

## MODEL ANSWERS TO THE SECOND HOMEWORK

1. (i) We follow the proof of Hilbert's Basis Theorem, although there are some twists to the story. Let  $I \subset R[[x]]$  be an ideal. Let  $J \subset R$  be the set of leading coefficients (that is, the coefficients of the lowest non-zero term), union zero.

I claim that  $J$  is an ideal. It is non-empty as it contains 0. If  $a$  and  $b$  are in  $J$ , then we may find  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  in  $I$  such that  $f(x)$  has leading term  $ax^d$  and  $g(x)$  has leading term  $bx^e$ . Multiplying by an appropriate power of  $x$ , we may assume that  $d = e$ . As  $f + g \in I$ , it follows that  $a + b \in J$ . Similarly  $ra \in J$ . Thus  $J$  is an ideal.

As  $R$  is Noetherian, we have

$$J = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \rangle,$$

for some  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in J$ . Pick  $f_i(x) \in I$  with leading coefficient  $a_i$ . Let  $m$  be the maximum of the degrees of  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k$ .

Note that there is a  $R$ -module homomorphism

$$\pi: R[[x]] \longrightarrow R[x],$$

which sends a power series  $p(x)$  to the polynomial of degree less than  $m$ , obtained by setting all of the coefficients of  $p(x)$  of degree at least  $m$  to zero. The image  $M$  of  $\pi$  is the set of all polynomials of degree less than  $m$ .  $M$  is the  $R$ -submodule generated by  $1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{m-1}$ . As  $R$  is Noetherian,  $M$  is Noetherian, as it is finitely generated. If  $N$  is the image of  $I$  then  $N$  is a submodule of  $M$ . Thus  $N$  is finitely generated. Pick generators and let  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_l$  be the inverse image of these generators in  $R[[x]]$ . Then  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_l$  are power series of degrees at most  $m - 1$ .

Now suppose that  $p(x)$  is a power series. As  $\pi(p(x))$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $m - 1$  belonging to  $N$ , it follows that we may write

$$p(x) = p_0(x) + p_1(x),$$

where  $p_0(x)$  is a power series of degree less than  $m$ , a linear combination of  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_l$  and  $p_1(x)$  is a power series of degree at least  $m$ . It suffices to prove that  $p_1(x)$  is in the ideal generated by  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k$ , since then  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k$  and  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_l$  clearly generate  $I$ . Thus we may as well assume that  $f(x)$  has degree at least  $m$ . We define a sequence of polynomials,  $p_1^{(j)}(x), p_2^{(j)}(x), \dots, p_k^{(j)}(x)$ , such that if we

put

$$r^{(j)}(x) = f(x) - \sum_i p_i^{(j)}(x) f_i(x),$$

then as we increase  $j$ , the degree of  $r$  goes up and the initial coefficients of  $p_i^{(j)}(x)$ , stabilise. Supposing that we can do this, taking the limit (in the obvious sense), then the polynomials become power series and the degree of  $r$  goes to infinity, which is the same as to say that in fact  $f$  is a linear combination of the  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k$ . By induction on the degree, it suffices to increase the degree of  $r$  by one, that is, to kill the leading coefficient of  $f$ . Suppose that the leading coefficient of  $f$  is  $a$ . Then  $a \in J$ . Pick  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k$  such that

$$a = \sum r_i a_i.$$

Then the coefficient of  $x^d$  for

$$f(x) - \sum_i r_i x^{d-d_i} f_i(x)$$

is zero by construction and we are done.

(ii) Define  $R[[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]]$  as for the polynomial ring, but erasing any mention of finiteness conditions, so that a general element of  $R[[x]]$  is of the form

$$\sum a_I x^I,$$

where the sum ranges over all multi-indexes. As before there is a canonical isomorphism,

$$R[[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]] \simeq R[[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}]][[x_n]].$$

The result then follows by a straightforward induction.

2. Let  $M_n$  be the kernel of  $\phi^n$ . Note that we have an ascending chain,

$$M_1 \subset M_2 \subset M_3 \subset \dots$$

Suppose that  $M_1 \neq 0$ . We will define  $m_n \in M_n - M_{n-1}$  recursively, so that  $\phi(m_n) = m_{n-1}$ . By assumption, there is  $m_1 \in M_1$ , such that  $m_1 \neq 0$ . Suppose we have defined  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n$ . As  $\phi$  is surjective, there is an  $m_{n+1} \in M$  such that  $\phi(m_{n+1}) = m_n$ . As  $m_n \in M_n$ , it is immediate that  $m_{n+1} \in M_{n+1}$  but not in  $M_n$ . Thus we have a strictly increasing sequence of submodules of  $M$ . This contradicts the fact that  $M$  is Noetherian.

Thus  $M_1$  is the trivial module and  $\phi$  must be injective. In this case  $\phi$  must be a bijection, so that it is an automorphism.

3. Let  $I$  be the ideal generated by  $S$ . As  $S \subset I$  we surely have

$$V(I) \subset V(S).$$

On the other hand, if  $f \in I$  then we may find  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_i \in S_0$  and  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k \in k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  such that

$$f = \sum f_i g_i.$$

If  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in V(S)$  then  $f_i(a) = 0$  so that  $f(a) = 0$ . It follows that

$$V(S) \subset V(I) \quad \text{so that} \quad V(I) = V(S).$$

By Hilbert's basis theorem we may find  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k \in I$  such that

$$I = \langle h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k \rangle.$$

As above,

$$V(I) = V(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k).$$

On the other hand, for each  $j$  we may find  $f_i^{(j)} \in S$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p_j$  such that  $h_j$  is a linear combination, with coefficients in  $k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ , of  $f_i^{(j)} \in S$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p_j$ . Let  $S_0$  be the set of all polynomials,  $f_i^{(j)}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$  and  $1 \leq i \leq p_j$ .

Then  $S_0 \subset S$  and

$$V(S_0) = V(I) = V(S).$$