

/home/m262f99/A=B/Maple/zdemo1.mws

# Math 262a, Fall 1999, Glenn Tesler

## Zeilberger's Algorithm demo

### 10/17/99

>

> read EKHAD;

*Version of Feb 25, 1999*

*This version is much faster than previous versions, thanks to  
a remark of Frederic Chyzak. We thank him SO MUCH!*

*The penultimate version, Feb. 1997,  
corrected a subtle bug discovered by Helmut Prodinger  
Previous versions benefited from comments by Paula Cohen,  
Lyle Ramshaw, and Bob Sulanke.*

*This is EKHAD, One of the Maple packages  
accompanying the book*

*"A=B"*

*(published by A.K. Peters, Welesley, 1996)*

*by Marko Petkovsek, Herb Wilf, and Doron Zeilberger.*

*The most current version is available on WWW at:*

*<http://www.math.temple.edu/~zeilberg> .*

*Information about the book, and how to order it, can be found in*

*<http://www.central.cis.upenn.edu/~wilf/AeqB.html> .*

*Please report all bugs to: [zeilberg@math.temple.edu](mailto:zeilberg@math.temple.edu) .*

*All bugs or other comments used will be acknowledged in future  
versions.*

*For general help, and a list of the available functions,  
type "ezra();" . For specific help type "ezra(procedure\_name)"*

> ezra();

*EKHAD*

*A Maple package for proving Hypergemetric (Binomial Coeff.)  
and other kinds of identities*

*This version (Feb, 25, 1999) is much faster than the previous version, thanks to a SLIGHT (yet POWERFUL) modification suggested by*

*FREDERIC CHYZAK*

*For help with a specific procedure, type "ezra(procedure\_name);"*

*Contains procedures:*

*findrec,ct,zeil,zeilpap,zeillim,AZd,AZc,AZpapd,AZpapc,celine*

[ > ?zeilpap

[ > ezra(zeilpap);

*zeilpap(SUMMAND,k,n) or zeilpap(SUMMAND,k,n,NAME,REF)*

*Just like zeil but writes a paper with the proof*

*NAME and REF are optional name and reference*

*Warning: It assumes that the definite summation w.r.t. k extends over all k where it is non-zero, and that it is zero*

*for other k*

*For non-natural summation limits, use zeillim*

[ > ezra(zeil);

*Like ct,*

*this is a Maple implementation of the algorithm described in Ch. 6 of the book A=B, first proposed in : D. Zeilberger, "The method of*

*But it is not necessary to guess the ORDER*

*zeil(SUMMAND,k,n,N) or zeil(SUMMAND,k,n,N,MAXORDER) or*

*zeil(SUMMAND,k,n,N,MAXORDER,parameter\_list)*

*finds a linear recurrence equation for SUMMAND, with*

*polynomial coefficients*

*of ORDER<=MAXORDER, where the default of MAXORDER is 6*

*in the parameter n, the shift operator in n being N*

*of the form ope(N,n)SUMMAND=G(n,k+1)-G(n,k)*

*where G(n,k):=R(n,k)\*SUMMAND, and R(n,k) is the 2nd item of output.*

*The output is ope(N,n),R(n,k) .*

*For example zeil(binomial(n,k),k,n,N) would yield*

*N-2, k/(k-n-1)*

*in which N-2 is the "ope" operator, and k/(k-n-1) is R(n,k)*

*SUMMAND should be a product of factorials and/or binomial coeffs*

and/or rising factorials, where  $(a)_k$  is denoted by  $rf(a,k)$   
and/or powers in  $k$  and  $n$ , and, optionally, a polynomial factor.

The last optional parameter, is the list of other parameters,  
if present. Giving them causes considerable speedup. For example  
`zeil(binomial(n,k)*binomial(a,k)*binomial(b,k),k,n,N,6,[a,b])`

>

>

> `zeil(binomial(n,k),k,n,En);`

$$-2 + En, \frac{k}{-n - 1 + k}$$

> `zeilpap(binomial(n,k),k,n);`

A PROOF OF A RECURRENCE

By Shalosh B. Ekhad, Temple University, ekhad@math.temple.edu

Theorem: Let  $F(n,k)$  be given by

$$\text{binomial}(n, k)$$

and let  $SUM(n)$  be the sum of  $F(n,k)$  with respect to  $k$ .

$SUM(n)$  satisfies the following linear recurrence equation

$$\begin{aligned} -2 \text{SUM}(n) + \text{SUM}(n+1) \\ = 0. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: We cleverly construct  $G(n,k) :=$

$$\frac{k \text{binomial}(n, k)}{-n - 1 + k}$$

with the motive that

$$\begin{aligned} -2 F(n, k) + F(n+1, k) \\ = G(n, k+1) - G(n, k) \quad (\text{check!}) \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem follows upon summing with respect to  $k$ . QED.

Let's verify it:

```
> FF := (n,k) -> binomial(n,k);
GG := (n,k) -> k*binomial(n,k)/(-n-1+k);
lh := -2*FF(n,k)+FF(n+1,k);
rh := GG(n,k+1)-GG(n,k);
```

$$FF := \text{binomial}$$

$$GG := (n, k) \rightarrow \frac{k \text{binomial}(n, k)}{-n - 1 + k}$$

$$lh := -2 \binom{n}{k} + \binom{n+1}{k}$$

$$rh := \frac{(k+1) \binom{n}{k+1}}{-n+k} - \frac{k \binom{n}{k}}{-n-1+k}$$

>

Dividing through by  $F(n,k)$  and simplifying gives rational functions on both sides.

> lh := sumtools[simpcomb](lh/FF(n,k));

rh := sumtools[simpcomb](rh/FF(n,k));

$$lh := -\frac{-n-1+2k}{-n-1+k}$$

$$rh := -\frac{-n-1+2k}{-n-1+k}$$

> simplify(lh-rh);

0

>

>

>

> zeilpap(binomial(n,k)^2,k,n);

A PROOF OF A RECURRENCE

By Shalosh B. Ekhad, Temple University, ekhad@math.temple.edu

Theorem: Let  $F(n,k)$  be given by

$$\binom{n}{k}^2$$

and let  $SUM(n)$  be the sum of  $F(n,k)$  with respect to  $k$ .

$SUM(n)$  satisfies the following linear recurrence equation

$$(-4n-2)SUM(n) + (n+1)SUM(n+1) = 0.$$

PROOF: We cleverly construct  $G(n,k) :=$

$$\frac{(-3n-3+2k)k^2 \binom{n}{k}^2}{(-n-1+k)^2}$$

with the motive that

$$(-4n-2)F(n,k) + (n+1)F(n+1,k) = G(n,k+1) - G(n,k) \quad (\text{check!})$$

and the theorem follows upon summing with respect to  $k$ . QED.

> zeilpap(binomial(n,k)^3,k,n);

A PROOF OF A RECURRENCE

By Shalosh B. Ekhad, Temple University, ekhad@math.temple.edu

Theorem: Let  $F(n,k)$  be given by

$$\text{binomial}(n, k)^3$$

and let  $SUM(n)$  be the sum of  $F(n,k)$  with respect to  $k$ .

$SUM(n)$  satisfies the following linear recurrence equation

$$\begin{aligned} -8(n+1)^2 SUM(n) + (-7n^2 - 21n - 16) SUM(n+1) + (n+2)^2 SUM(n+2) \\ = 0. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: We cleverly construct  $G(n,k) :=$

$$(n^2 + 2n + 1)$$

$$(-14n^3 - 74n^2 - 128n - 72 + 78k + 27n^2k + 93nk - 18nk^2 - 30k^2 + 4k^3)k^3$$

$$\text{binomial}(n, k)^3 / ((-n-1+k)^3 (-n-2+k)^3)$$

with the motive that

$$\begin{aligned} -8(n+1)^2 F(n, k) + (-7n^2 - 21n - 16) F(n+1, k) + (n+2)^2 F(n+2, k) \\ = G(n, k+1) - G(n, k) \quad (\text{check!}) \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem follows upon summing with respect to  $k$ . QED.

>

### Gauss's 2F1 identity

$$> \text{zeilpap}(\text{GAMMA}(k-n) * \text{GAMMA}(k+b) / (\text{GAMMA}(k+c) * k!), k, n);$$

### A PROOF OF A RECURRENCE

By Shalosh B. Ekhad, Temple University, ekhad@math.temple.edu

Theorem: Let  $F(n,k)$  be given by

$$\frac{\Gamma(-n+k) \Gamma(k+b)}{\Gamma(k+c) k!}$$

and let  $SUM(n)$  be the sum of  $F(n,k)$  with respect to  $k$ .

$SUM(n)$  satisfies the following linear recurrence equation

$$\begin{aligned} (-n+b-c) SUM(n) - (n+1)(n+c) SUM(n+1) \\ = 0. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: We cleverly construct  $G(n,k) :=$

$$\frac{(k-1+c)k \Gamma(-n+k) \Gamma(k+b)}{(-n-1+k) \Gamma(k+c) k!}$$

with the motive that

$$= \frac{(-n + b - c) F(n, k) - (n + 1) (n + c) F(n + 1, k)}{G(n, k+1) - G(n, k)} \quad (\text{check!})$$

and the theorem follows upon summing with respect to  $k$ . QED.

Bailey's 4F3. Last identity on Koepf p. 84.

$$> \text{zeilpap}(\text{GAMMA}(k+a) * \text{GAMMA}(k+1+a/2) * \text{GAMMA}(k+b) * \text{GAMMA}(k-n) / \\ (\text{GAMMA}(k+a/2) * \text{GAMMA}(k+1+a-b) * \text{GAMMA}(2+2*b-n)), k, n);$$

A PROOF OF A RECURRENCE

By Shalosh B. Ekhad, Temple University, ekhad@math.temple.edu

Theorem: Let  $F(n, k)$  be given by

$$\frac{\Gamma(k+a) \Gamma\left(k+1+\frac{1}{2}a\right) \Gamma(k+b) \Gamma(-n+k)}{\Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}a\right) \Gamma(k+1+a-b) \Gamma(2+2b-n)}$$

and let  $\text{SUM}(n)$  be the sum of  $F(n, k)$  with respect to  $k$ .

$\text{SUM}(n)$  satisfies the following linear recurrence equation

$$\begin{aligned} & (n-2b+1)(n-2b)(n-2b-1)(2na+a^2+6a-4)\text{SUM}(n) - (n-2b+1) \\ & (n-2b) \\ & (4n^2a+4na^2+2nab+a^3+a^2b+18na+9a^2+6ab-8n+14a-4b-16) \\ & \text{SUM}(n+1) + (n-2b+1)(2n^3a+3n^2a^2+2n^2ab+na^3+3na^2b+a^3b \\ & +14n^2a+14na^2+10nab+2a^3+8a^2b-4n^2+26na-4nb+15a^2+8ab \\ & -20n+8a-12b-20)\text{SUM}(n+2) \\ & + (2na+a^2+4a-4)(n+a-b+3)\text{SUM}(n+3) \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: We cleverly construct  $G(n, k) :=$

$$\begin{aligned} & (n-2b-1)(n^2-4nb+n+4b^2-2b) \\ & (2na^2+4a^2+a^3-16a+2ka^2+12ka+4nka-8k-4na)(k+a-b) \\ & \Gamma(k+a) \Gamma\left(k+1+\frac{1}{2}a\right) \Gamma(k+b) \Gamma(-n+k) \Big/ \left( (-n-1+k)(2k+a) \right. \\ & \left. (-n-2+k)(-n-3+k) \Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}a\right) \Gamma(k+1+a-b) \Gamma(2+2b-n) \right) \end{aligned}$$

with the motive that

$$(n-2b+1)(n-2b)(n-2b-1)(2na+a^2+6a-4)F(n, k) - (n-2b+1)$$

$(n - 2b)$

$(4n^2a + 4na^2 + 2nab + a^3 + a^2b + 18na + 9a^2 + 6ab - 8n + 14a - 4b - 16)$

$F(n + 1, k) + (n - 2b + 1)(2n^3a + 3n^2a^2 + 2n^2ab + na^3 + 3na^2b + a^3b$

$+ 14n^2a + 14na^2 + 10nab + 2a^3 + 8a^2b - 4n^2 + 26na - 4nb + 15a^2 + 8ab$

$- 20n + 8a - 12b - 20)F(n + 2, k)$

$+ (2na + a^2 + 4a - 4)(n + a - b + 3)F(n + 3, k)$

=  $G(n, k+1) - G(n, k)$  (check!)

and the theorem follows upon summing with respect to  $k$ . QED.

> AZpapd(1/(1-x)/x^(n+1), x, n);

A PROOF OF A LINEAR RECURRENCE SATISFIED BY AN INTEGRAL

By Shalosh B. Ekhad, Temple University, ekhad@math.temple.edu

I will give a short proof of the following result.

Theorem: Let  $F(n, x)$  be given by

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)x^{(n+1)}}$$

and let  $\text{INTEGRAL}(n)$  be the integral of  $F(n, x)$  with respect to  $x$ .

$\text{INTEGRAL}(n)$  satisfies the following linear recurrence equation

$$\begin{aligned} (-n - 1) \text{INTEGRAL}(n) + (n + 1) \text{INTEGRAL}(n + 1) \\ = 0. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: We cleverly construct  $G(n, x) :=$

$$\frac{-1 + x}{(1-x)x^{(n+1)}}$$

with the motive that

$$\begin{aligned} (-n - 1) F(n, x) + (n + 1) F(n + 1, x) \\ = \text{diff}(G(n, x), x) \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem follows upon integrating with respect to  $x$ . QED.

>