

/home/m262f99/KOEPF/worksheetsV.4/gosperdemo.mws

Math 262a, Fall 1999, Glenn Tesler

Gosper Sum demo

10/17/99

> restart;

This tells Maple to print out extra info as it does the computation

> infolevel[sum] := 3;

*infolevel*_{sum} := 3

> sum(k^2, k);

sum/indefnew: indefinite summation
sum/indefnew: indefinite summation finished

$$\frac{1}{3}k^3 - \frac{1}{2}k^2 + \frac{1}{6}k$$

> with(sumtools);

[*Hypersum, Sumtohyper, extended_gosper, gosper, hyperrecursion, hypersum, hyperterm, simpcomb, sumrecursion, sumtohyper*]

Koepf wrote this routine that comes with Maple V.4, so the notation agrees with his book.

> sumtools[gosper](k^2, k);

sumtools[gosper] a(k)/a(k -1):= k^2/(k-1)^2
sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm applicable
sumtools[gosper] p:= k^2
sumtools[gosper] q:= 1
sumtools[gosper] r:= 1
sumtools[gosper] degreebound:= 3
sumtools[gosper] solving equations to find f
sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm successful
sumtools[gosper] f:= 1/6*k*(k+1)*(2*k+1)

$$\frac{1}{6}(k-1)k(2k-1)$$

> sumtools[gosper](k!, k);

sumtools[gosper] a(k)/a(k -1):= k
sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm applicable
sumtools[gosper] p:= 1
sumtools[gosper] q:= k
sumtools[gosper] r:= 1
sumtools[gosper] degreebound:= -1
sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm: no closed form antidifference exists

FAIL

> sumtools[gosper](k*k!, k);

sumtools[gosper] a(k)/a(k -1):= k^2/(k-1)

```

sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm applicable
sumtools[gosper] p:= k
sumtools[gosper] q:= k
sumtools[gosper] r:= 1
sumtools[gosper] degreebound:= 0
sumtools[gosper] solving equations to find f
sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm successful
sumtools[gosper] f:= 1

```

$$k!$$

```

> sumtools[gosper](binomial(n,k),k);
sumtools[gosper] a( k )/a( k -1):= -(-n+k-1)/k
sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm applicable
sumtools[gosper] p:= 1
sumtools[gosper] q:= n-k+1
sumtools[gosper] r:= k
sumtools[gosper] degreebound:= -1
sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm: no closed form antidifference exists

```

FAIL

```

> sumtools[gosper](binomial(n,k)*(-1)^k,k);
sumtools[gosper] a( k )/a( k -1):= (-n+k-1)/k
sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm applicable
sumtools[gosper] p:= 1
sumtools[gosper] q:= -n+k-1
sumtools[gosper] r:= k
sumtools[gosper] degreebound:= 0
sumtools[gosper] solving equations to find f
sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm successful
sumtools[gosper] f:= -1/n

```

$$-\frac{k \operatorname{binomial}(n, k) (-1)^k}{n}$$

```

> sumtools[gosper]((4*k+1)*k!/(2*k+1)!,k);
sumtools[gosper] a( k )/a( k -1):= 1/2*(4*k+1)/(4*k-3)/(2*k+1)
sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm applicable
sumtools[gosper] p:= 4*k+1
sumtools[gosper] q:= 1
sumtools[gosper] r:= 4*k+2
sumtools[gosper] degreebound:= 0
sumtools[gosper] solving equations to find f
sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm successful
sumtools[gosper] f:= -1

```

$$-2 \frac{(2k+1)k!}{(2k+1)!}$$

Attempt the inverse problem: verify that this function at k minus at $k-1$ gives the summand.

```

> sumk := k -> -k*binomial(n,k)*(-1)^k/n;

```

$$\operatorname{sumk} := k \rightarrow -\frac{k \operatorname{binomial}(n, k) (-1)^k}{n}$$

```

> dsumk := simplify(sumk(k)-sumk(k-1));

```

$$dsumk := - \frac{(-1)^k (k \text{binomial}(n, k) + \text{binomial}(n, k-1) k - \text{binomial}(n, k-1))}{n}$$

> expand(");

$$- \frac{k \text{binomial}(n, k) (-1)^k}{n} - \frac{(-1)^k k^2 \text{binomial}(n, k)}{n(n-k+1)} + \frac{(-1)^k k \text{binomial}(n, k)}{n(n-k+1)}$$

> simplify(");

$$\frac{k \text{binomial}(n, k) (-1)^k}{-n+k-1}$$

> combine(");

$$\frac{k \text{binomial}(n, k) (-1)^k}{-n+k-1}$$

Maple is stubborn, many commands may have to be used to get it to the form we want.

> convert(" , GAMMA);

$$\frac{k \Gamma(n+1) (-1)^k}{\Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(n-k+1) (-n+k-1)}$$

> combine(");

$$\frac{k \Gamma(n+1) (-1)^k}{\Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(n-k+1) (-n+k-1)}$$

> simplify(");

$$- \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-k+2) \Gamma(k)}$$

> convert(" , binomial);

$$-(-1)^k \text{binomial}(n, k-1)$$

=====

=====

>

> sumtools[gosper](k!/k^2, k);

```
sumtools[gosper] a( k )/a( k -1):= 1/k*(k-1)^2
sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm applicable
sumtools[gosper] p:= 1
sumtools[gosper] q:= (k-1)^2
sumtools[gosper] r:= k
sumtools[gosper] degreebound:= -2
sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm: no closed form antidifference exists
```

FAIL

> sumtools[gosper]((k-3)*k!, k);

```
sumtools[gosper] a( k )/a( k -1):= (k-3)/(k-4)*k
sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm applicable
```

```

sumtools[gosper] p:= k-3
sumtools[gosper] q:= k
sumtools[gosper] r:= 1
sumtools[gosper] degreebound:= 0
sumtools[gosper] solving equations to find f
sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm: no closed form antidifference exists

```

FAIL

A randomly chosen summand as above will not always be gosper-summable, but if we start with a hypergeometric sum $s(k)$ and compute the difference $a(k)=s(k+1)-s(k)$, then $s(k) = \text{const} + \text{sum up to } k-1 \text{ of } a(k)$, so we're guaranteed success.

```
> sk := (k^2+2*k+5)*(2*k+3)!/(3*k+4)!;
```

$$sk := \frac{(k^2 + 2k + 5)(2k + 3)!}{(3k + 4)!}$$

```
> ak := simplify(subs(k=k+1,sk)-sk);
```

$$ak := -\frac{1}{3} \frac{(158k^3 + 430k^2 + 678k + 445 + 27k^4)\Gamma(2k + 4)}{(3k + 7)\Gamma(3k + 6)}$$

```
> sumtools[gosper](ak,k);
```

```

sumtools[gosper] a( k )/a( k -1):= 2/3*(2*k+3)*(158*k^3+430*k^2+678*k
+445+27*k^4)/(50*k^3+118*k^2+184*k+66+27*k^4)/(3*k+5)/(3*k+7)
sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm applicable
sumtools[gosper] p:= 158*k^3+430*k^2+678*k+445+27*k^4
sumtools[gosper] q:= 4*k+6
sumtools[gosper] r:= 3*(3*k+7)*(3*k+5)
sumtools[gosper] degreebound:= 2
sumtools[gosper] solving equations to find f
sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm successful
sumtools[gosper] f:= -8-4*k-k^2

```

$$\frac{(3k + 5)(k^2 + 2k + 5)\Gamma(2k + 4)}{\Gamma(3k + 6)}$$

```
> sum(ak,k);
```

```

sum/indefnew: indefinite summation
sum/extgosper: applying Gosper algorithm to a( k ):= -1/3*(158*k^3+430*k^2
+678*k+445+27*k^4)/(3*k+7)/GAMMA(3*k+6)*GAMMA(2*k+4)
sum/gospernew: a( k )/a( k -1):= 2/3*(2*k+3)*(158*k^3+430*k^2+678*k+4
45+27*k^4)/(50*k^3+118*k^2+184*k+66+27*k^4)/(3*k+5)/(3*k+7)
sum/gospernew: Gosper's algorithm applicable
sum/gospernew: p:= 158*k^3+430*k^2+678*k+445+27*k^4
sum/gospernew: q:= 4*k+6
sum/gospernew: r:= 3*(3*k+7)*(3*k+5)
sum/gospernew: degreebound:= 2
sum/gospernew: solving equations to find f
sum/gospernew: Gosper's algorithm successful
sum/gospernew: f:= -8-4*k-k^2
sum/indefnew: indefinite summation finished

```

$$\frac{(3k + 5)(k^2 + 2k + 5)\Gamma(2k + 4)}{\Gamma(3k + 6)}$$

```
> sumtools[gosper](1/(k*(k+1)),k);
```

```

sumtools[gosper] a( k )/a( k -1):= 1/(k+1)*(k-1)
sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm applicable
sumtools[gosper] p:= 1
sumtools[gosper] q:= k-1
sumtools[gosper] r:= k+1
sumtools[gosper] degreebound:= 1
sumtools[gosper] solving equations to find f
sumtools[gosper] Gosper's algorithm successful
sumtools[gosper] f:= -1

```

$$\frac{-k-1}{k(k+1)}$$

```
> simplify(");
```

$$-\frac{1}{k}$$

q version

```
> read `qsum.mpl`;
```

Copyright 1998, Harald Boeing & Wolfram Koepf

Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum Berlin

```
> qgosper((-1)^k * q^binomial(k,2) *
qbinomial(n,k,q),q,k);
```

$$-\frac{(-1+q^k)(-1)^k q^{\text{binomial}(k,2)} q\text{binomial}(n,k,q)}{q^n-1}$$

```
> qsk := ":
```

This means:

```
> Sum((-1)^t * q^binomial(t,2) *
qbinomial(n,t,q),t=c..k-1)=qsk;
```

$$\sum_{t=c}^{k-1} (-1)^t q^{\text{binomial}(t,2)} q\text{binomial}(n,t,q) =$$

$$-\frac{(-1+q^k)(-1)^k q^{\text{binomial}(k,2)} q\text{binomial}(n,k,q)}{q^n-1}$$

Check it: make sure the difference in the supposed sum at k+1 & k is the original summand

```
>
```

```
> qd:=qsimplify(subs(k=k+1,qsk)-qsk);
```

$$qd := \frac{(q^n-1) \text{qpochhammer}\left(\frac{1}{q^n}, q, k\right) q^{(nk)} \text{qpochhammer}(q^k, q, n)}{(-1+q^k) \text{qpochhammer}(q^n, q, k) \text{qpochhammer}(q, q, n)}$$

```
> Fnk := (-1)^k * q^binomial(k,2) * qbinomial(n,k,q);
```

$$Fnk := (-1)^k q^{\text{binomial}(k,2)} q\text{binomial}(n, k, q)$$

> `qsimplify(");`

$$\frac{\text{qpochhammer}\left(\frac{1}{q^n}, q, k\right) q^{(nk)}}{\text{qpochhammer}(q, q, k)}$$

It represented them differently, so we can't tell by inspection... so try again.

> `qsimplify(Fnk-qd);`

0

What are the "p,q,r"? Call them "P,Q,R" instead, due to the double use of Q:

> `trace(qgosper);`

qgosper

> `qgosper(Fnk, q, k);`

`{--> enter qgosper, args = (-1)^k*q^binomial(k,2)*qbinomial(n,k,q), q, k`

`(other trace information deleted; K stands for q^k)`

$$PQR := [1, K - q^n, -1 + q K]$$

`<-- exit qgosper (now at top level) =`

`-(-1+q^k)/(q^n-1)*(-1)^k*q^binomial(k,2)*qbinomial(n,k,q)}`

$$-\frac{(-1+q^k)(-1)^k q^{\text{binomial}(k,2)} q\text{binomial}(n, k, q)}{q^n - 1}$$

> `untrace(qgosper);`

qgosper

>

Now try the q-binomial theorem, series form, truncated:

> `Sum(qpochhammer(a, q, k1)/qpochhammer(q, q, k1)*x^k1, k1=0..k-1) = '?';`

$$\sum_{k1=0}^{k-1} \frac{\text{qpochhammer}(a, q, k1) x^{k1}}{\text{qpochhammer}(q, q, k1)} = ?$$

> `qgosper(qpochhammer(a, q, k)/qpochhammer(q, q, k)*x^k, q, k);`

Error, (in qgosper) No q-hypergeometric antidifference exists.

>

So we can't truncate the series. Can we do the infinite sum?

> `Sum(qpochhammer(a, q, k)/qpochhammer(q, q, k)*x^k, k=0..infinity) = qpochhammer(a*x, q, infinity)/qpochhammer(x, q, infinity) * '?';`

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{qpochhammer}(a, q, k) x^k}{\text{qpochhammer}(q, q, k)} = \frac{\text{qpochhammer}(a x, q, \infty)}{\text{qpochhammer}(x, q, \infty)}$$

```
[ > qkfreerec(qpochhammer(a, q, k) / qpochhammer(q, q, k) * x^k, q, k,
n, 0, 1);
```

$$-a_{0,1} F(n, k) + a_{0,1} F(1 + n, k) = 0$$

```
[ Oops, there's no n! So try it for the special case a=q^n.
```

```
[ >
```

```
[ > qgosper(qpochhammer(q^n, q, k) / qpochhammer(q, q, k) * x^k, q, k)
;
```

```
[ Error, (in qgosper) No q-hypergeometric antidifference exists.
```

```
[ So we still can't do the indefinite truncated sum. Use Sister Celine's algorithm to do
the infinite sum instead.
```

```
[ >
```

```
[ > qkfreerec(qpochhammer(q^n, q, k) / qpochhammer(q, q, k), q, k, n,
1, 1);
```

$$-a_{1,1} q^n F(1 + n, k) - a_{1,1} F(n, k + 1) + a_{1,1} F(1 + n, k + 1) = 0$$

```
[ > qfasenmyer(qpochhammer(q^n, q, k) / qpochhammer(q, q, k) * x^k, q,
k, s(n), 1, 1);
```

$$(x q^n - 1) s(1 + n) + s(n) = 0$$

```
[ > rsolve(", s(n));
```

```
sum/indefnew: indefinite summation
```

```
sum/extgosper: applying Gosper algorithm to a( _n1 ):= ln(x*q^_n1-1)
```

```
sum/gospernew: a( _n1 )/a( _n1 -1):= -ln(x*q^_n1-1)/(-ln(x*q^_n1-q)+1
n(q))
```

```
sum/gospernew: is not rational
```

```
sum/gospernew: Gosper's algorithm not applicable
```

```
sum/indefnew: indefinite summation finished
```

$$(-1)^n \left(\prod_{nl=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{x q^{-nl} - 1} \right) s(0)$$

```
[ (we never turned off involvel[sum]:=3)
```

```
[ The initial value s(0) has to be computed separately. Completing this is nearly as hard
as the "humanoid" proof we gave before.
```

```
[ >
```