

ON TRIANGULAR AND CYCLIC RAMSEY NUMBERS WITH  $k$  COLORS

Fan Chung  
University of Pennsylvania

ABSTRACT

Define  $r(G;k)$  to be the smallest integer with the following property: For any  $n \geq r(G;k)$ , color the edges of  $K_n$  in  $k$  colors, then there exists a monochromatic graph isomorphic to  $G$ . In this paper, we discussed the bounds for  $r(K_3;k)$  and  $r(C_4;k)$ .

ON TRIANGULAR AND CYCLIC RAMSEY NUMBERS WITH  $k$  COLORS

Let  $G$  be a finite graph and  $k$  be a positive integer. Define  $r(G;k)$  to be the smallest integer with the following property: For any  $n \geq r(G;k)$ , color the edges of  $K_n$  in  $k$  colors; then there exists a monochromatic graph isomorphic to  $G$ . The existence of  $r(G;k)$  is assured by Ramsey's theorem [1,2].

In the case of  $G = K_3$  and  $k = 2$ ,  $r(K_3;2) = 6$ . This is one of the most interesting fundamental problems that appeared in Putnam Mathematics Competition [3] in 1953. The problem can be stated as follows: Color the edges of  $K_6$  in red or blue; then either a red triangle or a blue triangle exists.

In 1955 Greenwood and Gleason [4] proved that  $r(K_3;3) = 17$  and  $r(K_3;4) > 41$ . The value of  $r(K_3;4)$  is still unknown. Whitehead and Taylor [5] proved that  $r(K_3;4) > 49$  in 1971. In 1972, G. J. Porter (unpublished) and the author [6] proved independently that  $r(K_3;4) > 50$  and a lower bound for  $r(K_3;k)$  was obtained. A simpler proof will now be presented.

Theorem 1. Let  $f(k) = r(K_3;k) - 1$  and let  $t = 0.103 \dots$  be the only positive root of  $x^3 + 6x^2 + 9x - 1 = 0$  and  $C = 50t^2 = 0.5454 \dots$ . Then  $f(k+1) \geq 3f(k) + f(k-2)$  for  $k > 3$  and  $f(k) \geq (3+t)^k C$ .

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 1: The edges of  $K_n$  can be colored in  $k$  colors without any monochromatic triangle if and only if its adjacency matrix  $A_n$  is the sum of  $k$  symmetric binary matrices  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k$  where the componentwise product of  $M_i$  and  $M_i^2$  is zero for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , i.e.,  $A_n = M_1 + M_2 + \dots + M_k$  and  $(M_i * M_i^2)_{jm} = (M_i)_{jm}(M_i^2)_{jm} = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

Proof: If the edges of  $K_n$  are colored in  $k$  colors without monochromatic triangles, then define

$$(M_i)_{jm} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the edge } (jm) \text{ has color } i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Since the complete graph  $K_{3f(k) + f(k-2)}$  can be colored in  $k+1$  colors without any monochromatic triangle,

$$f(k+1) \geq 3f(k) + f(k-2) \quad \text{for } k \geq 3.$$

From the above inequality we can get  $f(k) \geq (3+t)^k C$  where  $t = 0.103\dots$  is the only positive root of  $x^3 + 6x^2 + 9x - 1 = 0$  and  $C = 50t^2 = 0.5454\dots$

The classical upper bound [4] for  $r(K_3; k)$  is  $[k!e] + 1$ . Whitehead [5] proved  $r(K_3; 4) \leq 65 [4!e] + 1$ . Combining these, we get the next inequality.

**Theorem 2.**  $r(K_3; k) \leq [k!(e-1/24)] + 1$ .

From Theorems 1 and 2, we know that the limit of  $k$ 'th root of  $f(k)$  will be between  $3+t$  and  $e$  if it exists.

**Lemma 2.**  $f(jk) \geq (f(k))^j$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f(k) = n$  so that the edges of  $K_n$  can be  $k$ -colored without any monochromatic triangles.

Define  $K_{nj}$  with vertices the vectors  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j)$ ,  $i_s = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $c_s$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, j, m = 1, \dots, k$ , be the  $jk$  colors available.

The edge joining  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j)$  and  $(i'_1, i'_2, \dots, i'_j)$  is colored in the color  $c_{jm}$  if and only if  $i_1 = i'_1, \dots, i_{j-1} = i'_{j-1}, i_j \neq i'_j$  and the edge joining  $i_j$  and  $i'_j$  has color  $m$ .

It is clear that this gives a coloring of edges of  $K_{nj}$  without any monochromatic triangle in  $kj$  colors.

Therefore  $f(jk) \geq (f(k))^j$ .

**Theorem 3.**  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (f(k))^{1/k}$  exists.

**Proof:** Let  $x = \limsup (f(k))^{1/k}$

There exists an integer  $m$  such that  $f(m)^{1/m} > x - \epsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For any } n \geq m/\epsilon, f(n)^{1/n} &\geq f(m[n/m])^{1/n} \\ &\geq f(m)^{[n/m]/n} \\ &\geq (x - \epsilon)^{(1-\epsilon)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\liminf f(k)^{1/k} = \limsup f(k)^{1/k} \geq 3.103\dots$

**Theorem 4.** Let  $r(K_m; k)$  be the classical Ramsey number  $N(\underbrace{n, m, \dots, m}_k; 2)$ . Then  $\lim r(K_m; k)^{1/k}$  exists for any  $m$  and is greater than  $m-1$ .

**Proof:** By a similar method we can prove  $r(K_m; kj)^{1/kj} \geq r(K_m; k)^{1/k}$  and the limit exists.

Let  $\xi_m = \lim r(K_m; k)^{1/k}$ . Then  $\xi_3 = 3.103\dots$ . It is not known that  $\xi_3$  is finite or infinite. It was shown in [7] that  $\xi_4 \geq \sqrt{17}$ ,  $\xi_5 \geq \sqrt{37}$ ,  $\xi_6 \geq \sqrt{101}$ ,  $\xi_7 \geq \sqrt{109}$ ,  $\xi_8 \geq \sqrt{281}$ ,  $\xi_9 \geq \sqrt{373}$  and  $\xi_m$  is strictly increasing.

Some upper and lower bounds for  $r(C_4, k)$  have been obtained.

**Lemma 3.** The edges of  $K_n$  can be colored in  $k$  colors without any monochromatic triangle if and only if the matrix  $A_n$  is the sum of  $k$  symmetric binary matrices  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k$  where  $(M_i^2)_{jm} \leq 1$  for  $j \neq m$   $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

The proof of Lemma 3 is clear.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $M$  be an  $n \times n$  symmetric binary matrix and  $(M^2)_{jm} \leq 1$  for  $j \neq m$ . Then

$$S = \sum_{i,j=1}^n M_{ij} \leq n\sqrt{n-3/4} + n/2.$$

**Proof:**  $\sum_{j=1}^n M_{ij} M_{jk} \leq 1$  ( $i \neq k$ )

Sum over  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $k \neq j$ , to get

$$\sum_{j=1}^n M_{ij} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n M_{jk} \leq n-1,$$

$$\text{or} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n M_{ij} (r(i) - M_{ji}) \leq n-1,$$

where  $r(i)$  is the  $i$ 'th column sum or row sum.

Then sum over  $j$ , to get  $\sum_{j=1}^n r(i)^2 - \sum_{i,j=1}^n M_{ij} \leq n(n-1)$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n r(i)^2 \leq n(n-1) + S.$$

For any positive numbers  $r(1), r(2), \dots, r(n)$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n r(i)^2 \geq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n r(i) \right)^{2/n}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So} \quad & S^2/n \leq n(n-1) + S \\ \text{and} \quad & S \leq n/2 + n\sqrt{n-3/4}. \end{aligned}$$

The equality holds when all the  $r(i)$  are equal.

Theorem 5.  $k^2 + k + 1 \geq r(C_4; k)$ .

Proof. Let  $r(C_4; k) - 1 = n$ . By Lemma 3 we know that  $A_n = \sum_{i=1}^n M_i$  and

$(M_i)_{jm} \leq 1$  for  $j \neq m$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . There is some  $M_i$  with the property that

$$\sum_{j,m=1}^n (M_i)_{jm} \geq n(n-1)/k.$$

By Lemma 4, we have  $n/2 + n\sqrt{n-3/4} \geq n(n-1)/k$ .

Then  $k^2 + k + 1 \geq n$ .

The equality holds when the row sums of  $M_i$  are all equal to  $k+1$ . In this case  $M_i$  is the adjacency matrix of a projective plane. But there does not exist [8] an adjacency matrix of a projective plane of trace 0.

Hence  $k^2 + k + 1 > n$

and  $k^2 + k + 1 \geq r(C_4; k)$ .

Theorem 6.  $r(C_4; k) \geq k^2/16$  for infinitely many  $k$ 's.

The proof is established by an explicit construction.

After the conference the author proved that  $r(C_4; (1+\epsilon)k) \geq k^2$  for any small  $\epsilon$  and large  $k$  and that  $r(C_4; k)$  is asymptotically equal to  $k^2$ .

REFERENCES

1. Ramsey, F. P., "On a Problem of Formal Logic", Proc. London Math. Soc., 30 (1930) 264-286.
2. Ryser, H. J., Combinatorial Mathematics, Wiley, New York, 1963.
3. Bush, L. E., "The William Lowell Putnam Mathematical Competition", Amer. Math. Monthly, 60 (1953) 539-542.
4. Greenwood, R. E., and Gleason, A. M., "Combinatorial Relations and Chromatic Graphs", Canad. Math. 7 (1955) 1-7.
5. Whitehead, E. G., Jr., "Ramsey Numbers of the Form  $N(3, \dots, 3; 2)$ ", Doctoral Dissertation, University of Southern California, 1971.
6. Chung, F. R. K., "On the Ramsey Numbers  $N(3, 3, \dots, 3; 2)$ ", Discrete Math., to appear.
7. Burling, J. P., and Reyner, S. W., "Some Lower Bounds of the Ramsey Numbers  $n(k, k)$ ", Combinatorial Theory 13B (1972) 168-169.
8. Wilf, H. S., "The Friendship Theorem", Combinatorial Mathematics and Its Applications, (D. J. A. Welsh, ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1971, 307-309.