

21. THE DOT PRODUCT

Definition 21.1. The *dot product* of two vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} in \mathbb{R}^n is the sum

$$\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = u_1v_1 + u_2v_2 + \cdots + u_nv_n.$$

Example 21.2. The dot product of $\vec{u} = (1, 1)$ and $\vec{v} = (2, -1)$ is

$$\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = 1 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot -1 = 1.$$

The dot product of $\vec{u} = (1, 2, 3)$ and $\vec{v} = (2, -1, 1)$ is

$$\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = 1 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot -1 + 3 \cdot 1 = 3.$$

Note that when we compute the product of two matrices A and B in essence we are computing an array of dot products. In particular the dot product can be identified with the matrix product $\vec{u}^T \cdot \vec{v}$.

Definition 21.3. The *length* of a vector $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the square root of the dot product of \vec{v} with itself:

$$\|\vec{v}\| = \sqrt{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{v}} = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2 + \cdots + v_n^2}.$$

Note that

$$\|(x, y)\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|(x, y, z)\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$$

the usual formula for the length, using Pythagoras.

Example 21.4. What is the length of the vector $\vec{v} = (1, -2, 2)$?

$$\vec{v} \cdot \vec{v} = 1^2 + 2^2 + 2^2 = 9.$$

So the length is 3.

Note that the vector

$$\hat{u} = \frac{1}{3}\vec{v} = (1/3, -2/3, 2/3)$$

is a vector of unit length with the same direction as \vec{v} .

Definition 21.5. Let p and q be two points in \mathbb{R}^n .

The *distance* between P and Q is the length of the vector

$$\vec{v} = q - p.$$

Let $p = (1, 1, 1)$ and $q = (2, -1, 3)$. Then

$$\vec{v} = (2, -1, 3) - (1, 1, 1) = (1, -2, 1).$$

So the distance between p and q is 3, the length of \vec{v} .

Definition 21.6. We say two vectors are *orthogonal* if $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = 0$.

The standard basis vectors $\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \dots, \vec{e}_n$ of \mathbb{R}^n are orthogonal.

Example 21.7. Are the vectors $\vec{u} = (1, 1, -2)$ and $\vec{v} = (2, 0, 1)$ orthogonal?

$$\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = (1, 1, -2) \cdot (2, 0, 1) = 2 + 0 - 2 = 0,$$

so that \vec{u} and \vec{v} are orthogonal.

Definition-Theorem 21.8. Let $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a linear subspace. The **orthogonal complement** of W is

$$W^\perp = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid v \cdot w = 0\},$$

the set of all vectors which are orthogonal to every vector in W .

Then W^\perp is a linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

For example, suppose we start with a plane H in \mathbb{R}^3 through the origin. Then there is a line L in \mathbb{R}^3 through the origin which is the orthogonal complement of H :

$$L = H^\perp.$$

The line L is spanned by a vector which is orthogonal to every vector in H . Note that the relation between L and H is reciprocal, H is the orthogonal complement of L :

$$H = L^\perp.$$

Theorem 21.9. Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix.

The orthogonal complement of the row space of A is the null space of A and the orthogonal complement of the column space of A is the null space of A^T :

$$(\text{Row } A)^\perp = \text{Nul } A \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{Col } A)^\perp = \text{Nul } A^T.$$

Proof. The rows of A correspond to equations. If a row is given by the vector

$$\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$$

then the corresponding equation is

$$a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n = 0.$$

\vec{x} is in the null space if and only if it satisfies every equation.

But \vec{x} satisfies the equation

$$a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n = 0$$

if and only if the dot product $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x} = 0$.

Thus \vec{x} is in the null space if and only if it is in the orthogonal complement of the row space.

Now consider the matrix A^T . By what we just proved the null space of A^T is the orthogonal complement of the row space of A^T . But the row space of A^T is nothing but the column space of A . \square