

## ON SUMS OF INTEGERS TAKEN FROM A FIXED SEQUENCE

by

R. L. Graham

INTRODUCTION

Given a set  $S$  of real numbers, it is natural to inquire as to the structure of the set of all real numbers which can be formed by taking sums of elements from  $S$ . In particular, one may require that the sums be finite sums although a substantial amount of work has been done for the case of infinite sums, e.g., in the study of generalized limits and summability.

We shall be primarily concerned with the case in which  $S$  is a set of positive integers although, again, a number of interesting results are available when  $S$  consists of unit fractions, for example, as well as for other special sets (cf. [12], [16], [17], [18], [42]). Henceforth, unless specified otherwise, we shall assume  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$  is a (possibly finite) set of positive integers. In general, we are forming

$$\Sigma(S) = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k s_k : \alpha_k \text{ is a nonnegative integer, } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k < \infty \right\}.$$

Of course, this is just the subsemigroup generated by  $S$  considered as a subset of the commutative semigroup of positive integers under addition.

There are several directions one might proceed.

1. No additional restrictions on the  $\alpha_k$ . In this case it is well-known [35] that  $\Sigma(S)$  consists of all sufficiently large multiples of  $\gcd(s_1, s_2, \dots)$ . When this gcd is 1, then  $\Sigma(S)$  contains all sufficiently large integers and we let  $\theta(S)$  denote the largest integer not in  $\Sigma(S)$ . The determination of  $\theta(S)$  seems to be a difficult question in general ([2], [24], [27], [35]). If  $S = \{a, b\}$  with  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  then  $\theta(S) = ab - a - b$  ([35]). However,  $\theta(S)$  is not known for even the set  $\{a, b, c\}$  with  $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$  although other special cases are known, e.g., for  $S = \{n, n+1, n+2, n+6\}$ ,  $\theta(S) = n\left[\frac{n}{6}\right] + 2\left[\frac{n}{6}\right] + 2\left[\frac{n+1}{6}\right] + 5\left[\frac{n+2}{6}\right] + \left[\frac{n+3}{6}\right] + \left[\frac{n+4}{6}\right] + \left[\frac{n+5}{6}\right] - 1$  (cf. [35]). Other special cases for which  $\theta(S)$  is known include the following ([10]): For a given  $k \geq 0$ , let

$S = \{0 < s_1 < \dots < s_n = 2n+k\}$ . Then for  $n$  sufficiently large,

$$\theta(S) \leq \begin{cases} 2n + 4k - 1 & \text{for } n - k \equiv 1(3) \\ 2n + 4k + 1 & \text{for } n - k \not\equiv 1(3) \end{cases}$$

and this bound is best possible. However, we shall not pursue this topic further in this paper.

2. For some given  $m$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \leq m$ . In this case, if

$\theta(S) = 0$ ,  $S$  is known as a basis of order  $m$ . A number of

strong results have been established in this area, beginning with Lagrange's theorem that the squares from a basis of order 4 and Hilbert's proof of the Waring conjecture that the  $n^{\text{th}}$  powers form a basis of order  $m$  for some  $m = g(n)$  ([23]). More recently, the names of Erdős, Kneser, Linnik, Mann, and Vinogradov, among others, have been prominent in connection with this subject (cf. [22]), but space does not permit us to pursue this further. For the reader who would like to have his name added to this distinguished list, I might suggest that he show that the set of primes together with 1 forms a basis of order 3.

3. All  $\alpha_k$  are 0 or 1. It is this case we wish to make the main topic of the paper. We make a slight modification in our notation. For the sequence  $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots)$  let

$$P(S) \equiv \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_k s_k : \epsilon_k = 0 \text{ or } 1, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_k < \infty \right\}. \text{ Let us call}$$

$S$  complete if all sufficiently large integers belong to  $P(S)$ . (In this case  $\theta(S)$  has the obvious meaning.) Finally, call  $S$  strongly complete if  $S$  remains complete after any finite number of terms have been deleted from  $S$ .

Note that a sequence which forms a basis of order  $m$  can only grow algebraically, whereas a complete sequence may grow exponentially, e.g.,  $s_n = 2^n$ . Thus, the set of complete sequences is (in fact) much richer than the set of bases.

## COMPLETE SEQUENCES

The first results in this area were established by R. Sprague in 1948. For the sequence  $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots)$  Sprague showed [41] that for  $s_n = n^2$ ,  $S$  is complete with threshold  $\theta(S) = 128$ . Further, using results of the Tarry-Escott problem, he proved [42] that for each  $k$ , the sequence defined by  $s_n = n^k$  is complete.

These methods were also employed by E. Krubeck in 1953 [29] to show that for any polynomial  $f(x)$  with integer coefficients and positive leading coefficient, if the sequence  $S$  is defined by  $s_n = f(n)$  then the difference between consecutive elements of  $P(S)$  is bounded. (More precise information for this sequence will be discussed later.)

The results of [42] were also generalized by H.-E. Richert [38]. Basically, he showed that if a sufficiently long segment of integers belongs to  $P(S)$  and  $S$  does not grow too irregularly then  $S$  is complete. Richert used this to establish  $\theta(S) = 33$  for  $s_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  [38] and  $\theta(S) = 6$  when  $S$  is the sequence of primes [37].

In 1952, C. G. Lekkerkerker [30] pointed out that the well known sequence of Fibonacci numbers, given by  $s_1 = s_2 = 1$ ,  $s_{n+2} = s_{n+1} + s_n$ , is not only complete but, in fact, each positive integer has a unique representation in the form  $\sum \epsilon_k s_k$  where  $\epsilon_k \epsilon_{k+1} = 0$ . Further extensions in this direction may be found in [3], [7], [25], [26], [28].

Up to this point the results discussed have been limited either by the very special properties required of  $S$  or by the fact that it is necessary to hypothesize that  $P(S)$  contains a long segment of integers before completeness can be established. These restrictions make it difficult to show, for example, that a sequence is strongly complete.

The first strong result concerning complete sequences was given by K. F. Roth and G. Szekeres in 1954 [39]. They proved that if  $S$  is eventually increasing then the following two conditions imply that  $S$  is complete:

- (i)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \log s_k / \log k$  exists;
- (ii)  $\inf_{\alpha} \left\{ (\log k)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^k \|s_i \alpha\|^S \right\} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,

where  $\|\theta\|$  denotes the distance of  $\theta$  to the nearest integer and  $s_k/2 < \alpha \leq 1/2$ .

In fact, with the use of a saddle-point method, an asymptotic formula for the number of representations of  $n$  as an element of  $P(S)$  is derived in [39]. Note that the above conditions imply  $S$  is strongly complete. In particular, it follows (using results of L. K. Hua to verify (ii)) that if  $f$  is a polynomial mapping integers to integers with a positive leading coefficient and such that for all primes  $p$  there exists an  $m$  such that  $p \nmid mf(m)$  then the sequence  $(f(p_1), f(p_2), \dots)$  is strongly complete where  $p_n$  denotes the  $n^{\text{th}}$  prime.

The next advance was made by B. J. Birch [1] in 1959 who showed that for relatively prime integers  $p$  and  $q$  (greater than 1), the increasing sequence formed from all the terms  $p^a q^b$ , with  $a$  and  $b \geq 0$ , is complete. His proof is elementary and settles a conjecture made earlier by Erdős.

The following year a far-reaching generalization of Birch's result was published by J. W. S. Cassels [6]. For an increasing sequence  $S$ , let  $S(n)$  denote the number of terms of  $S$  not exceeding  $n$ . Cassels' result can be stated as follows: Suppose  $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots)$  is an increasing sequence of positive integers satisfying

$$(*) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(2n) - S(n)}{\log \log n} = \infty;$$

$$(**) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|s_k \alpha\| = \infty \text{ for all } \alpha \in (0,1).$$

Then  $S$  is strongly complete.

The proof given by Cassels is ingenious and uses the Hardy-Littlewood method. The conditions (\*) and (\*\*) bear a certain similarity to the conditions (i) and (ii) of Roth and Szerkeres [39] although Cassels was unaware of their results at the time his paper was written. Not only does this theorem imply the result of Birch, it also shows that for any polynomial  $f$  mapping integers to integers the sequence given by  $s_n = f(n)$  is strongly complete provided

only that  $f$  satisfies the obvious necessary conditions, i.e.,  $f$  has a positive leading coefficient and no prime divides all the function values  $f(n)$ . Furthermore, Cassels' theorem applies to sequences which grow rapidly, e.g., such that  $s_n \sim \exp((n/\log n)^{1-\varepsilon})$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

In 1962, the author gave a number of theorems dealing with complete sequences, several of which will now be mentioned. All polynomials  $f$  mapping reals to reals for which  $S(f) = (f(1), f(2), \dots)$  is complete were characterized in [15]. Specifically, if  $f(x)$  is expressed as  $\sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k \binom{x}{k}$

then  $S(f)$  is complete if and only if:

- (1)  $\alpha_k = p_k/q_k$  for integers  $p_k, q_k$  with  $(p_k, q_k) = 1$  and  $q_k \neq 0$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n$ ;
- (2)  $\alpha_n > 0$ ;
- (3)  $\gcd(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n) = 1$ .

This proof is elementary.

It had been conjectured by Erdős [9] that if  $t > 0$ ,  $1 < \alpha < 2$ , the sequence  $S(t, \alpha)$  defined by  $s_n = [t\alpha^n]$  is complete. It was shown in [14] that this is not quite correct, e.g.,  $S(1, \alpha)$  is complete if and only if  $1 \leq \alpha < \sqrt[3]{5}$ . The subset  $R$  of the square  $0 < t \leq 1$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ , for which  $S(t, \alpha)$  is complete was determined in [14].  $R$  is rather complicated; for example, for any  $k$  there are vertical lines  $L$  such that  $R \cap L$  has more than  $k$  components.

In [19] it was shown that the sequence  $S$  given by  $s_n = F_n - (-1)^n$  (where  $F_n$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Fibonacci number) is strongly complete but fails to remain complete if any infinite subsequence is removed. Very few sequences are currently known to enjoy this unlikely property. This proof in [19] is elementary but somewhat involved.

Also in 1962, Erdős [8] proved the following theorem dealing with slowly growing sequences. Suppose  $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots)$  is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers satisfying

- (i) For some  $\alpha \leq (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$ , and some  $c$ ,  $s_n \leq cn^\alpha$ .
- (ii)  $P(S)$  contains an element from every arithmetic progression.

Then  $S$  is complete.

Erdős further conjectured that this would be true if  $s_n$  were only required to satisfy  $s_n \leq cn^{2-\epsilon}$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ .

This conjecture was settled by Jon Folkman in 1966 [13] in a very ingenious paper in which the following result is established. Suppose  $S$  is a nondecreasing sequence satisfying (1) or a strictly increasing sequence satisfying (2) for some  $c$  and some  $\alpha$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ :

- (1)  $s_n \leq cn^\alpha$ ;
- (2)  $s_n \leq cn^{1+\alpha}$ .

Then  $P(S)$  contains an infinite arithmetic progression.

Furthermore if  $P(S)$  intersects every arithmetic progression then  $S$  is complete.



Folkman's proof is elementary and quite clever.

In 1968, S. A. Burr [5], using the results of [13], showed that if  $s_n = f(n) + t(n)$  where  $f$  is a polynomial of degree  $\geq 1$  and having a positive leading coefficient and for some  $\beta$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < 1/2$ ,  $t(n) = o(n^\beta)$ , then  $P(S)$  contains an infinite arithmetic progression. Further, if for all primes  $p$ , there exist infinitely many  $s_k$  not divisible by  $p$  then  $S$  is strongly complete. Thus, if polynomial sequences are perturbed slightly, completeness properties are not significantly affected.

However, the following was recently established by Erdős [9]: For any sequence  $S$  of positive integers, if  $g$  is any positive function for which  $\sum_n 1/g(n) < \infty$  then there exists a sequence  $S'$  such that  $|s_n - s'_n| \leq g(n)$  for  $n$  sufficiently large and  $P(S')$  does not contain an infinite arithmetic progression. It follows in particular that if a sequence of polynomial values  $f(n)$  is perturbed by as much as  $O(n^{1+\epsilon})$  then completeness properties may be significantly affected.

In this same direction, J. W. S. Cassels [6] established the following result. For every  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$  there exists a sequence  $S$  containing infinitely many terms in every arithmetic progression such that  $s_{n+1} - s_n = O(s_n^{\frac{1}{2} + \eta})$  and  $P(S)$  contains less than  $\epsilon x$  integers  $\leq x$  for all sufficiently large  $x$ . It also follows from his

arguments that if  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k x^k$  is a monic polynomial

defining a P-V number (cf. [36]) then any sequence  $S$

satisfying  $\sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k s_{t+k} = 0$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is not strongly complete.

This includes, for example, the case  $s_n = F_n$  or even finite repetitions of this sequence. It is true though that if each term is repeated sufficiently often (varying with the term) then the sequence is strongly complete. For example, in a recent note of Erdős and the author [11], it is shown that for the sequence  $S$  formed by taking  $m_k$  copies of  $F_k$ , if  $m_k (2/(1 + \sqrt{5}))^k$  decreases then  $S$  is strongly complete

if and only if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k (2/(1 + \sqrt{5}))^k = \infty$ . On the other hand, it is always true that  $S$  is not strongly complete if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k (2/(1 + \sqrt{5}))^k < \infty.$$

An alternative approach to some of these sequences has recently been given by S. A. Burr [4] in which a computer is used to provide the induction step needed in the proof of the lack of strong completeness. Thus, it is seen that even rather well-behaved sequences may fail to be complete.

If  $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots)$  is strongly complete then for each  $n$ ,  $\theta_S(n)$ , the greatest integer which does not belong to  $P((s_{n+1}, s_{n+2}, \dots))$ , is a well-defined integer. The study of the dependence of  $\theta_S(n)$  on  $n$  seems to be very difficult, even for relatively simple sequences  $S$ . For example, if  $S$  is the sequence of squares, then it has been

shown by Ju. v. Linnik and the author [21] that for

$k = 1, 2, \dots$ , the sequence of functions

$$g_k(x) = \frac{\theta_S(4^k x)}{(4^k x)^2}, \quad 1 \leq x \leq 4, \quad 4^k x \text{ an integer, converges to}$$

a function  $g(x)$ ,  $1 \leq x \leq 4$ , which is the union of a (large) finite number of portions of parabolas. In particular,  $g(x)$  lies between 4 and 5 and, in fact, attains the value 5 exactly 18 times for  $1 \leq x \leq 4$ . The proofs rely on deep results of Linnik [32] and A. V. Malyšev [33], [34] on the distribution of lattice points on quadratic surfaces in 4 and more dimensions.

Computer results of Lin [31] indicate that

$\theta_S(n)/s_n$  often seems to approach a limit, e.g., when  $S$  is the increasing sequence of primes,  $\theta_S(n)/s_n$  appears to be tending to 3. Of course,  $\lim_n \theta_S(n)/s_n = 3$  would imply the well known Goldbach conjecture. A few isolated values of  $\theta_S(1)$  are known (cf. [31], [20]), some of which are listed below.

$s_n$	$\theta_S(1)$
$\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$	33
$n^2$	128
$n^2 + 1$	51
$n^2 + 2$	91
$n^2 + 3$	120
$n^2 + 4$	92
$n^2 + 5$	117
$(n+1)^2 - 1$	156
$n^3$	12758
$n^3 + 1$	8293
$n^4$	5134240
$a(n+1) + b$ with $(a,b) = 1$	$\frac{(a-2)a(a+1)}{2} + ab + 1$

Table 1

Some Values of  $\theta_S(1)$

SOME OPEN QUESTIONS

We conclude with a number of open questions related to complete sequences.

1. (J. Folkman) Let  $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots)$  be a nondecreasing sequence of integers which satisfies  $s_n < cn$  for all  $n$ . Does  $P(S)$  contain an infinite arithmetic progression? This is true if  $s_n < cn^{1-\epsilon}$  and can fail for  $s_n < cn^{1+\epsilon}$ ; cf. [13].

2. Let  $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots)$  with  $s_n = [t\alpha^n]$ . For what pairs  $(t, \alpha)$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $1 < \alpha < 2$ , is  $S$  complete? For  $0 < t \leq 1$ , this is known [14]. Even in the range  $1 < t < 2$ , it is not known what happens. Conceivably,  $S$  is complete for all  $1 < \alpha < \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  and  $t > 0$ .

3. For a sequence  $S$  let  $C(n)$  and  $N(n)$  denote the conditions:

$C(n)$ : If any  $n$  entries are removed from  $S$  to form  $S'$  then  $S'$  is complete.

$N(n)$ : If any  $n$  entries are removed from  $S$  to form  $S'$  then  $S'$  is not complete.

For what values of  $m < n$  are there sequences which satisfy both  $C(m)$  and  $N(n)$ ? For example,  $S = (1, 2, 4, \dots, 2^n, \dots)$  satisfies  $C(0)$  and  $N(1)$ , while  $S = (1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \dots)$  satisfies  $C(1)$  and  $N(2)$ . In particular, is there a sequence which satisfies  $C(2)$  and  $N(3)$ ?

4. Let  $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots)$  be an increasing sequence which is strongly complete but such that any subsequence

$S'$  formed from  $S$  by deleting an infinite number of entries is not complete (e.g., see [19]). What can be said about the structure of  $S$ ? For example, is it true

$$s_{n+1}/s_n \rightarrow \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} ?$$

5. (P. Erdős) Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , is there a strongly complete sequence  $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots)$  for which  $s_{n+1}/s_n > 2 - \varepsilon$  for  $n$  sufficiently large? Can  $s_{n+1}/s_n \rightarrow 2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ?

(S. A. Burr) 6. Suppose  $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots)$  is a sequence of positive integers of the form  $s_n = f(n) + \gamma_n$  where  $f(x)$  is a polynomial and  $\gamma_n = o(n)$ . Is  $S$  subcomplete? This is known to be true if  $\gamma_n = o(n^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})$  (cf. S. A. Burr, On the completeness of perturbed polynomial values (to appear in Pac. Jour. of Math.)). On the other hand examples exist with  $\gamma_n = o(n^{1+\varepsilon})$  for which  $S$  is not subcomplete.

7. It is known [18] that the sequence with  $n^{\text{th}}$  term given by  $s_n = n + 1/n$  is strongly complete. What about  $s_n = n^2 + 1/n$ ? What about  $s_n = f(n) + 1/n$  where  $f(n)$  forms a strongly complete sequence?

8. Suppose  $0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_k \leq x$  is a sequence of real numbers with  $k$  maximal such that any two sums  $\sum_{j=1}^k \varepsilon_j \alpha_j$ ,  $\varepsilon_j = 0$  or  $1$ , differ by at least  $1$ . It is true that  $k \leq \frac{\log x}{\log 2} + o(1)$ ? (This strengthens a well-known conjecture of Erdős.)

9. If  $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots)$  is a strongly complete increasing sequence, let  $\theta_S(n)$  denote the largest integer  $x$  for which  $x \notin P(s_{n+1}, s_{n+2}, \dots)$ . It is of considerable interest to study the behavior of  $\theta_S(n)$  for various sequences  $S$ . For the sequence in which  $s_n = n^2$ , recent results of R. L. Graham and Ju. V. Linnik show that  $\theta_S(n)/s_n$  asymptotically oscillates between 4 and 5 in a predictable but complicated way. For other sequences, computer results (S. Lin, Computer experiments on sequences which form integral bases, Computations problems in abstract algebra, Pergamon Press (1969)) indicate that  $\lim \theta_S(n)/s_n = \alpha_S$  exists, e.g.,  $\alpha_S \stackrel{?}{=} 3$  for  $s_n =$  the  $n^{\text{th}}$  prime.

10. Let  $p$  be a prime and suppose  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  are distinct nonzero elements of  $Z_p$ . Conjecture: There always exists an arrangement  $a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k}$  of the  $a_i$  such that all partial sums  $\sum_{j=1}^t a_{i_j}$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq k$ , are distinct modulo  $p$ .

11. Let  $p$  be a prime and suppose  $a_1, \dots, a_p \in Z_p$  such that for some  $r$ ,  $\sum_{b \in B \subseteq A} b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  implies  $|B| = r$ . Conjecture: The  $a_i$  assume at most 2 different values.

12. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be positive reals with  $\alpha/\beta$  irrational. Let  $S$  denote the sequence  $([\alpha], [\beta], [2\alpha], [2\beta], \dots, [2^n\alpha], [2^n\beta], \dots)$ . Is  $S$  complete? What if 2 is replaced by some  $\gamma$ ,  $1 < \gamma < 2$ ?

REFERENCES

1. Birch, B. J., Note on a Problem of Erdős, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 55 (1959), 370-373.
2. Brauer, A. T. and Shockley, J. E., On a Problem of Frobenius, J. Reine Angew. Math. 211 (1962), 215-220.
3. Brown, J. L., Jr., Note on Complete Sequences of Integers, American Math. Monthly 68 (1961), 557-561.
4. Burr, S. A., A Class of Theorems in Additive Number Theory with Lend Themselves to Computer Proof (to appear in Proc. 1969 Atlas Symposium on Computers and Number Theory).
5. Burr, S. A., On the Completeness of Sequences of Perturbed Polynomial Values (to appear in Pac. J. Math.).
6. Cassels, J. W. S., On the Representation of Integers as the Sums of Distinct Summands Taken from a Fixed Set, Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum 21 (1960), 111-124.
7. Daykin, D. E., Representation of Natural Numbers as Sums of Generalised Fibonacci Numbers, J. London Math. Soc. 35 (1960), 143-160.
8. Erdős, P., On the Representation of Large Integers as Sums of Distinct Summands taken from a Fixed Set, Acta Arithmetica VII (1962), 345-354.
9. Erdős, P., (personal communication).
10. Erdős, P. and Graham, R. L., On the Representation of Integers as Linear Combinations taken from a Fixed Set (to appear).



11. Erdős, P. and Graham, R. L., On Sums of Fibonacci Numbers (to appear in *Fib. Quart.*).
12. Erdős, P. and Stein, S., Sums of Distinct Unit Fractions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 14 (1963), 126-131.
13. Folkman, Jon, On the Representation of Integers as Sums of Distinct Terms from a Fixed Sequence, *Can. J. Math.* 18 (1966), 643-655.
14. Graham, R. L., On a Conjecture of Erdős in Additive Number Theory, *Acta Arithmetica* X (1964), 63-70.
15. Graham, R. L., Complete Sequences of Polynomial Values, *Duke Math. J.* 31 (1964), 275-286.
16. Graham, R. L., On Finite Sums of Reciprocals of Distinct  $n^{\text{th}}$  Powers, *Pac. J. Math.* 14 No. 1 (1964), 85-92.
17. Graham, R. L., On Finite Sums of Unit Fractions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* XIV, No. 54 (1964), 193-207.
18. Graham, R. L., A Theorem on Partitions, *J. Australian Math. Soc.* III (1963), 435-441.
19. Graham, R. L., A Property of Fibonacci Numbers, *Fib. Quart.* 2, No. 1 (1964), 1-10.
20. Graham, R. L., (unpublished).
21. Graham, R. L. and Ju. v. Linnik, On Sums of Distinct Squares (unpublished).
22. Halberstam, H. and Roth, K. F., *Sequences*, Vol. I, Oxford (1966).
23. Hardy, G. H. and Wright, E. M., *The Theory of Numbers*, 4 ed., Oxford (1960).

24. Heap, B. R. and Lynn, M. S., The Index of Primitivity of a Nonnegative Matrix, *Numerische Mathematik*, 6 (1964), 110-141.
25. Hoggatt, V. E., Jr. and Basin, S. L., Representations by Complete Sequences, *Fib. Quart.* 1, No. 3, 1-14.
26. Hoggatt, V. E., Jr., and King, C., Problem E1424, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 67 (1960), 593.
27. Johnson, S. M., A Linear Diophantine Equation, *Can. J. Math.* 12 (1960), 390-398.
28. Klarner, D. A., Representations of  $N$  as a Sum of Distinct Elements from Special Sequences, *Fib. Quart.* 4, No. 4 (1966), 289-321.
29. Krubeck, Eleonore, Über Zerfällungen in paarweis ungleiche Polynomwerte, *Math. Z.* 59 (1953), 255-257.
30. Lekkerkerker, C. G., Representation of Natural Numbers as a Sum of Fibonacci Numbers, *Simon Stevin* 29 (1952), 190-195.
31. Lin, Shen, Computer Experiments on Sequences Which Form Integral Bases, *Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra*, J. Leech (ed.) Pergamon Press (1969), 365-370.
32. Linnik, Ju. v., The Asymptotic Distribution of Lattice Points on a Sphere, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* 96 (1954) 909-912 (Russian) MR 16, 451.
33. Malyšev, A. V., Asymptotic Distribution of Points with Integral Coordinates on Certain Ellipsoids, *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat.* 21 (1957) 457-500 (Russian) MR 21 #32.

34. Malyšev, A. V., The Distribution of Integer Points on a Four-Dimensional Sphere, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 114 (1957) 25-28 (Russian MR 20 #1662.
35. Mendelsohn, N. S., A Linear Diophantine Equations with Applications to Nonnegative Matrices, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 175, art. 1 (1970), 287-294.
36. Pisot, Ch., Sur une famille remarquable d'entiers algébriques formant un ensemble fermé, Colloque sur la Théorie des Nombres, Bruxelles, (1955), 77-83.
37. Richert, Hans-Egon, Über Zerfällungen in ungleiche Primzahlen, Math. Z. 52 (1949), 342-343.
38. Richert, Hans-Egon, Über Zerlegungen in paarweise verschiedene Zahlen, Norsk Mat. Tidsskr, 31 (1949), 120-122.
39. Roth, K. F., and Szekeres, G., Some Asymptotic Formulae in the Theory of Partitions, Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser. 5, No. 2 (1954), 241-259.
40. Sprague, R., Über Zerlegungen in n-te Potenzen mit lauter verschiedenen Grundzahlen, Math. Z. 51 (1948), 466-468.
41. Sprague, R., Über Zerlegungen in ungleiche Quadratzahlen, Math. Z. 51 (1948), 289-290.
42. van Albada, P. J., and van Lint, J. H., Reciprocal bases for the Integers, Amer. Math. Monthly 70 (1963), 170-174.