

## MODEL ANSWERS TO THE FIRST HOMEWORK

1. Chapter 1, §1: 1. Suppose that  $a$  and  $b$  are elements of  $S$ . By rule (1)

$$a * b = a.$$

But by rule (2),

$$a * b = b * a.$$

Applying rule (1) we get  $a * b = b * a = b$ .

Thus  $a = a * b = b$ . As  $a$  and  $b$  are arbitrary,  $S$  can have at most one element.

1. Chapter 1 §1: 2. (a) Suppose that  $a$  and  $b$  are two integers and that  $a * b = b * a$ .

Now  $a * b = a - b$  and  $b * a = b - a$  so that then  $a - b = b - a$ . Applying the standard rules of arithmetic, we get  $2a = 2b$  and so  $a = b$ .

(b) Suppose that  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  are integers. Then

$$a * (b * c) = a * (b - c) = a - (b - c) = a + c - b.$$

On the other hand

$$(a * b) * c = (a - b) * c = (a - b) - c = a - (b + c).$$

Thus equality holds if and only if  $a + c - b = a - (b + c)$ , that is, cancelling  $c = -c$  so that  $c = 0$ . Thus  $*$  is not associative. For example,

$$0 * (0 * 1) = 1$$

but

$$(0 * 0) * 1 = -1.$$

(c) Let  $a$  be an integer. Then

$$a * 0 = a - 0 = a.$$

(d) Let  $a$  be an integer. Then

$$a * a = a - a = 0.$$

2. Chapter 2, §1: (a). No, by the question above, this rule of multiplication is not associative.

(b) No this is not a group. We consider the three axioms. Suppose that  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  are three integers. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a * (b * c) &= a * (b + c + bc) \\ &= a + (b + c + bc) + a(b + c + bc) \\ &= a + b + c + bc + ab + ac + abc. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} (a * b) * c &= (a + b + ab) * c \\ &= a + b + ab + c + (a + b + ab)c \\ &= a + b + c + ab + bc + ac + ac + abc. \end{aligned}$$

Since we get the same answer however we bracket the triple product, this is an associative rule of multiplication.

I claim that zero acts as an identity. Let  $a$  be an integer. Then

$$a * 0 = a + 0 + a0 = a,$$

and

$$0 * a = 0 + a + 0a = a.$$

Thus 0 is an identity for  $*$ . By a result in class, this is the only possible choice of identity.

Now suppose that  $a$  is an integer. An inverse of  $a$  would be an integer  $x$  such that  $a * x = 0$ . In other words  $x$  would be a solution to the equation

$$a + x + ax = 0.$$

Solving for  $x$  gives

$$x = -\frac{a}{a+1}.$$

The only problem is if  $a = -1$ . In other words if  $b$  is an inverse of  $-1$  then  $-1 + b - b = 0$ , which is absurd. Thus we don't have a group as  $-1$  is an element without an inverse.

(c). No, this is not a group. Addition of numbers is associative and zero is the unique identity element. However the number one has no inverse. Indeed if  $b$  is the inverse of 1, then

$$b + 1 = 0.$$

In this case  $b = -1$ . But  $-1$  is not a non-negative integer.

(d) We first have to check a slightly subtle thing. We need to check that  $a * b$  is never equal to  $-1$ . In other words we have to check that we really have a well-defined rule of multiplication. Suppose that  $a$  and  $b$  are rational numbers and that

$$a + b + ab = -1.$$

Then

$$1 + a + b + ab = 0.$$

But

$$(1 + a)(1 + b) = 1 + a + b + ab$$

so that either  $1 + a = 0$  or  $1 + b = 0$ . In other words either  $a = -1$  or  $b = -1$ . Thus we have a well-defined multiplication rule.

We proved in (b) that this rule of multiplication is associative and that zero is an identity element. Clearly

$$a * b = b * a.$$

Let  $a$  be a rational number, not equal to  $-1$ . Let

$$b = -\frac{a}{a+1}.$$

Note that we are allowed to divide through by  $1 + a$  as  $a \neq -1$ .

Then

$$a * b = a + b + ab = a - \frac{a}{a+1} - a \frac{a}{a+1} = a - a = 0.$$

Since  $b * a = a * b$ ,  $b * a = 0$  as well. But then  $b$  acts as an inverse for  $a$ . As  $a$  is arbitrary, every element has an inverse. Hence the rational numbers excluding  $-1$  form a group, with this law of multiplication.

(e) The set  $G$  consists of all rational numbers of the form  $a/5b$  where  $5$  does not divide  $a$ . Note that we don't get a well-defined law of multiplication. For example,  $x = 1/5 \in G$  and  $y = 4/5 \in G$ . But

$$x * y = \frac{1}{5} + \frac{4}{5} = 1,$$

which is not an element of  $G$ .

(f) This is not a group. There cannot be an identity element. Suppose not, suppose that  $e$  is an identity element and let  $a$  be an element of  $G$  that is not equal to  $e$ . Then

$$e * a = e \neq a,$$

which contradicts the basic property of an identity.

2. Chapter 2, §1, 2. The main thing to prove is that  $H$  is closed under multiplication and taking inverses. Suppose that  $U$  and  $V$  are in  $H$ . Then  $U = T_{a,b}$  and  $V = T_{c,d}$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are equal to  $\pm 1$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} U * V &= T_{a,b} * T_{c,d} \\ &= T_{ac,ad+bc}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if  $a = \pm 1$  and  $c = \pm 1$  then clearly  $ac = \pm 1$ . Thus the product  $U * V$  is in  $H$  and  $H$  is closed under multiplication. On the other hand

the inverse of  $U = T_{a,b}$  is  $T_{a^{-1}, -a^{-1}b}$ . If  $a = \pm 1$  then so is  $a^{-1}$ . Thus  $H$  is closed under taking inverses.

At this point we could of course invoke the Proposition proved in class to conclude that  $H$  is a subgroup and so a group.

On the other hand we can argue as follows. This product is clearly associative in  $H$ , since it is associative in  $G$  (or indeed since composition of functions is associative).

The identity  $I = T_{1,0}$  is in  $H$  and is an identity in  $H$ . We already checked that  $H$  contains inverses.

2. Chapter 2, §1: 5. The inverse of  $g$  is clearly rotation clockwise through  $90^\circ$ . This is represented by  $h(x, y) = (y, -x) = g^3(x, y)$ . We check that  $h$  is an inverse of  $g$  formally:

$$\begin{aligned}(h * g)(x, y) &= h(g(x, y)) \\ &= h(-y, x) \\ &= (x, y)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(g * h)(x, y) &= g(h(x, y)) \\ &= g(y, -x) \\ &= (x, y).\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $h$  is the inverse of  $g$ . We now check that  $g * f = f * h$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}(g * f)(x, y) &= g(f(x, y)) \\ &= g(-x, y) \\ &= (-y, -x).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}f * h(x, y) &= f(h(x, y)) \\ &= f(y, -x) \\ &= (-y, -x).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $g * f = f * h = f * g^{-1} = f * g^3$ . It follows that

$$g * f^s = f^s * g^{s'}$$

where  $s'$  is 1 if  $s = 0$  and  $s' = 3$  if  $s = 1$ . Therefore

$$g^j * f^s = f^s * g^{j'}$$

where  $j' = j$  if  $s = 0$  and  $j' = -j$  if  $s = 1$ . Putting all of this together we get

$$(f^i g^j) * (f^s g^t) = f^{i+s} g^{j'+t}.$$

where  $j' = j$  if  $s = 0$  and  $j' = -j$  if  $s = 1$ .

We check the axioms for a group. By the formula above we have a well-defined product. Multiplication is associative as it is just composition of functions. The identity is  $f^0g^0$ . The inverse of  $f^i g^j$  is  $f^{-i}g^{j'}$  where  $j' = j$  if  $i = 1$  and  $j' = -j$  if  $i = 0$ . Thus we have a group of order 8 which is clearly not abelian.

21. Suppose the elements of  $G$  are  $\{e, a, b, c, d\}$ .  $e$  is the identity. If  $G$  is not abelian then we can find  $g$  and  $h$  such that  $gh \neq hg$ . If  $g = e$  then  $gh = h = hg$ . Thus we may assume that  $g \neq e$ . By the same token we may assume that  $h \neq e$ . If  $g = h$  then  $gh = g^2 = hg$ . Thus we may assume that  $g \neq h$ .

By symmetry we may therefore assume that  $g = a$  and  $h = b$ . By assumption  $ab \neq ba$ . If  $ab = e$  then  $a$  is the inverse of  $a$  and so  $ba = e = ab$ , a contradiction. If  $ab = a$  then  $b = e$ , another contradiction. By the same token we may assume that the sets  $\{ab, ba\}$  and  $\{a, b\}$  don't intersect.

Thus by symmetry we may assume that  $ab = c$ . Since  $ba \neq c$  we may assume that  $ba = d$ .

On the other hand  $a$  has an inverse.  $e$  is neither the inverse of  $a$  nor the inverse of  $b$ .  $a$  and  $b$  are not inverses of each other. Suppose that  $c$  is the inverse of  $a$ . Then

$$a^2b = a(ab) = aa^{-1} = e.$$

Thus  $a^2$  is the inverse of  $b$ . It follows that

$$e = ba^2 = (ba)a \quad \text{so that} \quad ba = c,$$

a contradiction.

The only remaining possibility is that  $a$  is its own inverse,

$$a^{-1} = a.$$

In this case multiplying both sides by  $a$  we get

$$a^2 = aa^{-1} = e.$$

Consider the product  $aba$ . It is equal to an element of  $G$ .

We consider each cases, one by one.

Suppose it is equal to  $e$ . Then

$$aba = e.$$

Multiplying on the left by  $a$  we get

$$ba = a$$

Multiplying on the right by  $a$  we get

$$b = e,$$

a contradiction.

Suppose it is equal to  $a$ . Then

$$aba = a$$

Multiplying on the left by  $a$  we get

$$ba = e,$$

so that  $b$  is the inverse of  $a$ , which is nonsense.

Suppose it is equal to  $c$ . Then

$$ca = c,$$

so that  $a = e$ , a contradiction.

Suppose it is equal to  $d$ . Then

$$ad = d,$$

so that  $a = e$ , a contradiction.

Finally, suppose it is equal to  $b$ . Then

$$aba = b.$$

Multiplying both sides on the right by  $a = a^{-1}$  we get

$$ab = ba,$$

so that  $a$  and  $b$ , a contradiction.

We have therefore shown that  $a$  does not have an inverse, a contradiction.

Therefore  $G$  is abelian.

23. We may find  $c$  and  $d$  such that  $U = T_{c,d}$ . We have

$$T_{ac,cb+d} = T_{c,d} * T_{a,b} = U * T_{a,b} = T_{a,b} * U = T_{a,b} * T_{c,d} = T_{ac,ad+b}.$$

In other words we must have

$$T_{ac,cb+d} = T_{ac,ad+b}.$$

Since  $T_{\alpha,\beta}$  is uniquely determined by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we have equality if and only if

$$bc + d = ad + b.$$

We view this as an equation for  $c$  and  $d$ , which is valid for any  $a$  and  $b$ . If we put  $a = 1$  then we get

$$bc + d = d + b \quad \text{so that} \quad b = bc.$$

If we put  $b = 1$  then we conclude that  $c = 1$ . The original equation now reduces to

$$b + d = ad + b \quad \text{so that} \quad d = ad.$$

If we put  $a = 2$  then we see that  $d = 0$ .

Thus the only element of  $G$  which commutes with everything is the identity.

28. By assumption there is an element  $z \in G$  such that  $z * y = e$ . We compute the product  $z * y * x$  in two different ways (the product is unambiguous by associativity).

On the one hand

$$\begin{aligned} z * y * x &= (z * y) * x \\ &= e * x \\ &= x. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} z * y * x &= z * (y * x) \\ &= z * e. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $x = z * e$ . Let's now compute  $x * y$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x * y &= (z * e) * y \\ &= z * (e * y) \\ &= z * y \\ &= e. \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} x * e &= x * (y * x) \\ &= (x * y) * x \\ &= e * x \\ &= x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $G$  is a group.

29. Define a function  $l_a: G \rightarrow G$  by the rule  $l_a(g) = a * g$ . Suppose that  $l_a(b) = l_a(c)$ . Then  $a * b = a * c$  and so  $b = c$ . But then  $l_a$  is an injective function. As  $G$  is finite and  $l_a$  is injective it follows that  $l_a$  is surjective. Thus  $l_a$  is bijective. In particular we may find  $e$  such that  $l_a(e) = a$ . In this case  $a * e = a$ .

Similarly we may define a function  $r_b: G \rightarrow G$  by the rule  $r_b(c) = c * b$ . By symmetry  $r_b$  is bijective. Thus we may find  $f$  such that  $f * b = b$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} (a * f) * b &= a * (f * b) \\ &= a * b. \end{aligned}$$

As

$$(a * f) * b = a * b$$

we have

$$a * f = a,$$

by rule (3). As

$$a * f = a = a * e,$$

we must have  $e = f$  by rule (2). As  $a$  and  $b$  are arbitrary, it follows that  $e * g = g * e$  for any  $g \in G$ . Thus  $e$  plays the role of the identity. As  $r_a$  is surjective we may find an element  $b \in G$  such that  $b * a = e$ . At this point we are done by question 28 but here is a much easier argument:

$$\begin{aligned}(a * b) * a &= a * (b * a) && \text{by associativity} \\ &= a * e \\ &= a \\ &= e * a.\end{aligned}$$

As

$$(a * b) * a = e * a,$$

we must have  $a * b = e$  by rule (3). Thus  $b$  is the inverse of  $a$  and  $G$  is a group.

30. Let  $G = \mathbb{N}$  and let  $a * b = a + b$ . Then  $*$  is an associative binary operation. If  $a * b = a * c$  then  $a + b = a + c$  so that  $b = c$ .  $a * b = a + b = b + a = b * a$ . But  $G$  is not a group, since inverses don't exist.

31. (a) Let  $f$  be the function  $f(x) = \log x$  (we will adopt the convention that  $\log -x = \log x$ ). Then

$f(a * b) = f(ab) = \log(ab) = \log(a) + \log(b) = f(a) + f(b) = f(a) \# f(b)$ , by the usual rules for logs. Given  $y > 0$  let  $x = 10^y$ . Then  $\log x = y$ , so that  $f$  is surjective.

(b) Let  $f$  be any such function. We check that  $f(1) = f(-1) = 0$ . We have

$$f(1) = f(1 \cdot 1) = f(1) + f(1).$$

Hence  $f(1) = 0$ . On the other hand,

$$0 = f(1) = f(-1 \cdot -1) = f(-1) + f(-1),$$

so that  $f(-1) = 0$  as well. But then  $f$  is not injective.