

MODEL ANSWERS TO THE FIRST HOMEWORK

1. Define a function

$$d: F[[x]] - \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

by sending a power series to its degree. We have to check two things. It is easy to see that if f and g are non-zero power series then $d(f) \leq d(fg)$.

Otherwise we have to check that if $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are two power series, then we may find $q(x)$ and $r(x)$ such that

$$g(x) = q(x)f(x) + r(x),$$

where either $r(x) = 0$ or the degree of $r(x)$ is less than the degree of $f(x)$. There are two cases. If the degree of $g(x)$ is less than the degree of $f(x)$ there is nothing to do; take $q(x) = 0$ and $r(x) = g(x)$. In this case the fact that $r(x)$ has degree less than $f(x)$ is clear.

Otherwise I claim that $f(x)$ divides perfectly into $g(x)$. To see this, note that we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= ax^d + \dots \\ &= x^d(a + \dots) \\ &= x^d u. \end{aligned}$$

Here as $a \neq 0$, and F is a field, a is a unit. Thus u is a unit. But then by the same token, $g(x) = x^e v$, where e is the degree of g and v is a unit. Thus

$$g(x) = q(x)f(x),$$

where $q(x) = x^{e-d}vw$ and w is the inverse of u . Thus we have a Euclidean Domain.

2. Suppose that M is an R -module. Then M is a vector space over F , call it V . Multiplication by x induces a linear map

$$\phi: V \longrightarrow V.$$

Now suppose that we are given a linear map

$$\phi: V \longrightarrow V.$$

Given $f(x) \in R$, we need to define a multiplication map,

$$m: V \longrightarrow V.$$

We send $v \in V$ to

$$f(\phi)(v).$$

3. Let F be the set of all functions from X to M . We need to define a rule of addition and scalar multiplication. Suppose that f and g are elements of F . Define $f + g$ as the pointwise sum, so that

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x).$$

Similarly, given $r \in R$ and $f \in F$, define rf as the pointwise product,

$$(rf)(x) = r(f(x)).$$

It is an easy matter to check that with this rule of addition and scalar multiplication, F becomes an R -module.

Let $H = \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ be the set of all R -module homomorphisms. Then H is a subset of F , the set of all functions from M to N . It suffices to prove that H is non-empty and closed under addition and scalar multiplication.

First note that the zero map, which sends every element of M to the zero element of N , is R -linear. Thus H is certainly non-empty. Suppose that f and g are elements of H . We need to prove that $f + g$ is R -linear. Let m and n be elements of M and r and s be elements of R . We have

$$\begin{aligned}(f + g)(rm + sn) &= f(rm + sn) + g(rm + sn) \\ &= rf(m) + sf(n) + rg(m) + sg(n) \\ &= rf(m) + rg(m) + sf(m) + sf(n) \\ &= r(f + g)(m) + s(f + g)(n).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $f + g$ is indeed R -linear. It is equally easy and just as formal to prove that rf is R -linear. Thus H is closed under addition and scalar multiplication and so H is an R -module.

4. Since the arbitrary intersection of ideals is an ideal, it suffices to prove that I is an ideal, in the case that X contains one point x . Clearly $0 \in I$. Thus I is non-empty. Suppose that i and j are elements of I . Then

$$\begin{aligned}(i + j)(x) &= ix + jx \\ &= 0 + 0 = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $i + j \in I$ and I is closed under addition. Now suppose that $r \in R$ and $i \in I$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}ri(x) &= r(ix) \\ &= r0 \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $ri \in I$ and I is an ideal. Here is another way to conclude that I is an ideal. Let

$$\phi: R \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, M)$$

be the natural map which sends an element R to the R -linear map, $m \longrightarrow rm$. It is easy to see that ϕ is R -linear. Replacing M by the module generated by X , note that an element $r \in R$ is in I if and only if $\phi(r)$ is the zero map. Thus I is the kernel of ϕ . It also follows that I is also the annihilator of $\langle X \rangle$.