

## 2. CARTIER DIVISORS

We now turn to the notion of a Cartier divisor.

**Definition 2.1.** *Given a ring  $A$ , let  $S$  be the multiplicative set of non-zero divisors of  $A$ . The localisation  $A_S$  of  $A$  at  $S$  is called the **total quotient ring** of  $A$ .*

*Given a scheme  $X$ , let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the sheaf associated to the presheaf, which associates to every open subset  $U \subset X$ , the total quotient ring of  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ .  $\mathcal{K}$  is called the **sheaf of total quotient rings**.*

**Definition 2.2.** *A **Cartier divisor** on a scheme  $X$  is any global section of  $\mathcal{K}^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*$ .*

In other words, a Cartier divisor is specified by an open cover  $U_i$  and a collection of rational functions  $f_i$ , such that  $f_i/f_j$  is a nowhere zero regular function.

A Cartier divisor is called **principal** if it is in the image of  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}^*)$ . Two Cartier divisors  $D$  and  $D'$  are called **linearly equivalent**, denoted  $D \sim D'$ , if and only if the difference is principal.

**Definition 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a scheme satisfying  $(*)$ . Then every Cartier divisor determines a Weil divisor.*

Informally a Cartier divisor is simply a Weil divisor defined locally by one equation. If every Weil divisor is Cartier then we say that  $X$  is **factorial**. This is equivalent to requiring that every local ring is a UFD; for example every smooth variety is factorial.

**Example 2.4.** *The quadric cone  $Q$ , given by  $xy - z^2 = 0$  in  $\mathbb{A}_k^3$  is not factorial. The line  $l$ , given by  $x = z = 0$ , is a Weil divisor which is not Cartier (one needs to check that the ideal  $\langle x, z \rangle$  inside  $\mathcal{O}_{Q,0}$  is not principal). The hyperplane  $x = 0$  cuts out the double line  $2l$ .*

**Definition-Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a scheme.*

*The set of invertible sheaves forms an abelian group  $\text{Pic}(X)$ , where multiplication corresponds to tensor product and the inverse to the dual.*

*Proof.* It is clear that tensor product is commutative and associative and that  $\mathcal{O}_X$  plays the role of the identity. But if  $\mathcal{M} = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_X)$  then

$$\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L} \simeq \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X. \quad \square$$

**Definition 2.6.** *Let  $D$  be a Cartier divisor, represented by  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}$ . Define a subsheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \subset \mathcal{K}$  by taking the subsheaf generated by  $f_i^{-1}$  over the open set  $U_i$ .*

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $X$  be a scheme.*

- (1) *The association  $D \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D)$  defines a correspondence between Cartier divisors and invertible subsheaves of  $\mathcal{K}$ .*
- (2) *If  $\mathcal{O}_X(D_1 - D_2) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(D_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D_2)^{-1}$ , as subsheaves of  $\mathcal{K}$*
- (3) *Two Cartier divisors  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are linearly equivalent if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_X(D_1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(D_2)$  (not necessarily as subsheaves of  $\mathcal{K}$ .*

Let's consider which Weil divisors on a toric variety are Cartier. We classify all Cartier divisors whose underlying Weil divisor is invariant; we dub these Cartier divisors  $T$ -Cartier. We start with the case of the affine toric variety associated to a cone  $\sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ . By (2.7) it suffices to classify all invertible subsheaves  $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \subset \mathcal{K}$ . Taking global sections, since we are on an affine variety, it suffices to classify all fractional ideals,

$$I = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)).$$

Invariance of  $D$  implies that  $I$  is graded by  $M$ , that is,  $I$  is a direct sum of eigenspaces. As  $D$  is Cartier,  $I$  is principal at the distinguished point  $x_\sigma$  of  $U_\sigma$ , so that  $I/\mathfrak{m}I$  is one dimensional, where

$$\mathfrak{m} = \sum k \cdot \chi^u.$$

It follows that  $I = A_\sigma \chi^u$ , so that  $D = (\chi^u)$  is principal. In particular, the only Cartier divisors are the principal divisors and  $X$  is factorial if and only if the Class group is trivial.

**Example 2.8.** *The quadric cone  $Q$ , given by  $xy - z^2 = 0$  in  $\mathbb{A}_k^3$  is not factorial. We have already seen (1.13) that the class group is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .*

If  $\sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$  is not maximal dimensional then every Cartier divisor on  $U_\sigma$  whose associated Weil divisor is invariant is of the form  $(\chi^u)$  but

$$(\chi^u) = (\chi^{u'}) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad u - u' \in \sigma^\perp \cap M = M(\sigma).$$

So the  $T$ -Cartier divisors are in correspondence with  $M/M(\sigma)$ .

Now suppose that  $X = X(F)$  is a general toric variety. Then a  $T$ -Cartier divisor is given by specifying an element  $u(\sigma) \in M/M(\sigma)$ , for every cone  $\sigma$  in  $F$ . This defines a divisor  $(\chi^{-u(\sigma)})$ ; equivalently a fractional ideal

$$I = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) = A_\sigma \cdot \chi^{u(\sigma)}.$$

These maps must agree on overlaps; if  $\tau$  is a face of  $\sigma$  then  $u(\sigma) \in M/M(\sigma)$  must map to  $u(\tau) \in M/M(\tau)$ .

The data

$$\{ u(\sigma) \in M/M(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in F \},$$

for a  $T$ -Cartier divisor  $D$  determines a continuous piecewise linear function  $\phi_D$  on the support  $|F|$  of  $F$ . If  $v \in \sigma$  then let

$$\phi_D(v) = \langle u(\sigma), v \rangle.$$

Compatibility of the data implies that  $\phi_D$  is well-defined and continuous. Conversely, given any continuous function  $\phi$ , which is linear and integral (given by an element of  $M$ ) on each cone, we can associate a unique  $T$ -Cartier divisor  $D$ . If  $D = a_i D_i$  the function is given by  $\phi_D(v_i) = -a_i$ .

Note that

$$\phi_D + \phi_E = \phi_{D+E} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{mD} = m\phi_D.$$

Note also that  $\phi_{(\chi^u)}$  is the linear function given by  $u$ . So  $D$  and  $E$  are linearly equivalent if and only if  $\phi_D$  and  $\phi_E$  differ by a linear function.

If  $X$  is any variety which satisfies  $(*)$  then the natural map

$$\text{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Cl}(X),$$

is an embedding. It is interesting to compare  $\text{Pic}(X)$  and  $\text{Cl}(X)$  on a toric variety. Denote by  $\text{Div}_T(X)$  the group of  $T$ -Cartier divisors.

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $X = X(F)$  be the toric variety associated to a fan  $F$  which spans  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Then there is a commutative diagram with exact rows:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & \text{Div}_T(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}(X) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^s & \longrightarrow & \text{Cl}(X) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

*In particular*

$$\rho(X) = \text{rank}(\text{Pic}(X)) \leq \text{rank}(\text{Cl}(X)) = s - n.$$

*Further  $\text{Pic}(X)$  is a free abelian group.*

*Proof.* We have already seen that the bottom row is exact. If  $\mathcal{L}$  is an invertible sheaf then  $\mathcal{L}|_U$  is trivial. Suppose that  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X(E)$ . Pick a rational function such that  $(f)|_U = E|_U$ . Let  $D = E - (f)$ . Then  $D$  is  $T$ -Cartier and exactness of the top row is easy.

Finally,  $\text{Pic}(X)$  is subgroup of the direct sum of  $M/M(\sigma)$  and each of these is a lattice, whence  $\text{Pic}(X)$  is torsion free.  $\square$