

## Math 262A Lecture Notes

**Lemma 1:** Recall this lemma from the last lecture. Given a forest  $F$  on  $n$  vertices and a positive real number  $k$  with  $n \geq k + 1$ , we can remove a single vertex  $v$  from  $F$  such that some subforest  $F'$  of  $F \setminus v$  has size  $k \leq |F'| < 2k$ .

In particular, we can remove one vertex from a tree on  $n$  vertices to obtain a subforest  $F$  of size  $n/3 \leq F < 2n/3$ . Really we can't do any better than this by removing one vertex, as shown by a tree whose root has three subtrees each of size  $(n - 1)/3$ .

**Lemma 2:** Given a forest  $F$  on  $n$  vertices, a positive integer  $w$  and a positive real number  $K$  with  $|F| \geq k + w$ , there exists a set of  $w$  vertices  $v_1 \dots v_w$  in  $F$  such that some subforest  $F'$  of  $F \setminus \{v_1 \dots v_w\}$  has size  $||F'| - K| \leq K/3^w$ .

**Proof:** If  $w = 1$  then let  $k = (2/3)K$ . By lemma 1, we can remove a single vertex  $v$  such that  $F \setminus v$  contains a subforest  $F'$  with  $\frac{2}{3}K \leq |F'| < \frac{4}{3}K$ , which implies  $||F'| - K| \leq K/3$ .

We proceed by induction. Assume we can remove  $i$  vertices from any forest  $F$  and find a subforest  $F_i$  with  $(1 - 1/3^i)K \leq |F_i| < (1 + 1/3^i)$ . There are two cases to consider. We may assume that either

$$(1 - 1/3^{i+1})K \leq |F_i| < (1 + 1/3^i) \quad \text{or}$$

$$(1 - 1/3^i)K \leq |F_i| < (1 + 1/3^{i+1})$$

We only consider the first of these cases for now. Case 1: If  $(1 - 1/3^{i+1})K \leq |F_i| < (1 + 1/3^i)$ , use lemma 1 with  $F = F_i$  and  $k = 2/3(F_i - K)$ . We can remove an additional vertex  $v_{i+1}$  to obtain a subforest  $F'_i$  of  $F_i$  such that  $2/3(|F_i| - K) \leq |F'_i| < 4/3(|F_i| - K)$ . We let  $F_{i+1} = F_i - F'_i$  and we have  $(1 - 1/3^{i+1})K \leq |F_{i+1}| < (1 + 1/3^{i+1})$ .

**Corollary:** You can separate a tree into two equal-sized parts by removing  $\lceil \log(n)/\log(3) \rceil + 1$  vertices.

**Universal Graphs:** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of graphs. A graph  $H$  is a universal graph for  $\mathcal{F}$  if it contains every graph in  $\mathcal{F}$  as a subgraph. In particular we will consider  $\mathcal{F}_n = \{T : T \text{ a tree on } n \text{ vxs}\}$ . We wish to find a graph  $H$  with a minimal number of edges which is universal for  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Here is a recursive way to build a universal graph  $U_n$  for  $\mathcal{F}_n$ . Let  $U_n$  consist of a vertex  $v$ , a universal graph  $U_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  for  $\mathcal{F}_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ , and a universal graph  $U_{\lceil 2n/3 \rceil}$  for  $\mathcal{F}_{\lceil 2n/3 \rceil}$ , with an edge going from  $v$  to each of the vertices in  $U_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  and  $U_{\lceil 2n/3 \rceil}$ . It is clear from lemma 1 that this is a universal graph for  $\mathcal{F}_n$ .

**Excercise:** Let  $f(n)$  and  $g(n)$  be the number of vertices and edges in  $U_n$ . Solve the recurrence

$$f(n) \leq 1 + f(n/2) + f(2n/3)$$

$$g(n) \leq f(n/2) + f(2n/3) + g(n/2) + g(2n/3)$$

to get an upper bound for the size of a universal graph for trees on  $n$  vertices.

That construction just used Lemma 1. We can do better by using Lemma 2. We can build  $U_n$  from  $w$  vertices  $v_1 \dots v_w$  with all  $\binom{w}{2}$  possible edges between them, two universal graphs  $U_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  and  $U_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2 \cdot 3^w} \rfloor}$ , and with all possible edges connecting  $v_1 \dots v_w$  to the two smaller universal subgraphs. You should figure out which  $w$  gives you the best recursion.

**Lemma 3:** Let  $T_{3,2t}$  be the complete ternary tree with  $2t$  levels.  $|V(T_{3,2t})| = (3^{2t} - 1)/2$ . How many vertices should we remove to partition the tree into two equal sized parts? I'll let you think about it.

Hint: You don't have to remove more than  $\log(n)/\log(3) - 2\log(n)/\log\log(n)$  vertices.

I wrote a chapter of a book on this stuff, but it never turned into a book.