

10. p -ADIC NUMBERS: II

So far we have just looked at the p -adic integers from the algebraic point of view. But one reason the reals are so interesting is that there is a notion of two reals being close together. We think of the sequence of approximations $1, 3/2 = 1.5, 17/12 = 1.4166\dots$ as getting close to the true answer of $\sqrt{2}$. If we consider the p -adic integers $3, 3 + 1 \cdot 7, 3 + 1 \cdot 7 + 2 \cdot 7^2 + 6 \cdot 7^3$, we have to consider $98 = 2 \cdot 7^2$ as being smaller than $7 = 1 \cdot 7$ and $2058 = 6 \cdot 7^3$ as being smaller than $98 = 2 \cdot 7^2$.

Definition 10.1. *If p is a prime and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an integer then $\nu_p(n) = e$ is called the p -adic valuation, where $n = p^e m$ and $(p, m) = 1$.*

We have $\nu_7(3) = 0, \nu_7(7) = 1, \nu_7(98) = 2, \nu_7(2058) = 3$. In fact $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is small p -adically, if $\nu_p(n)$ is large. This suggests

Definition 10.2. *If p is a prime and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a non-zero integer then the p -adic absolute value is*

$$|n|_p = \frac{1}{p^{\nu_p(n)}}.$$

By convention $|0|_p = 0$. Note that the p -adic absolute value shares many of the properties of the ordinary absolute value.

(i) It is a function

$$\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}.$$

(ii) $|n|_p \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $n = 0$.

(iii)

$$|ab|_p = |a|_p \cdot |b|_p.$$

(iv)

$$|a + b|_p \leq |a|_p + |b|_p.$$

In fact the p -adic absolute value satisfies a much stronger property than (iv), namely:

(v)

$$|a + b|_p \leq \max(|a|_p, |b|_p),$$

with equality unless $|a|_p = |b|_p$.

In fact one just needs to check that

$$\nu_p(a + b) \geq \min(\nu_p(a), \nu_p(b))$$

with equality unless $\nu_p(a) = \nu_p(b)$. But this follows from basic property of divisibility.

We can extend all of this from the integers to the rationals. It suffices to define the valuation, and this is easy:

$$\nu_p(a/b) = \nu_p(a) - \nu_p(b).$$

We may use the p -adic absolute value to give an alternative construction of the p -adic numbers.

Definition 10.3. Fix a prime p . Let a_1, a_2, \dots be a sequence of rational numbers. We say that the sequence is a **Cauchy sequence** if given any $\epsilon > 0$ there is an n_0 such that for all m and $n > n_0$ we have

$$|a_n - a_m|_p < \epsilon.$$

We say that a Cauchy sequence is a **null sequence** if given any $\epsilon > 0$ there is an n_0 such that for all $n > n_0$ we have

$$|a_n|_p < \epsilon.$$

Note that the set of all sequences is a ring, with pointwise addition and multiplication.

Lemma 10.4. The set of all Cauchy sequences is a subring R of the ring of all sequences.

Proof. We just have to check that the sum and product of two Cauchy sequences is a Cauchy sequence. \square

Lemma 10.5. The set I of all null sequences is an ideal in R .

Proof. Let a_1, a_2, \dots and b_1, b_2, \dots be two Cauchy sequences. We have to check

- (1) If a_1, a_2, \dots and b_1, b_2, \dots are null sequences then so is their sum.
- (2) If a_1, a_2, \dots is a null sequence then the product of a_1, a_2, \dots and b_1, b_2, \dots is a null sequence. \square

Definition-Lemma 10.6. We say that two Cauchy sequences a_1, a_2, \dots and b_1, b_2, \dots are equivalent, denoted $a_1, a_2, \dots \sim b_1, b_2, \dots$, if the difference c_1, c_2, \dots is a null sequence.

\sim is an equivalence relation. The set of all equivalence classes is denoted \mathbb{Q}_p .

Proof. This can be checked directly. In fact two Cauchy sequences are equivalent if and only if they define the same left coset of I . \square

Theorem 10.7. \mathbb{Q}_p is a field which contains \mathbb{Q} .

Moreover \mathbb{Q}_p is isomorphic to the ring \mathbb{Q}_p we constructed in lecture 9.

Proof. \mathbb{Q}_p is the quotient ring R/I . It is not hard to check that every non-zero element is invertible. The characteristic is zero and every field of characteristic zero contains \mathbb{Q} .

There is a natural map

$$\mathbb{Q}_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

which sends the p -adic integer

$$\beta = a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2 + a_3p^3 + \dots,$$

where $0 \leq a_i < p$ are integers, to the equivalence class generated by the sequence

$$a_0, \quad a_0 + a_1p, \quad a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2, \quad \dots, \quad a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2 + \dots + a_kp^k, \dots$$

It is not hard to see that the sequence we have written down is a Cauchy sequence and that the map is a ring homomorphism. The key point is to check the map is surjective. Given an arbitrary Cauchy sequence $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ we have to construct $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ with the property that its image is equivalent to $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$.

If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ is a null sequence, we may take $\beta = 0$. Therefore we may assume that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ is not a null sequence. In particular we may assume that only finitely many $\alpha_m = 0$. Possibly passing to a tail of the sequence, we may assume that $\alpha_m \neq 0$ for all m .

As α_n is a rational number, we may write

$$\alpha_n = p^{e_n} \frac{b_n}{c_n},$$

where b_n and c_n are coprime integers, coprime to p . Our goal is to first reduce to the case when $c_n = 1$.

To say that we have a Cauchy sequence implies that given k we may find n_0 such that if m and $n > n_0$ then

$$|\alpha_m - \alpha_n|_p < \frac{1}{p^k}.$$

In particular if we take $k = 0$ it follows that e_n is bounded from below, so that the minimum exists. e_n is bounded from above, as we don't have a null sequence. It follows that there is a smallest integer N such that $e_n = N$ for infinitely many n . If we throw out the finitely many n such that $e_n < N$ we may assume that $e_n \geq N$ for all n . Let e be the largest exponent and let $f = e - N \geq 0$ be the difference.

As c_n is coprime to p we may pick an integer f_n such that

$$b_n - c_n f_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}.$$

Let $g_n = p^{e_n - N} f_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\alpha_n - p^N g_n|_p &= \left| p^{e_n} \frac{b_n}{c_n} - p^{e_n} f_n \right|_p \\
 &= \left| p^{e_n} \left(\frac{b_n}{c_n} - f_n \right) \right|_p \\
 &= \frac{1}{p^{e_n}} \left| \frac{b_n}{c_n} - f_n \right|_p \\
 &= \frac{1}{p^{e_n + n}} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{p^{N+n}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the Cauchy sequences $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ and $p^N g_1, p^N g_2, \dots$ have the same limit. Replacing α_n by $p^N g_n$ we may assume that $c_n = 1$. Replacing α_m by $p^{-N} \alpha_n$ we may assume α_n is an integer. We will construct a p -adic integer

$$\beta = a_0 + a_1 p + a_2 p^2 + a_3 p^3 + \dots,$$

where $0 \leq a_i < p$ are integers, whose image is the Cauchy sequence $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$. As

$$|\alpha_m - \alpha_n| < \frac{1}{p^k}.$$

the difference is divisible by p^k so that

$$\alpha_m = a_0 + a_1 p + \dots + a_k p^k + \alpha'_m,$$

where the coefficients $a_0 a_1 \dots a_k$ don't depend on m and $\alpha'_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is divisible by p^k . This defines β and it is clear that the image of β is the Cauchy sequence $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$. \square

In the course of the proof of (10.7) we established that every element of \mathbb{Q}_p has a unique representation in the form

$$\alpha = p^N (a_0 + a_1 p + a_2 p^2 + a_3 p^3 + \dots).$$

In fact this allows us to extend the p -adic absolute value to the whole of \mathbb{Q}_p ,

$$\nu_p(\alpha) = N \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha| = \frac{1}{p^N}.$$

If $\nu_p(\alpha) \geq 0$ then we say that we have a p -adic integer.

Given the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} we now have more than one way to complete \mathbb{Q} . If we use the usual absolute value, we get the real numbers \mathbb{R} , which we can either think of using their decimal expansion, or as

equivalence classes of Cauchy sequences. If we use a p -adic absolute value, we get the p -adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_p which we can think of either as a p -adic integer, multiplied by a power of p , or as equivalence classes of Cauchy sequences.

All of these fields give information about the rational numbers. One beautiful result is that the product of all of the absolute values is one:

$$|a| \prod_p |a|_p = 1.$$

Another is the Hasse-Minkowski principle. Consider the problem of trying to solve a Diophantine equation

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0.$$

Here we are looking for integer solutions. If there is an integer solution then there must be a real solution and a p -adic integer solution. Conversely, if there is no real solution or no p -adic integer solution then there is no integer solution.

Sometimes, we can reverse this implication. For example, the homogeneous quadratic equation

$$\sum_{i \leq j} a_{ij} x_i x_j = 0$$

has a non-trivial integer solution, if and only if it has a real solution and p -adic solutions for all primes p .

In fact Legendre's theorem is one particular case of this. Part of the hypothesis for Legendre's theorem is that there is a real solution. The other implies that there is a solution modulo p . If p is odd, we can use the method of Newton Raphson to get a p -adic solution. If $p = 2$ the situation is more complicated and a little bit more work is needed.