## 19. Čech Cohomology

We would like to have a way to compute sheaf cohomology. Let X be a topological space and let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$  be an open cover, which is locally finite. The group of k-cochains is

$$C^{k}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{I} \Gamma(U_{I},\mathcal{F}),$$

where I runs over all (k + 1)-tuples of indices and

$$U_I = \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i,$$

denotes intersection. k-cochains are skew-commutative, so that if we switch two indices we get a sign change.

Define a coboundary map

$$\delta^k \colon C^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow C^{k+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$$

Given  $\sigma = (\sigma_I)$ , we have to construct  $\tau = \delta(\sigma) \in C^{k+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ . We just need to determine the components  $\tau_J$  of  $\tau$ . Now  $J = \{j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_k\}$ . If we drop an index, then we get a k-tuple. We define

$$\tau_J = \left. \left( \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \sigma_{J-\{i_i\}} \right) \right|_{U_J}$$

The key point is that  $\delta^2 = 0$ . So we can take cohomology

$$\check{H}^{i}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{F}) = Z^{i}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{F})/B^{i}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{F}).$$

Here  $Z^i$  denotes the group of *i*-cocycles, those elements killed by  $\delta^i$  and  $B^i$  denotes the group of coboundaries, those cochains which are in the image of  $\delta^{i-1}$ . Note that  $\delta^i(B^i) = \delta^i \delta^{i-1}(C^{i-1}) = 0$ , so that  $B^i \subset Z^i$ .

The problem is that this is not enough. Perhaps our open cover is not fine enough to capture all the interesting cohomology. A **refinement** of the open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover  $\mathcal{V}$ , together with a map h between the indexing sets, such that if  $V_j$  is an open subset of the refinement, then for the index i = h(j), we have  $V_j \subset U_i$ . It is straightforward to check that there are maps,

$$\check{H}^{i}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{F})\longrightarrow\check{H}^{i}(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{F}),$$

on cohomology. Taking the (direct) limit, we get the Čech cohomology groups,

$$\dot{H}^{i}(X,\mathcal{F}).$$

For example, consider the case i = 0. Given a cover, a cochain is just a collection of sections,  $(\sigma_i), \sigma_i \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{F})$ . This cochain is a cocycle if  $(\sigma_i - \sigma_j)|_{U_{ij}} = 0$  for every *i* and *j*. By the sheaf axiom, this means that there is a global section  $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$ , so that in fact

$$H^0(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(X,\mathcal{F}).$$

It is also sometimes possible to untwist the definition of  $\check{H}^1$ . A 1-cocycle is precisely the data of a collection

$$(\sigma_{ij}) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}),$$

such that

$$\sigma_{ij} - \sigma_{ik} + \sigma_{jk} = 0.$$

In general of course, one does not want to compute these things using limits. The question is how fine does the cover have to be to compute the cohomology? As a first guess one might require that

$$H^{i}(U_{j},\mathcal{F})=0,$$

for all j, and i > 0. In other words there is no cohomology on each open subset. But this is not enough. One needs instead the slightly stronger condition that

$$\check{H}^i(U_I,\mathcal{F})=0.$$

**Theorem 19.1** (Leray). If X is a topological space and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf of abelian groups and  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover such that

$$\check{H}^i(U_I,\mathcal{F})=0,$$

for all i > 0 and indices I, then in fact the natural map

$$\dot{H}^{i}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{F})\simeq\dot{H}^{i}(X,\mathcal{F}),$$

is an isomorphism.

Finally, we need to construct the coboundary maps. Suppose that we are given a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0.$$

We want to define

$$\check{H}^{i}(X,\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \check{H}^{i+1}(X,\mathcal{F}).$$

Cheating a little, we may assume that we have a commutative diagram with exact rows,

Suppose we start with an element  $t \in \check{H}^{i}(X, \mathcal{H})$ . Then t is the image of  $t' \in \check{H}^{i}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H})$ , for some open cover  $\mathcal{U}$ . In turn t' is represented by  $\tau \in Z^{i}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H})$ . Now we may suppose our cover is sufficiently fine, so that  $\tau_{I} \in \Gamma(U_{I}, \mathcal{H})$  is the image of  $\sigma_{I} \in \Gamma(U_{I}, \mathcal{G})$  (and this fixes the cheat). Applying the boundary map, we get  $\delta(\sigma) \in C^{i+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G})$ . Now the image of  $\delta(\sigma)$  in  $C^{i+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H})$  is the same as  $\delta(\tau)$ , which is zero, as  $\tau$  is a cocycle. But then by exactness of the bottom rows, we get  $\rho \in C^{i+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ . It is straightforward to check that  $\rho$  is a cocycle, so that we get an element  $r' \in \check{H}^{i+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ , whence an element r of  $\check{H}^{i+1}(X, \mathcal{F})$ , and that r does not depend on the choice of  $\sigma$ .

One can check that Čech Cohomology coincides with sheaf cohomology. In the case of a scheme, we already know that it suffices to work with any cover  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $U_I$  is affine. From now on, we won't bother to distinguish between sheaf cohomology and Čech Cohomology.