## 1. Rings

We introduce the main object of study for 100B.

**Definition 1.1.** A *ring* is a set R, together with two binary operations addition and multiplication, denoted + and  $\cdot$  respectively, which satisfy the following axioms. Firstly R is an abelian group under addition, with zero as the identity:

(1) (Associativity) For all a, b and c in R,

$$(a+b) + c = a + (b+c).$$

(2) (Zero) There is an element  $0 \in R$  such that for all a in R,

$$a + 0 = 0 + a$$

(3) (Additive Inverse) For all a in R, there exists  $b \in R$  such that

$$a+b=b+a=0.$$

b will be denoted -a.

(4) (Commutavity) For all a and b in R,

$$a+b=b+a.$$

Secondly multiplication is also associative and there is a multiplicative identity 1.

(5) (Associativity) For all a, b and c in R,

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c).$$

(6) (Unit) There is an element  $1 \neq 0 \in R$  such that for all a in R,

$$a \cdot 1 = a = 1 \cdot a.$$

Finally we require that addition and multiplication are compatible in an obvious sense.

(7) (Distributivity) For all a, b and c in R, we have

$$a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c,$$
$$(b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a.$$

Unfortunately there is no standard definition of a ring. In particular some books do not require the existence of unity, or if they do require it, then they do not necessarily require that it is not equal to zero.

**Example 1.2.** The complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  form a ring, with the obvious multiplication and addition.

**Definition 1.3.** Let R be a ring and let S be a subset. We say that S is a **subring** of R, if S becomes a ring, with the induced addition and multiplication.

**Lemma 1.4.** Let R be a ring and let S be a subset that contains 1. Then S is a subring if and only if S is closed under addition, additive inverses and multiplication.

 $\square$ 

*Proof.* Similar proof as for groups.

Note that we require S to contain 1. Since we don't necessarily have multiplicative inverses, just because S is non-empty, does not force S to contain 1.

**Example 1.5.** The following tower of subsets

$$\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

is in fact a tower of subrings.

A more interesting example is given by taking all rational numbers of the form a/b, where a and b are integers and b is odd. This set is a subring of the rational numbers. Indeed it contains 1 and it is easy to see that it is closed under addition and multiplication.

Finally consider the Gaussian integers, defined as all complex numbers of the form

a+bi,

where a and b are integers. It is easy to see that the Gaussian integers form a subring of the complex numbers.

## **Example 1.6.** Let $\mathbb{Z}_n$ denote the integers modulo n.

We showed in 100A that the law of addition and multiplication descends from  $\mathbb{Z}$  down to  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . With these rules of addition and multiplication,  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  becomes a ring. Indeed [0] plays the role of zero and [1] plays the role of the identity. In fact it was proved in 100A that  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a group under addition and it is not much more work to prove that  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is in fact a ring. Moreover we will see later that this is an example of a much more general phenomena.

It is interesting to see what happens in a specific example. Suppose that n = 6. In this case 0 = [0] and 1 = [1]. However note that one curious feature is that

$$[2][3] = [2 \cdot 3] = [6] = [0],$$

so that the product of two non-zero elements of R might in fact be zero.

**Definition-Lemma 1.7.** Let X be any set and let R be any ring. Then the set F of functions from X into R becomes a ring, with addition and multiplication defined pointwise. That is to say, given f and  $g \in F$ , define f + g by the rule,

$$(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) \in R$$

where  $x \in X$  and addition is in R. Similarly define the product  $f \cdot g$  of f and g by the rule,

$$(f \cdot g)(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x) \in R.$$

Then the zero function f, defined by the rule

$$f(x) = 0 \in R,$$

for all  $x \in X$ , plays the role of zero and the function g, defined by the rule

$$g(x) = 1 \in R,$$

plays the role of 1.

*Proof.* Again, all of this is easy to check. We check associativity of addition and leave the rest to the reader. Suppose that f, g and h are three functions from X to R. We want to prove

$$(f+g) + h = f + (g+h).$$

Since both sides are functions from X to R, it suffices to prove that they have the same effect on any element  $x \in X$ .

$$((f+g)+h)(x) = (f+g)(x) + h(x)$$
  
=  $(f(x) + g(x)) + h(x)$   
=  $f(x) + (g(x) + h(x))$   
=  $f(x) + (g + h)(x)$   
=  $(f + (g + h))(x)$ .

Here is a very interesting example of this type.

## **Example 1.8.** Let X = [0, 1] and $R = \mathbb{R}$ .

Then we are looking at the collection of all functions from [0, 1] into the reals. In this case there are lots of interesting subrings. For example consider C[0, 1], the set of all continuous functions from [0, 1] into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since the sum and product of two continuous functions is continuous, it follows that this is a subring of the set of all functions. Similarly we could look at the space of all differentiable (or twice, thrice, up to infinitely differentiable) functions. **Definition-Lemma 1.9.** Let R be a ring and let n be a positive integer.  $M_n(R)$  denotes the set of all  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in R. Given two such matrices  $A = (a_{ij})$  and  $B = (b_{ij})$ , we define A+B as  $(a_{ij}+b_{ij})$ . The product of A and B is also defined in the usual way. That is, the ijentry of AB is the dot product of the ith row of A and the jth column of B.

With this rule of addition and multiplication  $M_n(R)$  becomes a ring, with zero given as the zero matrix (every entry equal to zero) and 1 given as the matrix with ones on the main diagonal and zeroes everywhere else.

*Proof.* Most of this is proved in 100A and that which is not, is left as an exercise for the reader.  $\Box$ 

Note that if n = 1, then  $M_1(R)$  is simply a copy of R. To fix ideas, let us consider an easy example.

**Example 1.10.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_6$  be the ring of integers modulo six and take n = 2.

Take

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$AB = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Definition-Lemma 1.11.** Let R be a ring and let x be an indeterminate. The polynomial ring R[x] is defined to be the set of all formal sums

$$a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^n + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 = \sum a_i x^i$$

where each  $a_i \in R$ . Given two polynomials

$$f = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 = \sum a_i x^i$$
  
$$g = b_m x^m + b_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + b_1 x + b_0 = \sum b_i x^i$$

in R[x] the sum of f and g, f + g, is defined as,

$$f+g = (a_n+b_n)x^n + (a_{n-1}+b_{n-1})x^{n-1} + \dots + (a_1+b_1)x + (a_0+b_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i+b_i)x^i,$$

(where we have implicitly assumed that  $m \leq n$  and we set  $b_i = 0$ , for i > m) and the product as

$$fg = c_{m+n}x^{m+n} + c_{m+n-1}x^{m+n-1} + \dots + c_1x + c_0 = \sum_i c_i x^i = \sum_i (\sum_j a_j b_{i-j})x^i.$$

With this rule of addition and multiplication, R[x] becomes a ring, with zero given as the polynomial with zero coefficients and 1 given as the polynomial whose constant coefficient is one and whose other terms are zero.

*Proof.* A long and completely uninformative check.

Note that a polynomial determines a function  $R \longrightarrow R$  in an obvious way. If one takes R to be the real numbers then it is well known that a polynomial is determined by the corresponding function. In general, however, this is far from true. For example take  $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$  (the smallest ring possible, since a ring must contain at least two elements). Then there are four functions from R to R and there are infinitely many polynomials. Thus two different polynomials will often determine the same function.

The final example is a famous and beautiful generalisation of the complex numbers. The complex numbers are obtained by adding a formal number i to the real numbers and decreeing that  $i^2 = -1$ .

**Example 1.12.** The quaternions are obtained from the real numbers by adding three new numbers, i, j and k.

Thus the set of all quaternions is equal to the set of all formal sums

$$a + bi + cj + dk_j$$

where a, b, c and d are real numbers. It is obvious how to define addition,

$$(a+bi+cj+dk) + (a'+b'i+c'j+d'k) = (a+a') + (b+b')i + (c+c')j + (d+d')k + (b+b')i + (c+c')j + (d+d')k + ($$

Multiplication is a little more complicated. The basic idea is to define how to multiply any two of i, j and k and from there extend by using the associative and distributive laws. Thus we define

$$i^{2} = j^{2} = k^{2} = -1, ij = k, jk = i, ki = j, ji = -k, kj = -i, ik = -j.$$

In this case, we define the multiplication as,

$$(a+bi+cj+dk)(a'+b'i+c'j+d'k) = (aa'-bb'-cc'-dd') + (ab'+b'a+cd'-dc')i + (ac'+ca'+db'-bd')j + (ad'+da'+bc'-b'c)k.$$

Again it is not so hard to check that this does gives us a ring.

If one look at the real numbers, then the numbers  $\pm 1$  form a group under multiplication, isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Similarly the complex numbers  $\pm 1$ ,  $\pm i$  form a group under multiplication, isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ . It is in fact not hard to see that the quaternion numbers,  $\pm 1$ ,  $\pm i$ ,  $\pm j$  and  $\pm k$ form a group of order eight under multiplication (if you like, think of the multiplication rule above as giving generators and relations).