

June 29 2007

Hilbert series of Invariants and Constant Term Identities

Garsia -Musiker-Wallach-Xin-Zabrocki

The action of a matrix on a polynomial

For $A = \|a_{i,j}\|_{i,j=1}^n$ and $P(x) = P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ we set

$$T_A P(x) = P(xA)$$

Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_A x_1^{p_1} x_2^{p_2} = (x_1 a_{1,1} + x_2 a_{2,1})^{p_1} (x_1 a_{1,2} + x_2 a_{2,2})^{p_2}$$

```
> expo(x[1]^2*x[2]^3);
```

$$(x_1 a_{1,1} + x_2 a_{2,1})^2 (x_1 a_{1,2} + x_2 a_{2,2})^3$$

```
> expand("");
```

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1^5 a_{1,1}^2 a_{1,2}^3 + 3 x_1^4 a_{1,1}^2 a_{1,2}^2 x_2 a_{2,2} + 3 x_1^3 a_{1,1}^2 a_{1,2} x_2^2 a_{2,2}^2 + x_1^2 a_{1,1}^2 x_2^3 a_{2,2}^3 \\ & + 2 x_1^4 a_{1,1} x_2 a_{2,1} a_{1,2}^3 + 6 x_1^3 a_{1,1} x_2^2 a_{2,1} a_{1,2}^2 a_{2,2} + 6 x_1^2 a_{1,1} x_2^3 a_{2,1} a_{1,2} a_{2,2}^2 \\ & + 2 x_1 a_{1,1} x_2^4 a_{2,1} a_{2,2}^3 + x_2^2 a_{2,1}^2 x_1^3 a_{1,2}^3 + 3 x_2^3 a_{2,1}^2 x_1^2 a_{1,2}^2 a_{2,2} \\ & + 3 x_2^4 a_{2,1}^2 x_1 a_{1,2} a_{2,2}^2 + x_2^5 a_{2,1}^2 a_{2,2}^3 \end{aligned}$$

next

Hilbert series of Invariants

Let G be a group of $n \times n$ matrices.

Recall that for $P(\mathbf{x}) = P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

a polynomial in $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ and $M \in G$ we set

$$T_M P(\mathbf{x}) = P(\mathbf{x}M)$$

then

$$R^G = \left\{ P \in R : T_M P(\mathbf{x}) = P(\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall M \in G \right\}$$

We let $\mathcal{H}_m(R^G)$ denote the subspace of G -invariants

that are homogeneous of degree m and set

$$F_{R^G}(q) = \sum_{m \geq 0} q^m \dim \mathcal{H}_m(R^G)$$

next

A basic result of invariant Theory

Theorem

For any group G with a finite invariant measure in particular for any finite group we can always construct homogeneous polynomials $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n; \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathbb{R}^G$ such that every invariant P has an expansion of the form

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^k \Phi_i Q_i(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n) \quad (\text{with } Q_i(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n])$$

where the polynomials $Q_i(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ are uniquely determined by P .

Equivalently the collection

$$\mathcal{B} = \{ \Phi_i \theta_1^{p_1} \theta_2^{p_2} \dots \theta_n^{p_n} \}_{1 \leq i \leq k; p_i \geq 0}$$

is a basis for \mathbb{R}^G . We call such a collection a "basic set for \mathbb{R}^G "

Note then it follows that

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^G) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{p_1 \geq 0} \sum_{p_2 \geq 0} \dots \sum_{p_n \geq 0} q^{\deg(\Phi_i \theta_1^{p_1} \theta_2^{p_2} \dots \theta_n^{p_n})} \Big|_{q^m}$$

equivalently

$$F_{\mathbb{R}^G}(q) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k q^{\deg(\Phi_i)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^{\deg \theta_i})}$$

next

When do we have we have a Basic set ?

Note if the elements of a collection

$$\mathcal{B} = \{ \Phi_i \theta_1^{p_1} \theta_2^{p_2} \dots \theta_n^{p_n} \}_{1 \leq i \leq k; p_i \geq 0}$$

span \mathbf{R}^G then

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_m(\mathbf{R}^G) \leq \left. \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{q}^{\deg(\Phi_i)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \mathbf{q}^{\deg \theta_i})} \right|_{\mathbf{q}^m}$$

alternatively if the elements of \mathcal{B} are independent then

$$\left. \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{q}^{\deg(\Phi_i)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \mathbf{q}^{\deg \theta_i})} \right|_{\mathbf{q}^m} \leq \dim \mathcal{H}_m(\mathbf{R}^G)$$

Thus if we know that

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{R}^G}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{q}^{\deg(\Phi_i)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \mathbf{q}^{\deg \theta_i})}$$

Then to show that \mathcal{B} is basic we need only to show one of these two properties

How do we compute the Hilbert series?

Molien's Theorem

For G a finite group we have

$$F_{R^G}(q) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{M \in G} \frac{1}{\det(1 - qM)}$$

More generally for groups with a finite G -invariant measure

$$F_{R^G}(q) = \frac{1}{\omega(G)} \int_{M \in G} \frac{1}{\det(1 - qM)} d\omega$$

Such an integral often reduces to taking the constant term of the integrand (including the density of the measure)

Combining actions

Action of a matrix $g \in \mathrm{SL}(n; \mathbb{C})$ on a row vector

$$U = (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) \longrightarrow U\mathbf{g}^{-1}$$

Action of a matrix $g \in \mathrm{SL}(n; \mathbb{C})$ on a column vector

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \mathbf{g}\mathbf{V}$$

Action of a matrix $g \in \mathrm{SL}(n; \mathbb{C})$ on an $n \times n$ matrix

$$\mathbf{X} = \|x_{ij}\|_{i,j=1}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{g}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{g}^{-1}$$

Action of a matrix $g \in \mathrm{SL}(n; \mathbb{C})$ on a polynomial in the variables $\{u_i, v_j, x_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^n$

$$\mathbf{T}_g P(U, V, X) = P(U\mathbf{g}^{-1}, \mathbf{g}V, \mathbf{g}X\mathbf{g}^{-1})$$

next

Some Invariants under this action

$$\Pi_k = \text{trace } X^k \quad \Theta_k = \text{trace } UX^{k-1}V$$

Note

$$T_g \text{trace } X^k = \text{trace}(gXg^{-1})^k = \text{trace } gX^k g^{-1} = \text{trace } X^k$$

$$T_g UX^k V = Ug^{-1}(gXg^{-1})^k gV = Ug^{-1}gX^k g^{-1}gV = UX^k V$$

$$X := \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} & x_{1,3} \\ x_{2,1} & x_{2,2} & x_{2,3} \\ x_{3,1} & x_{3,2} & x_{3,3} \end{bmatrix}$$

trace(multiply(X,X));

$$x_{1,1}^2 + 2x_{1,2}x_{2,1} + 2x_{1,3}x_{3,1} + x_{2,2}^2 + 2x_{2,3}x_{3,2} + x_{3,3}^2$$

> expand(trace(multiply(X,X,X)));

$$3x_{1,1}x_{1,3}x_{3,1} + 3x_{1,1}x_{1,2}x_{2,1} + 3x_{3,1}x_{1,3}x_{3,3} + 3x_{3,1}x_{1,2}x_{2,3} + 3x_{2,1}x_{1,3}x_{3,2} \\ + 3x_{2,1}x_{1,2}x_{2,2} + 3x_{2,2}x_{2,3}x_{3,2} + 3x_{3,2}x_{2,3}x_{3,3} + x_{1,1}^3 + x_{2,2}^3 + x_{3,3}^3$$

> expand(multiply(U,X,V)[1,1]);

$$v_1 u_1 x_{1,1} + v_1 u_2 x_{2,1} + v_1 u_3 x_{3,1} + v_2 u_1 x_{1,2} + v_2 u_2 x_{2,2} + v_2 u_3 x_{3,2} + v_3 u_1 x_{1,3} \\ + v_3 u_2 x_{2,3} + v_3 u_3 x_{3,3}$$

next

Some not so obvious Invariants

$$\Phi(U, X) = \det \begin{vmatrix} U \\ UX \\ UX^2 \\ \vdots \\ UX^{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \quad \Psi(V, X) = \det \left\| V, XV, X^2V, \dots, X^{n-1}V \right\|$$

$$T_g \det \begin{pmatrix} U \\ UX \\ UX^2 \\ \vdots \\ UX^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} Ug^{-1} \\ Ug^{-1}gXg^{-1} \\ Ug^{-1}gX^2g^{-1} \\ \vdots \\ Ug^{-1}gX^{n-1}g^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} Ug^{-1} \\ UXg^{-1} \\ UX^2g^{-1} \\ \vdots \\ UX^{n-1}g^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} U \\ UX \\ UX^2 \\ \vdots \\ UX^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_g \det (V \quad XV \quad X^2V \quad \dots \quad X^{n-1}V) &= \det (gV \quad gXV \quad gX^2V \quad \dots \quad gX^{n-1}V) \\ &= \det g \times \det (V \quad XV \quad X^2V \quad \dots \quad X^{n-1}V) \end{aligned}$$

next

The Constant Term Problem

Theorem

The Hilbert series of the polynomials $P(U, V, X)$ invariants under this action is

$$F_{UVX}(q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1-qx_i)(1-q/x_i)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1 - x_i/x_j}{(1 - qx_i/x_j)(1 - qx_j/x_i)} \Big|_{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = 1} \Big|_{x_1^0 x_2^0 \cdots x_n^0}$$

Proof

Passing from $SL_n[\mathbb{C}]$ to $SU[n]$ and using Moliens Theorem, we derive that

$$F_{UVX}(q) = \int_{T_n} \frac{1}{\det|1 - qD(g)|} d\omega(g)$$

with $D(g)$ giving the action of T_g on the on the alphabet $\{u_i, v_j, x_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ with $d\omega(g)$ the Haar measure.

The integral need only be carried out over the Torus T_n of diagonal matrices

$$g = \text{diag}\{e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n}\} \quad \text{with} \quad e^{i\theta_1} e^{i\theta_2} \dots e^{i\theta_n} = 1,$$

Thus

$$T_g \{u_r, v_s, x_{r,s}\}_{r,s=1}^n = \{u_r e^{-i\theta_r}, e^{i\theta_s} v_s, e^{i\theta_s} x_{r,s} e^{-i\theta_r}\}_{r,s=1}^n.$$

gives

$$D(g) = \text{diag}\{e^{-i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{-i\theta_n}; e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n}; e^{-i\theta_r} e^{i\theta_s} : 1 \leq r, s \leq n\}$$

Thus

$$\det|1 - qD(g)| = \prod_{r=1}^n (1 - q/e^{i\theta_r})(1 - qe^{i\theta_r}) \prod_{r,s=1}^n (1 - qe^{i\theta_s}/e^{i\theta_r})$$

and (*) becomes

$$F_{UVX}(q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^n} \int_{T_n} \prod_{r=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - q/e^{i\theta_r})(1 - qe^{i\theta_r})} \prod_{1 < r < s < n} \frac{(1 - e^{i\theta_s}/e^{i\theta_r})}{(1 - qe^{i\theta_r}/e^{i\theta_s})(1 - qe^{i\theta_s}/e^{i\theta_r})} \frac{d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \cdots d\theta_{n-1}}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}.$$

Our Constant term can has an explicit evaluation!

Theorem

$$\frac{1}{(1-q)^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1-qx_i)(1-q/x_i)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1 - x_i/x_j}{(1-qx_i/x_j)(1-qx_j/x_i)} \Big|_{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = 1} \Big|_{x_1^0 x_2^0 \cdots x_n^0}$$

$$= \frac{1 + q^{\binom{n+1}{2}}}{(1-q) \prod_{i=1}^n (1-q^i)^2 (1-q^{n+1}) (1-q^{\binom{n+1}{2}})}$$

How do we show this?

next

A Remarkable Identity

Theorem

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sign}(\sigma) \sigma \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - qx_j) \right) = \text{?}$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - q^i}{1 - q} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j)$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sign}(\sigma) \sigma \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j) \right) = n! \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j)$$

Proof (by induction)

next

The first reduction

Setting

$$G(x; q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1}{(1 - qx_i/x_j)(1 - qx_j/x_i)}$$

Proposition 2

For any $n \geq 2$ we have

$$G(x; q) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^i)} \frac{1}{\Delta(x)} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sign}(\sigma) \sigma \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{n-i} \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1}{1 - qx_i/x_j} \right)$$

where $\Delta(x)$ denotes the Vandermonde determinant in x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .

Proof

The previous identity can be rewritten in the form

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^i)} \frac{1}{\Delta(x)} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sign}(\sigma) \sigma \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{n-i} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 - qx_j/x_i) \right) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^n}.$$

Divide both sides by the rational function $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1}{(1 - qx_i/x_j)(1 - qx_j/x_i)}$.

next

The next reduction

Proposition 3

For $n \geq 2$ and for any symmetric rational function $A(\mathbf{x})$ we have

$$\frac{1}{(1-q)^n} A(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1 - x_i/x_j}{(1 - qx_i/x_j)(1 - qx_j/x_i)} \Big|_{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = 1} \Big|_{x_1^0 x_2^0 \cdots x_n^0} =$$

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^i)} A(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1 - x_i/x_j}{(1 - qx_i/x_j)} \Big|_{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = 1} \Big|_{x_1^0 x_2^0 \cdots x_n^0}.$$

(proved by manipulations using the previous identity)

If we use this with

$$A(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - u/x_i)(1 - vx_i)}$$

we get the “tri-graded” Hilbert series

$$F_{UVX}(u, v, q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - u/x_i)(1 - vx_i)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1 - x_i/x_j}{(1 - qx_i/x_j)(1 - qx_j/x_i)} \Big|_{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = 1} \Big|_{x_1^0 x_2^0 \cdots x_n^0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^i)} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - u/x_i)(1 - vx_i)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1 - x_i/x_j}{(1 - qx_i/x_j)} \Big|_{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = 1} \Big|_{x_1^0 x_2^0 \cdots x_n^0}$$

which keeps track of the degrees of the variables u_i , v_j and $x_{i,j}$ separately

next

Computing Constant terms by partial fraction

We choose a total order for the variables, say x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .

We then work with the field of iterated formal Laurent series (IFLS): $K((x_1))((x_2)) \cdots ((x_n))$ recursively defined as the field of formal Laurent series in x_n with coefficients in $K((x_1))((x_2)) \cdots ((x_{n-1}))$.

Here

$$K((x_1)) = \left\{ \sum_{m > M} a_m x_1^m : a_m \in K \right\}$$

This total order allows us to imbed the field of rational functions $K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ as a subfield of $K((x_1))((x_2)) \cdots ((x_n))$.

Under this imbedding all the identities in $K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ become identities in $K((x_1))((x_2)) \cdots ((x_n))$.

The rational functions we will work with here may all be written in the form

$$F = \frac{P}{(1 - m_1)(1 - m_2) \cdots (1 - m_n)}$$

with P a Laurent polynomial and m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k Laurent monomials.

To convert F we must decide whether a given factor $\frac{1}{1 - m_i}$ should be converted to

$$\text{a) } \sum_{s \geq 0} m_i^s \quad \text{or} \quad \text{b) } - \sum_{s \geq 1} \frac{1}{m_i^s} \quad \left(= \frac{-1}{1 - \frac{1}{m_i}} \right)$$

The total order forces one of the two “formal” inequalities $m_i < 1$ or $m_i > 1$ to be true

In the first case we choose a) and in the second case we choose b) .

To decide which we scan the monomial m_i if the smallest variable has positive exponent

then $m_i < 1$ if it has negative exponent then $m_i > 1$.

next

Eliminating a Variable

To avoid using summations we rewrite F in the form

$$F = P \times \left(\prod_{m_i < 1} \frac{1}{1 - m_i} \right) \times \left(\prod_{m_j > 1} \frac{-\frac{1}{m_j}}{1 - \frac{1}{m_j}} \right)$$

This is called the “proper form” of F . To compute $F|_{a_1^0 a_2^0 \dots a_k^0}$ by Xin algorithm,

Say we want to eliminate “ x ”. First we rewrite our rational function in the form

$$F = Q(x) + \frac{R(x)}{(1 - xU_1) \cdots (1 - xU_h)(x - V_1) \cdots (x - V_k)}$$

with $Q(x)$ a Laurent polynomial, $R(x)$ a polynomial of degree less than $h+k$ and U_1, U_2, \dots, U_h

as well as V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k are monomials not containing x . With

$$xU_i < 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq h \quad \text{and} \quad V_j/x < 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

Then write

$$F(x) = Q(x) + \sum_{i=1}^h \frac{A_i}{(1 - xU_i)} + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{B_j}{(x - V_j)}$$

with

$$A_i = (1 - xU_i)(F(x) - Q(x)) \Big|_{x=1/U_i} \quad \text{and} \quad B_j = (x - V_j)(F(x) - Q(x)) \Big|_{x=V_j}.$$

This immediately yields the equalities

$$F|_{x^0} = Q|_{x^0} + \sum_{i=1}^h A_i = (F(0) + \sum_{i=1}^h B_i/V_i) \quad \text{if } P(x) \text{ is a polynomial}$$

The reason for this is that for each term $\frac{B_j}{x - V_j}$ we have $V_j/x < 1$,

so that the proper form of the last summation is $\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{B_j/x}{(1 - V_j/x)}$

next

Here we will only use a particular case

Proposition

Suppose that our kernel is of the form

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{1 - Ux} \frac{P(\frac{1}{x})}{\prod_{i=1}^k (1 - m_i/x^{a_i})}$$

with P a polynomial, and U, m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k monomials not containing x , $a_i \geq 1$ and

$$Ux < 1, \quad m_i/x^{a_i} < 1 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq k$$

then

$$F(x) \Big|_{x^0} = \frac{P(\frac{1}{x})}{\prod_{i=1}^k (1 - m_i/x^{a_i})} \Big|_{x=1/U} = \frac{P(U)}{\prod_{i=1}^k (1 - m_i U^{a_i})}$$

Proof

We have

$$F(x) = \frac{A}{1 - Ux} + \dots$$

Only the denominator factor $(1 - Ux)$ contributes so

$$F(x) \Big|_{x^0} = A = (1 - Ux)F(x) \Big|_{x=1/U}$$

next

Let us now compute a Constant term

Set

$$R_n(u, v, w) = \frac{1}{1 - w/x_1 \cdots x_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - ux_i)(1 - v/x_i)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1 - x_j/x_i}{(1 - qx_j/x_i)},$$

Lemma 1

$$R_n(u, v, w) \Big|_{x_1^0 \cdots x_n^0} = \frac{1}{1 - uv} R_{n-1}(uq, v, wu) \Big|_{x_1^0 \cdots x_{n-1}^0}.$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(u, v, w) &= \frac{1}{(1 - ux_1)(1 - v/x_1)} \frac{1}{(1 - w/x_1 \cdots x_n)} \prod_{2 \leq j \leq n} \frac{1 - x_j/x_1}{(1 - qx_j/x_1)}, \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{(1 - ux_i)(1 - v/x_i)} \prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1 - x_j/x_i}{(1 - qx_j/x_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

We first eliminate x_1 . Only the factor $1 - ux_1$ contributes. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(u, v, w) \Big|_{x_1^0} &= \frac{1}{(1 - v/x_1)} \frac{1}{(1 - w/x_1 \cdots x_n)} \prod_{2 \leq j \leq n} \frac{1 - x_j/x_1}{(1 - qx_j/x_1)} \Big|_{x_1=1/u}, \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{(1 - ux_i)(1 - v/x_i)} \prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1 - x_j/x_i}{(1 - qx_j/x_i)}, \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - uv)} \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{uw}{x_2 \cdots x_n}\right)} \prod_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{(1 - x_i u)(1 - v/x_i)} \prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1 - x_j/x_i}{1 - qx_j/x_i} \prod_{2 \leq j \leq n} \frac{1 - ux_j}{1 - ux_j q}, \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - uv)} \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{uw}{x_2 \cdots x_n}\right)} \prod_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{(1 - x_i uq)(1 - v/x_i)} \prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1 - x_j/x_i}{1 - qx_j/x_i}. \end{aligned}$$

This is exactly $\frac{1}{1-uv} R_{n-1}(uq, v, uw)$ if we rename x_i by x_{i-1} .

next

To evaluate our constant term we also need

$$F_{UVX}(u, v, q) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^i)} Q_n^+(u, v, 1) \Big|_{x_2^0 \dots x_n^0}$$

$$Q_n^+(u, v, w) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - ux_i)(1 - v/x_i)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1 - x_j/x_i}{(1 - qx_j/x_i)} \Big|_{x_n = 1/wx_1 \dots x_{n-1}}$$

$$R_n(u, v, w) = \frac{1}{1 - w/x_1 \dots x_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - ux_i)(1 - v/x_i)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1 - x_j/x_i}{(1 - qx_j/x_i)}$$

$$R_n^+(u, v, w) = \frac{1}{1 - 1/wx_1 \dots x_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - ux_i)(1 - v/x_i)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1 - x_j/x_i}{(1 - qx_j/x_i)}$$

$$R_n(u, v, w) \Big|_{x_1^0 \dots x_n^0} = \frac{1}{(1 - uv)(1 - uvq) \dots (1 - uvq^{n-1})(1 - wu^n q^{\binom{n}{2}})}$$

$$R_n^+(u, v, w) \Big|_{x_1^0 \dots x_n^0} = - \frac{wv^n q^{\binom{n}{2}}}{(1 - uv)(1 - uvq) \dots (1 - uvq^{n-1})(1 - wv^n q^{\binom{n}{2}})}$$

$$R_n(u, v, w) \Big|_{x_1^0 \dots x_n^0} = Q_n(u, v, w) \Big|_{x_1^0 \dots x_{n-1}^0} + \frac{1}{1 - uv} R_{n-1}(u, vq, w/v) \Big|_{x_1^0 \dots x_{n-1}^0}$$

Combining these identities we get

$$F_{UVX}(u, v, q) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^i)(1 - uvq^{i-1})(1 - wu^n q^{\binom{n}{2}})} + \frac{wv^n q^{\binom{n}{2}}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^i)(1 - uvq^{i-1})(1 - wv^n q^{\binom{n}{2}})}$$

next

Conclusion

Theorem

The UVX invariants have the tri-graded basis

$$\mathcal{B}^{ab} = \left\{ \Phi^{r+1} \prod_1^{P_1} \dots \prod_n^{P_n} \theta_1^{q_1} \dots \theta_n^{q_n} ; \Psi^s \prod_1^{P_1} \dots \prod_n^{P_n} \theta_1^{q_1} \dots \theta_n^{q_n} : r, s \geq 0, p_i \geq 0, q_i \geq 0 \right\}$$

Proof

Since we know the Hilbert series, it is sufficient to prove independence.

Suppose we had a vanishing linear combination P .

We can assume that P is tri-homogeneous.

(1) The monomial $\Phi^{r+1} \prod_1^{P_1} \prod_2^{P_2} \dots \theta_n^{P_n} \theta_1^{q_1} \theta_2^{q_2} \dots \theta_n^{q_n}$ has tri-degree

$$(0, (r+1)n, (r+1) \binom{n}{2}) + (0, 0, (\sum_i i p_i)) + (\sum_i q_i, \sum_i q_i, (\sum_i i q_i))$$

(2) The monomial $\Psi^s \prod_1^{P_1} \prod_2^{P_2} \dots \theta_n^{P_n} \theta_1^{q_1} \theta_2^{q_2} \dots \theta_n^{q_n}$ has tri-degree

$$(sn, 0, s \binom{n}{2}) + (0, 0, (\sum_i i p_i)) + (\sum_i q_i, \sum_i q_i, (\sum_i i q_i))$$

This immediately shows that any tri-homogenous linear combination P

cannot contain both Φ and Ψ .

From this, independence easily follows .

next

THE END