

**FIRST MIDTERM  
MATH 104A, UCSD, AUTUMN 17**

You have 80 minutes.

There are 5 problems, and the total number of points is 75. Show all your work. *Please make your work as clear and easy to follow as possible.*

=====

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Student ID #: \_\_\_\_\_

Section Time: \_\_\_\_\_

Problem	Points	Score
1	15	
2	10	
3	20	
4	10	
5	20	
6	10	
7	10	
Total	75	

1. (15pts) (i) *Give the definition of a prime number.*

A natural number  $p$  is prime if  $p \neq 1$  and the only divisors of  $p$  are 1 and  $p$ .

(ii) *Give the definition of the greatest common divisor.*

The greatest common divisor  $d$  of two numbers  $a$  and  $b$ , not both zero, has the following properties:

- (1)  $d|a$  and  $d|b$ .
- (2) If  $d'|a$  and  $d'|b$  then  $d'|d$ .
- (3)  $d > 0$ .

(iii) *Give the definition of a group.*

A group  $G$  is a set together with a rule of multiplication which satisfies the following rules:

- (1) Multiplication is associative, that is,  $a(bc) = (ab)c$  for all  $a, b$  and  $c \in G$ .
- (2) There is an identity  $e \in G$  such that  $ae = a = ea$ .
- (3) Every element  $a \in G$  has an inverse  $b$  such that  $ab = e = ba$ .

2. (10pts) Show that if  $M_n = 2^n - 1$  then  $M_{rn}$  is not prime if  $r > 1$  and  $n > 1$ .

It is straightforward to check the identity

$$a^s - b^s = (a - b)(a^{s-1} + a^{s-2}b + a^{s-3}b^2 + \dots + b^{s-1}).$$

If we put  $a = 2^r$  and  $b = 1$  then we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_n &= 2^n - 1 \\ &= (2^r)^s - 1^s \\ &= a^s - b^s \\ &= (a - b)(a^{s-1} + a^{s-2}b + a^{s-3}b^2 + \dots + b^{s-1}) \\ &= (2^r - 1)k \\ &= kM_r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $M_r$  divides  $M_n$ . As  $r > 1$ ,  $M_r > 1$  and as  $n > 1$ ,  $M_r \neq M_n$ . Thus  $M_n$  is not a Mersenne prime.

3. (20pts) (i) Show that if  $p = 6k + r$  is prime and  $0 \leq r < 6$  then either  $p = 2$  or  $p = 3$  or  $r = 1$  or  $r = 5$ .

As  $0 \leq r < 6$  it follows that  $r = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$  or  $5$ . If  $r = 0$ , or  $r = 2$  or  $4$  then  $p = 2(3k)$  or  $p = 2(3k + 1)$  or  $p = 2(3k + 2)$  and  $p$  is even. In this case  $p = 2$ . If  $r = 3$  then  $p = 3(2k + 1)$  is divisible by 3 and  $p = 3$ . Otherwise  $r = 1$  or  $r = 5$ .

(ii) Show that the set

$$S = \{6k + 1 \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is closed under multiplication.

Suppose that  $a$  and  $b \in S$ . Then we may find  $k$  and  $l$  such that  $a = 6k + 1$  and  $b = 6l + 1$ . In this case

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= (6k + 1)(6l + 1) \\ &= 36kl + 6k + 6l + 1 \\ &= 6(6kl + k + l) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $ab \in S$  and  $S$  is closed under multiplication.

(iii) *Show that there are infinitely many primes of the form  $6k + 5$ .*

We use a variation of Euclid's argument. First note that 5 is a prime of the form  $6k + 5$ . Suppose that there are only finitely many primes,  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$ , whose remainder is five when divided by 6.

Let

$$P = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i.$$

Note that

$$6P - 1 = 6(P - 1) + 5,$$

has remainder 5 when divided by 6. Consider the prime factorisation of  $6P - 1$ . As  $S$  is closed under multiplication and  $6P - 1 \notin S$  it follows that one of the primes in the factorisation has a remainder different from one, after division by 6.

On the other hand,  $6P - 1$  not divisible by 2, 3, or any of the primes  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$ , a contradiction. Therefore there are infinitely many primes of the form  $6k + 5$ .

4. (10pts) (i) *State the fundamental theorem of arithmetic.*

If  $a$  is a non-zero integer then  $a$  is uniquely a product

$$a = \pm 1 \cdot p_1 \cdot p_2 \dots p_k,$$

where  $p_i \leq p_{i+1}$  are primes.

(ii) *Suppose that  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  are three integers. Show that if  $b|a$ ,  $c|a$  and  $(b, c) = 1$  then  $bc|a$ .*

We may find common prime factorisations

$$a = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_l^{e_l} \quad b = p_1^{f_1} p_2^{f_2} \dots p_l^{f_l} \quad \text{and} \quad c = p_1^{g_1} p_2^{g_2} \dots p_l^{g_l}.$$

As  $b$  and  $c$  are coprime, it follows that  $f_i g_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . As  $b|a$  it follows that  $f_i \leq e_i$ . As  $c|a$  it follows that  $g_i \leq e_i$ . But then  $f_i + g_i \leq e_i$ , since one of  $f_i$  and  $g_i$  is zero. Thus

$$bc = p_1^{f_1+g_1} p_2^{f_2+g_2} \dots p_l^{f_l+g_l}$$

divides  $a$ .

5. (20pts) (i) Show that if  $a$  and  $b$  are integers, not both zero, and  $d$  is the greatest common divisor, then we may find integers  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  such that  $d = \lambda a + \mu b$ .

If  $a = 0$  then

$$\begin{aligned}d &= b \\ &= 1 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot b \\ &= 1 \cdot a + 1 \cdot b,\end{aligned}$$

so that we may take  $\lambda = \mu = 1$  if  $ab = 0$ . Note that

$$d = (a, b) = (|a|, |b|).$$

If

$$d = \lambda|a| + \mu|b| \quad \text{then} \quad d = (\pm\lambda)a + (\pm\mu)b.$$

Thus we may assume that  $a$  and  $b > 0$ . We may assume that  $a \leq b$ . If we divide  $a$  into  $b$  we get

$$b = qa + r \quad \text{where} \quad 0 \leq r < a.$$

Note that  $\{a, b\}$  and  $\{a, r\}$  have the same common divisors, so that

$$d = (a, r).$$

By induction on  $a$  we may find integers  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  such that

$$d = \lambda a + \mu r.$$

As

$$r = b - qa,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}d &= \lambda a + \mu r \\ &= \lambda a + \mu(b - qa) \\ &= (\lambda - \mu q)a + \mu b.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction and the proof.

(ii) Show that if  $p$  is a prime and  $p|ab$  then either  $p|a$  or  $p|b$ .

If  $p|a$  there is nothing to prove and so we may assume that  $p$  does not divide  $a$ . As the only divisors of  $p$  are 1 and  $p$  and  $p$  does not divide  $a$ , it follows that the only common divisor of  $p$  and  $a$  is 1. Thus the greatest common divisor of  $p$  and  $a$  is 1. By (i) we may find  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  such that

$$1 = \lambda p + \mu a.$$

If we multiply both sides of this equation by  $b$  then we get

$$b = \lambda pb + \mu ab.$$

The first term is clearly divisible by  $p$  and the second term is divisible by  $p$  by assumption. Thus  $p|b$ .



### Bonus Challenge Problems

6. (10pts) Show that every positive integer can be represented uniquely in the form

$$F_{n_1} + F_{n_2} + \cdots + F_{n_m},$$

where  $m \geq 1$ ,  $n_{j-1} > n_j + 1$ , for  $j = 2, 3, \dots, m$  and  $n_m > 1$ .

We first prove existence. We proceed by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$  then we may take  $m = 1$  and  $n_m = 2$ ; in this case  $1 = F_2$ .

Suppose the result is true for all integers up to  $n$ . Let  $n_1$  be the largest integer such that  $n + 1 - F_{n_1} \geq 0$ . Note that  $n_1 \geq 2$ . If  $n + 1 = F_{n_1}$  then we are done. Otherwise, by induction we may find an expression of the form

$$n + 1 - F_{n_1} = F_{n_2} + F_{n_3} + \cdots + F_{n_m},$$

where  $m \geq 2$ ,  $n_{j-1} > n_j + 1$ , for  $3 \leq j \leq m$  and  $n_m \geq 2$ .

If  $n_1 = n_2 + 1$  then

$$\begin{aligned} n + 1 &\geq F_{n_1} + F_{n_1-1} \\ &= F_{n_1+1}, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts our choice of  $n_1$ . Thus  $n_1 > n_2 + 1$ . This completes the induction and the proof of existence.

Now we turn to uniqueness. We first establish that

$$F_n > \sum_{m:1 < m < n} F_m$$

where the sum ranges over those integers such that  $n - m$  is odd. By induction on  $n$ .

If  $n = 1$  then there are no integers  $1 < m < 1 = n$ . Thus the result is true for  $n = 1$  for vacuous reasons. Now suppose the result is true for  $n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n+1} &= F_n + F_{n-1} \\ &> F_n + \sum_{m:1 < m < n-1} F_m \\ &= \sum_{m:1 < m < n+1} F_m. \end{aligned}$$

Here all but the last sum run over integers  $m$  such that  $n - 1 - m$  is odd and the last one runs over integers  $m$  such that  $n + 1 - m$  is odd. Of course both of these parity conditions are the same. Since  $n + 1 - n = 1$  is odd, the last sum includes the index  $m = n$ .

Suppose that we have two expressions of the form

$$F_{p_1} + F_{p_2} + \cdots + F_{p_m} = F_{q_1} + F_{q_2} + \cdots + F_{q_n},$$

where  $m$  and  $n \geq 1$ ,  $p_m$  and  $q_n > 1$ ,  $p_{i-1} \geq p_i + 2$  and  $q_{j-1} \geq q_j + 2$ . If there are two indices  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $p_i = q_j$  then we may cancel  $F_{p_i}$  and  $F_{q_j}$  from both sides. Thus we may that there are no common terms. Possibly switching the sides of the equation, we may assume that  $p_1 > q_1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} F_{p_1} &> \sum_{m:1 < m < p_1} F_m \\ &\geq F_{q_1} + F_{q_2} + \cdots + F_{q_n}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. This proves uniqueness.

7. (10pts) *If  $n$  is a natural number then let*

$$p(n) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n}.$$

*Show that if  $p(n)$  is an integer then  $n = 1$ .*

Let

$$p(n) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Let  $k$  be the largest integer such that  $2^k \leq n$ . Note that no other natural number between 1 and  $n$  is divisible by  $2^k$ . Thus if we multiply both sides by  $2^{k-1}$  every term

$$\frac{2^{k-1}}{i} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad i \neq 2^k,$$

of the sum has an odd denominator.

As the sum of rational numbers with an odd denominator, has an odd denominator, it follows that  $2^{k-1}p(n)$  is a sum of  $1/2$  and a rational number with an odd denominator. In particular  $p(n)$  is not an integer.