## MODEL ANSWERS TO THE SIXTH HOMEWORK

6.8.1. We know that

$$\lim_{s \to 1^+} \prod_{q} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q^{-s}} \right)^{-1} = \infty.$$

If we take logs we get

$$\lim_{s \to 1^+} \sum_{q} \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{q^{-s}}\right) = -\infty.$$

As

$$\log\left(1-\frac{1}{q^{-s}}\right) \ge \frac{-2}{q^{-s}},$$

this implies

$$\lim_{s \to 1^+} \sum_{q} -\frac{2}{q^{-s}} = -\infty.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{s \to 1^+} \sum_q \frac{1}{q^{-s}} = \infty.$$

This implies

$$\sum_{q} \frac{1}{q},$$

diverges.

6.8.2. In the course of the proof of (9.2) we established that

$$\lim_{s \to 1^+} (s-1)\zeta(s) = 1.$$

We also proved that L(s) is continuous at s = 1 and that  $L(1) \neq 0$ . Thus

$$\lim_{s \to 1^+} (s-1)\zeta(s)L(s) = \lim_{s \to 1^+} (s-1)\zeta(s) \cdot \lim_{s \to 1^+} L(s)$$
$$= L(1)$$
$$\neq 0.$$

As we showed

$$\zeta(s)L(s) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^s}\right)^{-1} \prod_q \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^s}\right)^{-2} \cdot \prod_r \left(1 - \frac{1}{r^{2s}}\right)^{-1},$$

it follows that

$$L(1) = \lim_{s \to 1^+} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^s} \right)^{-1} \cdot \lim_{s \to 1^+} (s - 1) \prod_q \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q^s} \right)^{-2} \cdot \lim_{s \to 1^+} \prod_r \left( 1 - \frac{1}{r^{2s}} \right)^{-1}.$$

We proved that the last functions is continuous and non-zero at 1. As the first function is also continuous and non-zero at 1, it follows that

$$\lim_{s \to 1^+} (s-1) \prod_{q} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q^s} \right)^{-2} = A$$

where A is non-zero. Thus

$$\lim_{s \to 1^+} (s-1) \prod_q \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q^s} \right)^{-1} = 0.$$

Suppose that the limit

$$\lim_{s \to 1^+} \prod_r \left( 1 - \frac{1}{r^s} \right)^{-1} = B$$

exists and is finite. As

$$\zeta(s) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^s}\right)^{-1} \prod_q \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^s}\right)^{-1} \cdot \prod_r \left(1 - \frac{1}{r^s}\right)^{-1},$$

Then

$$1 = \lim_{s \to 1^+} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^s} \right)^{-1} \lim_{s \to 1^+} (s - 1) \prod_q \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q^s} \right)^{-1} \cdot \lim_{s \to 1^+} \prod_r \left( 1 - \frac{1}{r^s} \right)^{-1}$$
  
= 2 \cdot 0 \cdot B  
= 0,

a contradiction. Thus

$$\lim_{s\to 1^+}\prod_r\left(1-\frac{1}{r^s}\right)^{-1}$$

diverges. Arguing as in (6.8.1) it follows that the sum of the reciprocals of the primes congruent to 3 modulo 4 diverges.

6.8.3. We have

$$\prod_{p} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(p^{k}) = \sum_{r,p_{1},p_{2},\dots,p_{r},k_{1},k_{2},\dots,k_{r}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} f(p_{i}^{k_{i}})$$
$$= \sum_{r,p_{1},p_{2},\dots,p_{r},k_{1},k_{2},\dots,k_{r}} f(\prod_{i=1}^{r} p_{i}^{k_{i}})$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n),$$

where we are allowed to rearrange the sum by absolute convergence. If f(n) is completely multiplicative and f(p) = 1 then  $f(p^k) = 1$  for every k so that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(p^k)$$

diverges, a contradiction. We have

$$\prod_{p} (1 - f(p))^{-1} = \prod_{p} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(p)^{k}$$
$$= \prod_{p} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(p^{k}),$$

and so we done by the first part. 2. (a) Suppose that

$$g(x) = \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}e^{-1/x^2},$$

for  $x \neq 0$ , where p(x) and q(x) are polynomials. Then

$$g'(x) = \frac{p'(x)q(x)e^{-1/x^2} + 2p(x)q(x)e^{-1/x^2}/x^3 - q'(x)p(x)e^{-1/x^2}}{q^2(x)}$$
$$= \frac{p'(x)q(x)x^3 + 2p(x)q(x) - q'(x)p(x)x^3}{q^2(x)x^3}e^{-1/x^2}.$$

Thus we are done by induction on n. (b) Suppose that

$$g(x) = \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}e^{-1/x^2},$$

for  $x \neq 0$ , where p(x) and q(x) are polynomials and g(0) = 0. We first check that

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} e^{-1/x^2} = 0.$$

Factoring p(x) and q(x) it suffices to prove that

$$\lim_{x \to 0} x^k e^{-1/x^2} = 0,$$

for any integer k. Replacing x by 1/x it suffices to prove that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} x^k e^{-x^2} = 0,$$

for any integer k. This is easy (and well-known). We now compute the derivative of g(x).

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{g(x) - g(0)}{x - 0} = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} e^{-1/x^2}$$
$$= 0.$$

Thus

$$f^{(n)}(0) = 0.$$

by induction on n.

(c) The Taylor series for f(x) has all of its coefficients zero. This surely converges everywhere and defines the zero function but this is not equal to f(x).