

Math 262a, Fall 1999, Glenn Tesler

Using commutative Grobner bases to solve a system of polynomial equations via elimination ideals

12/2/99

```
> restart;  
> with(Groebner): with(Mgfun): with(Holonomy):  
with(Ore_algebra):
```

Example 1

We want to solve the following system of equations. Rewrite each equation $A=B$ as $A-B=0$, and then form an ideal of the $A-B$'s.

```
> eqs0 := { x+y^2+z^2 = 1 , x^2+y+z^2 = 1 , x^2+y^2+z = 1  
};  
eqs := map(eq -> lhs(eq)-rhs(eq) , eqs0);  
eqs0 := { x + y^2 + z^2 = 1, x^2 + y + z^2 = 1, x^2 + y^2 + z = 1 }  
eqs := { x^2 + y + z^2 - 1, x^2 + y^2 + z - 1, x + y^2 + z^2 - 1 }
```

To solve it the traditional way, we would try to substitute, say, x in terms of y and z , to get equations only involving y and z ; then we would try to substitute, say, y in terms of z , to get equations only involving z . Then we would solve for z , back substitute this into the yz equations and solve for y 's, and then back substitute this into the full equations and solve for x 's.

Each substitution step produces polynomials in x,y,z (or possibly radicals that can be turned into polynomials).

We construct a Grobner basis GB with lex order $x>y>z$, called an "elimination order". If there exists a polynomial $f(z)$ in $\langle eqs \rangle$ only containing z 's, its lead term is divisible by the lead term of some element g of GB ; the lead term of g is a power of z , and all other terms in g are smaller than this in lex order, hence also only contain z , so g is purely a function of z . In fact, a Grobner basis of $I[z]=\langle eqs \rangle \cap k[z]$, is given by $GB \cap k[z]$. Note this is not true of x or y , because if the lead term is a power of x , the smaller terms could involve y or z .

Continuing this way: let $I[z]$, $I[y,z]$, $I[x,y,z]$ be the subset of $I=\langle eqs \rangle$ only involving the indicated variables. Then a Grobner basis for $I[z]$ is $GB \cap k[z]$, etc.

```
> A := poly_algebra(x,y,z);
```

```

[
      A := Ore_algebra
> T := termorder(A,plex(x,y,z));
      T := term_order
[ Get the Grobner basis in lex order x>y>z:
> GB := gbasis(eqs,T);
GB := [-2 z^4 + 11 z^3 - 6 z^2 + 8 z^6 - 12 z^5 + z, z + 4 z^4 - z^2 + 4 y z^2 - 2 y z - 4 z^3,
      -y - z^2 + y^2 + z, x + y + 2 z^2 - z - 1]
> map(factor,GB);
[z(z-1)(z+1)(2z-1)^3, z(2z-1)(2z^2-z-1+2y), (y-1+z)(y-z),
      x+y+2z^2-z-1]
>
>
[ This induces Grobner bases for I[z] and I[y,z]:
> GBz := select(eq -> not(has(eq,y) or has(eq,x)), GB);
      GByz := select(eq -> not(has(eq,x)), GB);
      GBz := [-2 z^4 + 11 z^3 - 6 z^2 + 8 z^6 - 12 z^5 + z]
GByz := [-2 z^4 + 11 z^3 - 6 z^2 + 8 z^6 - 12 z^5 + z, z + 4 z^4 - z^2 + 4 y z^2 - 2 y z - 4 z^3,
      -y - z^2 + y^2 + z]
Solve for possible z's:
> zsols := {solve({op(GBz)},z)};
      zsols := {{z=1},{z=-1},{z=1/2},{z=0}}
For each found z, find all possible y's by using the equations only involving y and z.
Then for each potential (y,z), find all x's.
> sols := NULL:
  for zsol in zsols do
    lprint('Trying',zsol);
    ysols := {solve({op(subs(zsol,GByz))},y)};
    for ysol in ysols do
      lprint('  Trying',zsol,ysol);
      xsols := {solve({op(subs(zsol,ysol,GB))},x)};
      if xsols<>{} then
        print('Found solutions:',zsol,ysol,xsols);
        sols := sols, op(map(xsol ->
{op(xsol),op(ysol),op(zsol)},xsols)):
      fi
    od
  od;
od;

```

```

sols;
Trying {z = 1}
          ysols := { {y = 0} }
    Trying {z = 1} {y = 0}
          Found solutions:, {z = 1}, {y = 0}, { {x = 0} }
Trying {z = -1}
          ysols := { {y = -1} }
    Trying {z = -1} {y = -1}
          Found solutions:, {z = -1}, {y = -1}, { {x = -1} }
Trying {z = 1/2}
          ysols := { {y = 1/2} }
    Trying {z = 1/2} {y = 1/2}
          Found solutions:, {z = 1/2}, {y = 1/2}, { {x = 1/2} }
Trying {z = 0}
          ysols := { {y = 0}, {y = 1} }
    Trying {z = 0} {y = 0}
          Found solutions:, {z = 0}, {y = 0}, { {x = 1} }
    Trying {z = 0} {y = 1}
          Found solutions:, {z = 0}, {y = 1}, { {x = 0} }
{z = 1, y = 0, x = 0}, {z = -1, x = -1, y = -1}, {z = 1/2, y = 1/2, x = 1/2},
{y = 0, z = 0, x = 1}, {x = 0, z = 0, y = 1}
>

```

Example 2: equations not solvable by radicals

We begin with a system of equations similar to Example 1.

```

> eqs := { x^5+y^2+z^2-4, x^2+2*y^2-5, x*z-1 };
A := poly_algebra(x,y,z);
T := termorder(A,plex(x,y,z));
GB := gbasis(eqs,T);
          eqs := { x z - 1, x^5 + y^2 + z^2 - 4, x^2 + 2 y^2 - 5 }
          A := Ore_algebra
          T := term_order
          GB := [2 + 2 z^7 - 3 z^5 - z^3, 4 y^2 - 2 z^5 + 3 z^3 + z - 10, 2 x + 2 z^6 - 3 z^4 - z^2]
> GByz := select(eq -> not has(eq,x), GB);
GBz := select(eq -> not has(eq,y),GByz);

```

$$GByz := [2 + 2z^7 - 3z^5 - z^3, 4y^2 - 2z^5 + 3z^3 + z - 10]$$

$$GBz := [2 + 2z^7 - 3z^5 - z^3]$$

Solve for possible z's:

```
> zsols := {solve({op(GBz)}, z)};
```

```
zsols := {{z = RootOf(2_Z^6 + 2_Z^5 - _Z^4 - _Z^3 - 2_Z^2 - 2_Z - 2)}, {z = 1}}
```

This can't be solved by radicals! It could be done by numerical approximation, but that's anathema to a symbolic computation system, so Maple is able to work with symbolic solutions, i.e., it works in an extension field of the form $k[_Z]/(2_Z^6 + 2_Z^5 - _Z^4 - _Z^3 - 2_Z^2 - 2_Z - 2)$.

```
> sols := NULL:
```

```
for zsol in zsols do
```

```
  lprint('Trying', zsol);
```

```
  ysols := {solve({op(subs(zsol, GByz))}, y)};
```

```
  for ysol in ysols do
```

```
    lprint('  Trying', zsol, ysol);
```

```
    xsols := {solve({op(subs(zsol, ysol, GB))}, x)};
```

```
    if xsols <> {} then
```

```
      print('Found solutions:', zsol, ysol, xsols);
```

```
      sols := sols, op(map(xsol ->
```

```
{op(xsol), op(ysol), op(zsol)}, xsols));
```

```
    fi
```

```
  od
```

```
od;
```

```
'sols' = sols;
```

```
Trying {z = RootOf(2*_Z^6+2*_Z^5-_Z^4-_Z^3-2*_Z^2-2*_Z-2)}
```

$$ysols := \left\{ \left\{ y = \frac{1}{2} \text{RootOf}(_Z^2 - 2\%1^5 + 3\%1^3 + \%1 - 10) \right\} \right\}$$

$$\%1 := \text{RootOf}(2_Z^6 + 2_Z^5 - _Z^4 - _Z^3 - 2_Z^2 - 2_Z - 2)$$

```
Trying {z = RootOf(2*_Z^6+2*_Z^5-_Z^4-_Z^3-2*_Z^2-2*_Z-2)} {y = 1/2*RootOf(
_Z^2-2*RootOf(2*_Z^6+2*_Z^5-_Z^4-_Z^3-2*_Z^2-2*_Z-2)^5+3*RootOf(2*_Z^6+2*_Z^5-
_Z^4-_Z^3-2*_Z^2-2*_Z-2)^3+RootOf(2*_Z^6+2*_Z^5-_Z^4-_Z^3-2*_Z^2-2*_Z-2)-10)}
```

Found solutions:, {z = %1}, {y = $\frac{1}{2} \text{RootOf}(_Z^2 - 2\%1^5 + 3\%1^3 + \%1 - 10)$ },

$$\left\{ \left\{ x = -1 + \%1^5 + \%1^4 - \frac{1}{2}\%1^3 - \frac{1}{2}\%1^2 - \%1 \right\} \right\}$$

$$\%1 := \text{RootOf}(2_Z^6 + 2_Z^5 - _Z^4 - _Z^3 - 2_Z^2 - 2_Z - 2)$$

```
Trying {z = 1}
```

$$ysols := \left\{ \left\{ y = \text{RootOf}(_Z^2 - 2) \right\} \right\}$$

```
Trying {z = 1} {y = RootOf(_Z^2-2)}
```

Found solutions:, $\{z = 1\}$, $\{y = \text{RootOf}(_Z^2 - 2)\}$, $\{\{x = 1\}\}$

$$\text{sols} = \left(\left\{ z = \%1, x = -1 + \%1^5 + \%1^4 - \frac{1}{2} \%1^3 - \frac{1}{2} \%1^2 - \%1, \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. y = \frac{1}{2} \text{RootOf}(_Z^2 - 2 \%1^5 + 3 \%1^3 + \%1 - 10) \right\}, \right.$$

$$\left. \left. \{z = 1, y = \text{RootOf}(_Z^2 - 2), x = 1\} \right) \right)$$

$$\%1 := \text{RootOf}(2_Z^6 + 2_Z^5 -_Z^4 -_Z^3 - 2_Z^2 - 2_Z - 2)$$

This should be interpreted with multiplicity, i.e., $_Z$ runs over all possible roots of the given expression.