorphism.

10. By the uniqueness part of the universal property, it suffices to prove that the image of x has degree one, since if x is sent to g(x), then f(x) is sent to f(g(x)), which has degree the product of the degrees of f and g.

Suppose that ϕ is an automorphism of F[x]. Note that $F \cup \{x\}$ generates F[x] as a ring. Thus $\phi(x)$ must have the same property. But if g(x) is any element of F[x] the ring generated by g(x) and F is equal to the set of all polynomials of the form f(g(x)). Any such polynomial has degree the product of the degrees. Thus to get degree one polynomials, the degree of g(x) must be one. Thus $\phi(x)$ must have degree one.

11. By 10, $\phi(x)$ has degree one. Thus $\phi(x) = bx + c$, where $b \neq 0$. It follows, by the universal property of a polynomial ring, that there is a unique ring homomorphism such that $\phi((f(x)) = f(bx + c))$. We have already seen that any such ϕ is a ring automorphism.

12. Let b = -1 and c = 0. Then $\phi(x) = -x$ is an automorphism of order two.

13. This has almost nothing to do with polynomials. Let R be any ring which contains a copy of the rationals $F_0 \simeq \mathbb{Q}$. Note that F_0 is generated by 1 as a field. Indeed since F_0 contains a copy of the integers, R_0 , it follows that R has characteristic zero. Let $\phi: R \longrightarrow R$ be any automorphism of R. Then $\phi(1) = 1$, by definition. Since R_0 is generated by 1, ϕ acts as the identity on R_0 . Since F_0 is the field of fractions of R_0 , it follows that ϕ acts on F_0 as the identity (formally, by the universal property of the field of fractions).

14. Let ζ be a primitive *n*th root of unity. That is, pick $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\zeta^n = 1$$

whilst no smaller power is equal to one. For example

$$\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$$

will do. Let $\phi(x) = \zeta x$. Then $\phi(x)$ is an automorphism by 9. Clearly ϕ^n is the identity, but if m < n, then ϕ^m is not, as $\phi^m(x) = \zeta^m x \neq x$. Thus ϕ is an automorphism of order n.

3. Chapter 5, §1. 3. (a) Let $f(y) \in T$. Then we may write

$$f(y) = \sum_{k} b_k y^k$$

where $b_k \in R[x]$. For each k we may write

$$b_k = b_k(x) = \sum_l c_l x^l,$$

where $c_l \in R$.

Applying the distributive law, collecting together like terms and rearranging, it is clear we may expand f in the given form.

(b) Two elements of T are equal if and only if the coefficients of $x^i y^j$ are equal for all i and j.

(c) Add corresponding coefficients.

(d) Suppose that

$$f(x,y) = \sum a_{ij}x^iy^j$$
 and $g(x,y) = \sum b_{ij}x^iy^j$.

Then

$$f(x,y)g(x,y) = \sum_{5} c_{ij}x^{i}y^{j},$$

where

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{k,l} a_{kl} b_{i-k,j-l}.$$

4. D[x, y] is naturally isomorphic to D[x][y]. As D is an integral domain, it follows that D[x] is an integral domain. But then D[x][y] is also an integral domain.

Challenge Problems: (Just for fun)

4. Chapter 4 §5 23. To show that $x^3 \pm 2$ is irreducible, it suffices to check that $\pm 2 = 2, 5$ is not a cube. It is enough to compute a^3 , for $0 \le a \le 3$ and check we never get 2 or 5:

$$0^3 = 0$$
 $1^3 = 1$ $2^3 = 1$ and $3^3 = 3 \cdot 2 = 6$.

Thus both of $x^3 \pm 2$ are irreducible. Define a map

$$\phi \colon \mathbb{F}_7[x] \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_7[x]$$

by acting as the identity on \mathbb{F}_7 and sending x to -x. By the universal property of a polynomial ring ϕ is in fact a ring homomorphism. Moreover ϕ is a bijection. Indeed it is own inverse. Thus ϕ is an automorphism.

It is clear that if ϕ is an automorphism of any ring R, I is an ideal of R and $J = \phi(I)$, then J is an ideal of R and

$$R/I \simeq R/J.$$

Set $I = \langle x^3 - 2 \rangle$. Then $J = \langle x^3 + 2 \rangle$ and the result follows. 24. Let

$$\phi \colon \mathbb{Q}[x] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

be the ring homomorphism, obtained from the universal property of a polynomial ring, where we send x to α and include \mathbb{Q} into \mathbb{C} . In this case, the image of ϕ is the set

$$\{a + b\alpha \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

Note that $\alpha^2 = -\alpha - 1$, so that this set is indeed closed under multiplication. Now the polynomial $x^2 + x + 1$ has no roots over \mathbb{Q} . Thus it is irreducible. It follows that the ideal $\langle x^2 + x + 1 \rangle$ is prime and that it is the kernel of ϕ . As we are in a PID it is therefore maximal. Thus the quotient is a field and we are done by the Isomorphism Theorem. We write down the inverse of $a + b\alpha$ by hand. In the end, probably the easiest thing is to use the trick of changing variables. Consider the polynomial

$$x^2 + x + 1$$

6

If we complete the square, we get

$$(x+1/2)^2 + 3/4.$$

Changing variable, we set y = x + 1/2. Consider the polynomial

$$y^2 + 3/4 = 0.$$

Let β be a root of this polynomial. Possibly switching signs, we have $\alpha = \beta + 1/2$. Thus anything of the form $a + b\alpha$ is also of the form $a + b\beta$ (different a and b of course). The inverse of $a + b\beta$ is easy to compute. Replace this by its conjugate

$$a-b\beta$$
.

Then

$$(a - b\beta)(a + b\beta) = a^2 + b^2(3/4) = n$$

So the inverse of

 $a + b\beta$

is

$$\frac{1}{n}(a-b\beta)$$

25. I don't see how to do this without using some of the results from the next section.

5. (a) If a is its own inverse then $a^2 = 1$ so that $a^2 - 1 = 0$. Thus a is a root of the polynomial $x^2 - 1$. A polynomial of degree 2 has at most two roots. 1 and -1 are roots, so the only elements of \mathbb{F}_p which are their own inverses are ± 1 .

(b) (p-1)! is the product of every non-zero element of \mathbb{F}_p . If we pair off an element and its inverse then we simply get one. The only elements that are left are then 1 and -1, so that the product is -1. (c) Let

$$L = \prod_{a=1}^{(p-1)/2} a$$
 and $U = \prod_{a=(p+1)/2}^{p-1}$.

By part (b)

$$L \cdot U = (p-1)! = -1.$$

Consider the function

 $f: \mathbb{F}_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \qquad \text{given by} \qquad a \longrightarrow p - a$

If we apply f to every term in L we get every term in U. It follows that

$$U = (-1)^{p-1/2}L = L_{2}$$

as (p-1)/2 is even. Thus

$$L^2 = L \cdot U = -1.$$
7

(d) Let

$$m = \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^2.$$

Then $m^2 + 1$ is divisible by p. (e)

$$m^{2} + 1 = (m + i)(m - i).$$

p divides the LHS but it does not divide either m + i or m - i. Thus p is not prime.

(f) Let a + bi be a non-trivial prime factor of p. Then a - bi is another prime factor of p. In this case

$$a^2 + b^2 = N(a)$$

is a divisor of p^2 . The only divisors of p^2 are 1, p and p^2 . It cannot be 1 and so it cannot be p^2 . It follows that

$$a^2 + b^2 = p.$$