## 9. Ample divisors on toric varieties

It is interesting to see what happens for toric varieties. Suppose that $X=X(F)$ is the toric variety associated to the fan $F \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Recall that we can associate to a $T$-Cartier divisor $D=\sum a_{i} D_{i}$, a continuous piecewise linear function

$$
\phi_{D}:|F| \longrightarrow \mathbb{R},
$$

where $|F| \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the support of the fan, which is specified by the rule that $\phi_{D}\left(v_{i}\right)=-a_{i}$.

We can also associate to $D$ a rational polyhedron

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{D} & =\left\{u \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid\left\langle u, v_{i}\right\rangle \geq-a_{i} \quad \forall i\right\} \\
& =\left\{u \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid u \geq \phi_{D}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 9.1. If $X$ is a toric variety and $D$ is T-Cartier then

$$
H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)\right)=\bigoplus_{u \in P_{D} \cap M} k \cdot \chi^{u}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $\sigma \in F$ is a cone. Then, we have already seen that

$$
H^{0}\left(U_{\sigma}, \mathcal{O}_{U_{\sigma}}(D)\right)=\bigoplus_{u \in P_{D}(\sigma) \cap M} k \cdot \chi^{u}
$$

where

$$
P_{D}(\sigma)=\left\{u \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid\left\langle u, v_{i}\right\rangle \geq-a_{i} \quad \forall v_{i} \in \sigma\right\} .
$$

These identifications are compatible on overlaps. Since

$$
H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)\right)=\bigcap_{\sigma \in F} H^{0}\left(U_{\sigma}, \mathcal{O}_{U_{\sigma}}(D)\right)
$$

and

$$
P_{D}=\bigcap_{\sigma \in F} P_{D}(\sigma)
$$

the result is clear.
It is interesting to compute some examples. First, consider $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. A $T$-Cartier divisor is a sum $a p+b q$ ( $p$ and $q$ the fixed points). The corresponding function is

$$
\phi(x)= \begin{cases}-a x & x>0 \\ b x & x<0\end{cases}
$$

The corresponding polytope is the interval

$$
[-a, b] \subset \underset{1}{\mathbb{R}}=N_{\mathbb{R}}
$$

There are $a+b+1$ integral points, corresponding to the fact that there are $a+b+1$ monomials of degree $a+b$.

For $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $d D_{3}, P_{D}$ is the convex hull of $(0,0),(d, 0)$ and $(0, d)$. The number of integral points is

$$
\frac{(d+1)^{2}}{2}+\frac{d+1}{2}=\frac{(d+2)(d+1)}{2}
$$

which is the usual formula.
Let $D$ be a Cartier divisor on a toric variety $X=X(F)$ given by a fan $F$. It is interesting to consider when the complete linear system $|D|$ is base point free. Since any Cartier divisor is linearly equivalent to a $T$-Cartier divisor, we might as well suppose that $D=\sum a_{i} D_{i}$ is $T$-Cartier. Note that the base locus of the complete linear system of any Cartier divisor is invariant under the action of the torus. Since there are only finitely many orbits, it suffices to show that for each cone $\sigma \in F$ the point $x_{\sigma} \in U_{\sigma}$ is not in the base locus. It is also clear that if $x_{\sigma}$ is not in the base locus of $|D|$ then in fact one can find a $T$-Cartier divisor $D^{\prime} \in|D|$ which does not contain $x_{\sigma}$. Equivalently we can find $u \in M$ such that

$$
\left\langle u, v_{i}\right\rangle \geq-a_{i}
$$

with strict equality if $v_{i} \in \sigma$. The interesting thing is that we can reinterpret this condition using $\phi_{D}$.

Definition 9.2. The function $\phi: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is upper convex if

$$
\phi(\lambda v+(1-\lambda) w) \geq \lambda \phi(v)+(1-\lambda) w \quad \forall v, w \in V
$$

When we have a fan $F$ and $\phi$ is linear on each cone $\sigma$, then $\phi$ is called strictly upper convex if the linear functions $u(\sigma)$ and $u\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)$ are different, for different maximal cones $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$.

Theorem 9.3. Let $X=X(F)$ be the toric variety associated to a $T$-Cartier divisor $D$.

Then
(1) $|D|$ is base point free if and only if $\phi_{D}$ is upper convex.
(2) $D$ is very ample if and only if $\phi_{D}$ is strictly upper convex and the semigroup $S_{\sigma}$ is generated by

$$
\left\{u-u(\sigma) \mid u \in P_{D} \cap M\right\}
$$

Proof. (1) follows from the remarks above. (2) is proved in Fulton's book.

For example if $X=\mathbb{P}^{1}$ and

$$
\phi(x)= \begin{cases}-a x & x>0 \\ b x & x<0\end{cases}
$$

so that $D=a p+b q$ then $\phi$ is upper convex if and only if $a+b \geq 0$ in which case $D$ is base point free. $D$ is very ample if and only if $a+b>0$. When $\phi$ is continuous and linear on each cone $\sigma$, we may restate the upper convex condition as saying that the graph of $\phi$ lies under the graph of $u(\sigma)$. It is strictly upper convex if it lies strictly under the graph of $u(\sigma)$, for all $n$-dimensional cones $\sigma$.

Using this, it is altogether too easy to give an example of a smooth proper toric variety which is not projective. Let $F \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}=\mathbb{R}^{3}$ given by the edges $v_{1}=-e_{1}, v_{2}=-e_{2}, v_{3}=-e_{3}, v_{4}=e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}, v_{5}=v_{3}+v_{4}$, $v_{6}=v_{1}+v_{4}$ and $v_{7}=v_{2}+v_{4}$. Now connect $v_{1}$ to $v_{5}, v_{3}$ to $v_{7}$ and $v_{2}$ to $v_{6}$ and $v_{5}$ to $v_{6}, v_{6}$ to $v_{7}$ and $v_{7}$ to $v_{5}$.

It is not hard to check that $X$ is smooth and proper (proper translates to the statement that the support $|F|$ of the fan is the whole of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ ). Suppose that $\phi$ is strictly upper convex. Let $w$ be the midpoint of the line connecting $v_{1}$ and $v_{5}$. Then

$$
w=\frac{v_{1}+v_{5}}{2}=\frac{v_{3}+v_{6}}{2} .
$$

Since $v_{1}$ and $v_{5}$ belong to the same maximal cone, $\phi$ is linear on the line connecting them. In particular

$$
\phi(w)=\phi\left(\frac{v_{1}+v_{5}}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \phi\left(v_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \phi\left(v_{5}\right) .
$$

Since $v_{1}, v_{5}$ and $v_{3}$ belong to the same cone and $v_{6}$ does not, by strict convexity,

$$
\phi(w)=\phi\left(\frac{v_{3}+v_{6}}{2}\right)>\frac{1}{2} \phi\left(v_{3}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \phi\left(v_{6}\right) .
$$

Putting all of this together, we get

$$
\phi\left(v_{1}\right)+\phi\left(v_{5}\right)>\phi\left(v_{3}\right)+\phi\left(v_{6}\right) .
$$

By symmetry

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi\left(v_{1}\right)+\phi\left(v_{5}\right)>\phi\left(v_{3}\right)+\phi\left(v_{6}\right) \\
& \phi\left(v_{2}\right)+\phi\left(v_{6}\right)>\phi\left(v_{1}\right)+\phi\left(v_{7}\right) \\
& \phi\left(v_{3}\right)+\phi\left(v_{7}\right)>\phi\left(v_{2}\right)+\phi\left(v_{5}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

But adding up these three inequalities gives a contradiction.

